APRIL 21, 2015
AGENDA

ORDER OF BUSINESS: Regular meetings of the Finance Committee and the Ordinance Committee begin at 12:30 p.m. The regular City Council meeting begins at 2:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber at City Hall.

REPORTS: Copies of the reports relating to agenda items are available for review in the City Clerk's Office, at the Central Library, and http://www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov. In accordance with state law requirements, this agenda generally contains only a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Should you wish more detailed information regarding any particular agenda item, you are encouraged to obtain a copy of the Council Agenda Report (a "CAR") for that item from either the Clerk's Office, the Reference Desk at the City's Main Library, or online at the City's website (http://www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov). Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the City Council after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the City Clerk's Office located at City Hall, 735 Anacapa Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101, during normal business hours.

PUBLIC COMMENT: At the beginning of the 2:00 p.m. session of each regular City Council meeting, and at the beginning of each special City Council meeting, any member of the public may address the City Council concerning any item not on the Council's agenda. Any person wishing to make such address should first complete and deliver a "Request to Speak" form prior to the time that public comment is taken up by the City Council. Should City Council business continue into the evening session of a regular City Council meeting at 6:00 p.m., the City Council will allow any member of the public who did not address them during the 2:00 p.m. session to do so. The total amount of time for public comments will be 15 minutes, and no individual speaker may speak for more than 1 minute. The City Council, upon majority vote, may decline to hear a speaker on the grounds that the subject matter is beyond their jurisdiction.

REQUEST TO SPEAK: A member of the public may address the Finance or Ordinance Committee or City Council regarding any scheduled agenda item. Any person wishing to make such address should first complete and deliver a "Request to Speak" form prior to the time that the item is taken up by the Finance or Ordinance Committee or City Council.

CONSENT CALENDAR: The Consent Calendar is comprised of items that will not usually require discussion by the City Council. A Consent Calendar item is open for discussion by the City Council upon request of a Councilmember, City staff, or member of the public. Items on the Consent Calendar may be approved by a single motion. Should you wish to comment on an item listed on the Consent Agenda, after turning in your "Request to Speak" form, you should come forward to speak at the time the Council considers the Consent Calendar.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: If you need auxiliary aids or services or staff assistance to attend or participate in this meeting, please contact the City Administrator’s Office at 564-5305. If possible, notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will usually enable the City to make reasonable arrangements. Specialized services, such as sign language interpretation or documents in Braille, may require additional lead time to arrange.

TELEVISION COVERAGE: Each regular City Council meeting is broadcast live in English and Spanish on City TV Channel 18 and rebroadcast in English on Wednesdays and Thursdays at 7:00 p.m. and Saturdays at 9:00 a.m., and in Spanish on Sundays at 4:00 p.m. Each televised Council meeting is closed captioned for the hearing impaired. Check the City TV program guide at www.citytv18.com for rebroadcasts of Finance and Ordinance Committee meetings, and for any changes to the replay schedule.
ORDER OF BUSINESS

12:30 p.m.  -  Finance Committee Meeting, David Gebhard Public Meeting Room, 630 Garden Street
2:00 p.m.  -  City Council Meeting

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING - 12:30 P.M. IN THE DAVID GEBHARD PUBLIC MEETING ROOM, 630 GARDEN STREET (120.03)

Subject: Finance Committee Review Of The Proposed Two-Year Financial Plan For Fiscal Years 2016 And 2017

Recommendation: That the Finance Committee:
A. Consider and approve the proposed Finance Committee review schedule and topics related to the Proposed Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017, including the Recommended Budget for Fiscal Year 2016; and
B. Provide staff any additional topics the Committee wishes to include in their review.
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING – 2:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

CEREMONIAL ITEMS

1. Subject: Proclamation Declaring April 2015 As DMV/Donate Life California Month (120.04)

2. Subject: Proclamation Declaring April 2015 As The 45th Anniversary Of The Community Environmental Council And Santa Barbara Earth Day Festival (120.04)

CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENT

CONSENT CALENDAR

3. Subject: Minutes

Recommendation: That Council waive further reading and approve the minutes of the adjourned regular meeting of March 23, 2015, and the regular meetings of March 24 and April 7, 2015.

4. Subject: Introduction Of Ordinances Establishing Speed Limits (530.05)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Chapter 10.60 of the Municipal Code by Revising Section 10.60.015, Establishing Prima Facie Speed Limits on Certain Portions of Las Positas Road, Cliff Drive, Cabrillo Boulevard, Bath Street, Calle Real, Castillo Street, Chapala Street, Milpas Street, Salinas Street, State Street, and Valerio Street; and

(Cont'd)
CONSENT CALENDAR (CONT’D)

4.  (Cont’d)

B. Introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Chapter 10.20 of the Municipal Code by Revising Sections 10.20.020 and 10.20.025 Pertaining to Speed Zoning Adjacent to Children's Playgrounds, and Adding Section 10.20.040 Pertaining to Extended Speed Zoning Near Schools.

5.  Subject: Adoption of Ordinances re Sidewalk Behavior and Panhandling (520.04)

Recommendation: That Council adopt, by reading of title only, the following ordinances:

A. An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Section 2.28.030 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code to Grant the Library Director the Authority to Promulgate and Post Facility-Specific Regulations;
B. An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Title 9 of the Municipal Code by Adding Chapter 9.07 to Prohibit Urinating or Defecating in Public;
C. An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Section 9.48.010 of the Municipal Code Regarding Commercial Use of City Streets to Prohibit the Use of Public Street Furniture as a Venue for Selling or Offering for Donation;
D. An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Section 9.50.010 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code to Prohibit Active Panhandling in Specified Locations;
E. An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Section 9.97.010 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code Regarding Sitting or Lying on Sidewalks and Paseos Along Certain Downtown Portions of State Street; and
F. An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Section 9.98.010 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code Regarding Pedestrians Blocking Public Sidewalks.

6.  Subject: Purchase Of Historical Properties Web Application (640.06)

Recommendation: That Council:

A. Approve a professional services agreement with PixelPushers, Inc., doing business as Civica Software, for the acquisition and implementation of the Historical Properties Web Application (HPWA), in an amount not to exceed $37,200;

(Cont'd)
CONSENT CALENDAR (CONT’D)

6. (Cont’d)

B. Approve additional services that may be identified during the implementation of HPWA, in an amount not to exceed $3,800; and
C. Transfer $16,000 from the Capital Outlay Fund from the Reserve for Technology Upgrades to the Community Development Department's Building and Safety Division's budget to cover a portion of this agreement; and
D. Increase appropriations and estimated revenues by $16,000 in the General Fund, Community Development Department.

7. Subject: Professional Services Agreement For Design Of Central Library Exterior Restoration Project (570.04)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the Public Works Director to execute a Professional Services Agreement with Architectural Resources Group, Inc. (ARG), in the amount of $37,000, with $3,700 available for extra services, for the Design Of Central Library Exterior Restoration Project at 40 East Anapamu Street.

8. Subject: Contract For Sycamore Creek Channel Improvements And Punta Gorda Street Bridge Replacement Post-Construction Restoration Specialist Services (530.03)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the Public Works Director to execute a City Professional Services contract with Cardno, Inc., in the amount of $51,202 for post-construction restoration specialist services for the Sycamore Creek Channel Improvements and Punta Gorda Street Bridge Replacement Project, and authorize the Public Works Director to approve expenditures of up to $5,120 for extra services that may result from necessary changes in the scope of work.

9. Subject: Authorize Payment Of Phase 2 Attorney Fees To The Firm Of Cappello & Noël, LLP, Relating To Banales, Et Al., V. City of Santa Barbara (110.03)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the payment of additional attorney fees in the amount of $78,088 to the law firm of Cappello & Noël, LLP, for completion of Phase 2 of the case relating to Banales, et al., v. City of Santa Barbara.
CONSENT CALENDAR (CONT’D)

10. **Subject: Set A Date For Public Hearing Regarding Appeal Of Single Family Design Board Approvals For 1215 East Cota Street (640.07)**

   Recommendation: That Council:
   
   A. Set the date of May 5, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. for hearing the appeal filed by Trevor Martinson, agent for Roger Goldtrap, of the Single Family Design Board’s Project Design Approval for property owned by Leslie Colasse and located at 1215 East Cota Street, Assessor's Parcel No. 031-190-022, R-2, Two-Family Residential Zone, General Plan Designation: Medium Density residential (12 du/acre). The project proposes to demolish an existing one-car garage, mud room, and split-level bedroom at an existing 1,398 square foot two-level residence, and construct a new master suite with additions in the same location above a covered driveway. The proposal also includes a new 650 square foot three-car garage with an attached 300 square foot accessory structure, a kitchen remodel, and exterior improvements. The proposed total of 2,300 square feet on an 11,285 square foot lot is 59% of the guideline maximum floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR). This project has previously obtained Staff Hearing Officer approval for an interior setback modification; and
   
   B. Set the date of May 4, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. for a site visit to the property located at 1215 East Cota Street.

NOTICES

11. The City Clerk has on Thursday, April 16, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

12. Receipt of communication advising of vacancy created on the Parks and Recreation Commission with the resignation of Chris Casebeer; the vacancy will be part of the current City Advisory Groups Semi-Annual Recruitment.

This concludes the Consent Calendar.

REPORT FROM THE FINANCE COMMITTEE
CITY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ATTORNEY REPORTS

CITY ADMINISTRATOR

13. Subject: Legislative Platform (160.02)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Adopt the legislative platform that guides the City's support or opposition to regional, state and federal legislative issues; and
B. Authorize the Mayor, Councilmembers, and staff, on behalf of the City of Santa Barbara, to contact regional, state and federal representatives to advocate for legislation and actions consistent with the goals of the legislative platform.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT


Recommendation: That Council receive a report from the Central Coast Collaborative on Homelessness (C3H) on the 2015 Homeless Point In Time Count and Vulnerability Survey.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

15. Subject: Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan For Fiscal Years 2016 And 2017 (230.05)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Receive the Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017, including the Recommended Operating and Capital Budget for Fiscal Year 2016;
B. Hear a report from staff in connection with the filing of the Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017; and
PUBLIC HEARINGS

16. Subject: Appeal Of Single Family Design Board Approval Of A New Residence At 2405 State Street (640.07)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Deny the appeal of Jim and Debbie Arnesen of the Single Family Design Board's decision to grant Project Design Approval and Final Approval for the proposed new residence; and
B. Direct staff to return to Council with Decision and Findings reflecting the outcome of the appeal.

COUNCIL AND STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

COUNCILMEMBER COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT REPORTS

ADJOURNMENT
ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Subject: Finance Committee Review Of The Proposed Two-Year Financial Plan For Fiscal Years 2016 And 2017

Recommendation: That the Finance Committee:

A. Consider and approve the proposed Finance Committee review schedule and topics related to the Proposed Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017, including the Recommended Budget for Fiscal Year 2016; and

B. Provide staff any additional topics the Committee wishes to include in their review.
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015
TO: Finance Committee
FROM: Administration Division, Finance Department
SUBJECT: Finance Committee Review Of The Proposed Two-Year Financial Plan For Fiscal Years 2016 And 2017

RECOMMENDATION: That the Finance Committee:

A. Consider and approve the proposed Finance Committee review schedule and topics related to the Proposed Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017, including the Recommended Budget for Fiscal Year 2016; and
B. Provide staff any additional topics the Committee wishes to include in their review.

DISCUSSION:

In accordance with City Charter, the Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017, including the Recommended Budget for the first year of the plan, is being filed with the City Clerk’s Office on Tuesday, April 21, 2015 and is being presented to Council on this same day.

City staff has scheduled special City Council budget work sessions over the next two months during which the details of the recommended budget will be presented and discussed. Over the course of the special meetings, each department will present their respective budgets to City Council.

In addition to the review by Council, staff recommends that the Finance Committee review certain topics related to the recommended budget in more detail. These elements include General Fund revenue assumptions and projections, General Fund reserves and citywide fees. Staff will also be requesting the Committee for any other topics or areas of the budget they wish to review.

The proposed Finance Committee review schedule is included as an attachment to this report.
ATTACHMENT: Proposed Finance Committee Review Schedule

SUBMITTED BY: Robert Samario, Finance Director/Acting Assistant City Administrator

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
### CITY OF SANTA BARBARA
**Proposed Finance Committee Review Schedule**
**Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date and Time</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday, April 21, 2015</strong></td>
<td>➢ Proposed Finance Committee Budget Review Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m. – 1:45 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday, April 28, 2015</strong></td>
<td>➢ General Fund non-departmental revenues and assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m. – 1:45 p.m.</td>
<td>➢ General Fund Multi-Year Forecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ March 31, 2015 Investment Report &amp; Fiscal Agent Report (Non-Budget Item)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday, May 5, 2015</strong></td>
<td>➢ General Fund departmental proposed fee changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 p.m. – 1:45 p.m.</td>
<td>➢ Rental Assistance Grants (Non-Budget Item)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Turner Foundation Loan (Non-Budget Item)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday, May 12, 2015</strong></td>
<td>➢ Enterprise fund proposed fee changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m. – 1:45 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday, May 19, 2015</strong></td>
<td>➢ Follow-up on items requested by Finance Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m. – 1:45 p.m.</td>
<td>➢ Staff recommended adjustments, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Finance Committee decisions/ recommendations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: No Council meeting on May 26, 2015.
WHEREAS, the California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) and Donate Life California (DLC) announced that more than twelve thousand people participated in the marathon in 2014. Eleven million Californians have now registered as organ and tissue donors through the State’s Donate Life California Organ & Tissue Donor Registry over the past eight years.; In the past ten years, 220 mayors and civic officials have participated in the event and the pre-race donor ceremony; and

WHEREAS, more than 100,000 individuals nationwide and more than 21,000 in California are currently on the national organ transplant waiting list - 21% of the more than 100,000 people waiting across our country. Tragically, 1/3 of them will die – waiting; and

WHEREAS, each year, approximately 30,000 patients in the U.S. will be diagnosed with life-threatening diseases such as leukemia, which are treatable by a marrow or stem cell transplant. Just one in three will find a donor match within their family. Join the National Marrow Donor Program Registry, all it takes is a simple blood test. Anyone between the ages of 18-60 and in good general health can join the Registry; and

WHEREAS, a single individual’s donation of the heart, lungs, liver, kidneys, pancreas, and small intestine can save up to eight lives; donation of tissue can save and heal the lives of up to 50 others; and a single blood donation can help three people in need; and

NOW, THEREFORE, I, HELENE SCHNEIDER, by virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the City of Santa Barbara, California, do hereby proclaim April 2015 as DMV/DONATE LIFE CALIFORNIA MONTH in the City of Santa Barbara and urge all Californians to check “YES!” when applying for or renewing their driver’s license or I.D. card, or by signing up at www.donateLIFEcalifornia.org or www.donelidaCalifornia.org.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Official Seal of the City of Santa Barbara, California, to be affixed this 14th day of April 2015.

HELENE SCHNEIDER
Mayor
WHEREAS, in 1969, the devastating images of a massive oil spill from an oil platform off Santa Barbara’s coast caught the attention of the rest of the nation, including Senator Gaylord Nelson and his assistant Denis Hayes; and

WHEREAS, this was the largest oil spill the nation had seen at that time, and was a significant catalyst for the creation of a national Earth Day in April 1970; and

WHEREAS, this tragedy also galvanized Santa Barbara’s modern environmental movement, including the formation of the Community Environmental Council (CEC) in 1970; and

WHEREAS, the Community Environmental Council’s first official act in 1970 was to organize the Santa Barbara Earth Day Festival – an event that CEC continues to host to this day, and which is now held in partnership with the City of Santa Barbara at Alameda Park; and

WHEREAS, the theme for this year’s Santa Barbara Earth Day Festival reflects the continued need to move toward cleaner forms of renewable energy, and calls on the community to stand up for significant action on climate change.

NOW, THEREFORE, I HELENE SCHNEIDER, by virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the City of Santa Barbara, California do hereby proclaim April 2015 as the 45th Anniversary of the Community Environmental Council and the Santa Barbara Earth Day Festival.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Official Seal of the City of Santa Barbara, California, to be affixed this 21st day of April 2015.

HELENE SCHNEIDER
Mayor
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Helene Schneider called the meeting to order at 1:40 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Gregg Hart, Frank Hotchkiss, Cathy Murillo, Bendy White, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: Dale Francisco, Randy Rowse.
Staff present: City Administrator Paul Casey, City Attorney Ariel Pierre Calonne.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No one wished to speak.

NOTICES

The City Clerk has on Thursday, March 19, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

SITE VISIT

Subject: 2559 Puesta Del Sol (Museum Of Natural History)

Recommendation: That Council make a site visit to the property located at 2559 Puesta Del Sol (Museum Of Natural History), which is the subject of an appeal hearing set for March 24, 2015, at 2:00 p.m.

Discussion:
Staff presented an overview of proposed changes to the Museum’s development. Staff then led Councilmembers on a walk around the Museum campus, highlighting planned improvements and pointing out the proposed locations of speakers for a public address system, open space areas, and trash enclosure area. The location of the Appellant’s property in relation to the Museum was also viewed.
ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Schneider adjourned the meeting at 2:30 p.m.

SANTA BARBARA CITY COUNCIL  SANTA BARBARA
CITY CLERK’S OFFICE

ATTEST:

HELENE SCHNEIDER  SUSAN TSCHECH, CMC
MAYOR  DEPUTY CITY CLERK
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Helene Schneider called the meeting to order at 2:01 p.m. (The Finance and Ordinance Committees, which ordinarily meet at 12:30 p.m., did not meet on this date.)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Schneider.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Dale Francisco (2:15 p.m.), Gregg Hart, Frank Hotchkiss, Cathy Murillo, Randy Rowse, Bendy White, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: None.
Staff present: City Administrator Paul Casey, City Attorney Ariel Pierre Calonne, Deputy City Clerk Susan Tschech.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Mr. Burke; Phil Walker; Tom Widroe, Santa Barbara City Watch; Robert Johns.

ITEM REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR

2. Subject: Records Destruction For Parks And Recreation Department (160.06)

Recommendation: That Council adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Relating to the Destruction of Records Held by the Parks and Recreation Department in the Administration, Parks, and Recreation Divisions.

(Cont'd)
2. **(Cont’d)**

Documents:
- March 24, 2015, report from the Parks and Recreation Director.
- Proposed resolution.

The title of the resolution was read.

Speakers:
Staff: Parks and Recreation Director Nancy Rapp, City Attorney Ariel Calonne.

Motion:
Councilmembers Murillo/Hart to approve the recommendation, adopting Resolution No. 15-014, but direct Staff to remove the records held by the Tennis Section from the proposed destruction.

Substitute Motion:
Councilmembers Francisco/Rowse to approve the recommendation, adopting Resolution No. 15-014, but direct Staff to retain the records held by the Tennis Section for a maximum of 30 days.

Vote on Substitute Motion:
Majority roll call vote (Noes: Councilmembers Hart, Murillo, White).

**CONSENT CALENDAR (Item Nos. 1 and 3 – 17)**

The titles of the resolutions related to Consent Calendar items were read.

Motion:
Councilmembers Francisco/Rowse to approve the Consent Calendar as recommended.

Vote:
Unanimous roll call vote.

1. **Subject: Minutes**

Recommendation: That Council waive further reading and approve the minutes of the adjourned regular meeting of March 9, 2015, and the regular meeting of March 10, 2015.

Action: Approved the recommendation.
3. **Subject: Resolution For Grant Application For Las Positas Creek Restoration Project (540.14)**

Recommendation: That Council adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Approving the Application for a $1,000,000 Urban Streams Restoration Program Grant and Determining the Appropriate Environmental Impact Document; Conditionally Accepting the Grant if Offered, Subject to City Council Approval of the Final Language of the Grant Contract; and Designating the Project Representative, Contract Manager, and Fiscal Agent.

Action: Approved the recommendation; Resolution No. 15-015 (March 24, 2015, report from the Parks and Recreation Director; proposed resolution).

4. **Subject: Adoption Of Resolutions Required For Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Loan Funding Of The Desalination Plant (540.10)**

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Pledging the Water Fund Net Revenue to Payment of the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Financing Agreement; and
B. Adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Stating the City's Intent to Reimburse Expenditures Paid Prior to Either the Issuance of Obligations or the Approval by the State Water Resources Control Board of the Project Funds for Reactivating the Charles E. Meyer Desalination Plant.

Action: Approved the recommendations; Resolution Nos. 15-016 and 15-017 (March 24, 2015, report from the Public Works Director; proposed resolutions).

5. **Subject: Increase In Professional Services Contract Authority With Godbe Research For Polling Services (530.01)**

Recommendation: That Council increase the City Administrator's negotiating authority to execute a not-to-exceed $41,000 contract for professional services with Godbe Research to conduct a comprehensive opinion poll related to a possible revenue generating ballot measure.

Speakers:
- Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, Santa Barbara City Watch; Bonnie Raisin.
- Staff: Assistant to the City Administrator Nina Johnson, City Administrator Paul Casey, City Attorney Ariel Calonne.

Action: Approved the recommendation (March 24, 2015, report from the City Administrator).
6. **Subject: February 2015 Investment Report (260.02)**


Action: Approved the recommendation (March 24, 2015, report from the Acting Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director).

7. **Subject: Fiscal Year 2015 Interim Financial Statements For The Seven Months Ended January 31, 2015 (250.02)**


Action: Approved the recommendation (March 24, 2015, report from the Acting Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director).

8. **Subject: Appropriation Of Human Services Grant Funds For Youth Apprenticeship And Santa Barbara Arts Alliance Programs (570.06)**

Recommendation: That Council increase appropriations and estimated revenues in the Fiscal Year 2015 Parks and Recreation Department General Fund, in the amount of $15,000, for two Human Services Grant awards.

Action: Approved the recommendation (March 24, 2015, report from the Parks and Recreation Director).

9. **Subject: Purchase Order For City Hall Well Repair (540.10)**

Recommendation: That Council approve a maintenance and repair Purchase Order in the amount of $102,164.56 to PCL Construction, Inc., for repairs made to the City Hall Well.

Action: Approved the recommendation (March 24, 2015, report from the Public Works Director).

10. **Subject: Contract For Construction Of The Westside Center Bathroom Renovation Project (320.01)**

Recommendation: That Council award a contract with Kinyon Construction, Inc., in their lowest acceptable bid amount of $185,175 for construction of the Community Development Block Grant Westside Center Bathroom Renovation, Bid No. 3769, and authorize the Public Works Director to execute the contract and approve expenditures up to $18,517.50 (10 percent) to cover any cost increases that may result from contract change orders for extra work.
11. **Subject:** Contract Amendment For Construction Management And Inspection Services For The Gibraltar Conduit Hydroelectric Plant (540.01)

Recommendation: That Council authorize an amendment to Contract No. 24,715 with Rockwell Construction Services, LLC, in an amount of $10,960 for additional construction management and inspection services for recommissioning the Gibraltar Conduit Hydroelectric Plant, raising the overall contract amount to $55,178.

Action: Approved the recommendation; Contract No. 24,715.1 (March 24, 2015, report from the Public Works Director).

12. **Subject:** Amendment To Professional Services Agreement With Ruby Carrillo For Accounting Services (210.01)

Recommendation: That Council approve and authorize the Finance Director to execute a First Amendment to Agreement No. 21400186, Professional Service Contract with Ruby Carrillo for Accounting Services, to increase the agreement by $30,000 for a total of $50,000.

Action: Approved the recommendation (March 24, 2015, report from the Acting Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director).

13. **Subject:** Resolution Denying The Appeal Of The Single Family Design Board Decision Regarding 1912 Mission Ridge Road (640.07)


Speakers:

Members of the Public: Trevor Martinson.

Action: Approved the recommendation; Resolution No. 15-018 (proposed resolution; March 24, 2015, letter from Trevor Martinson).
14. **Subject: Set A Date For Public Hearing Regarding Appeal Of Single Family Design Board Approvals For 2405 State Street**

**Recommendation:** That Council:
A. Set the date of April 21, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. for hearing the appeal filed by Jim and Debbie Arnesen of the Single Family Design Board Project Design and Final Approvals for property owned by Dan Underwood and located at 2405 State Street, Assessor’s Parcel No. 025-071-012, E-3 One-Family Residence Zone, General Plan Designation: Low Density Residential. The project proposes construction of a 2,101 square-foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square-foot, two-car garage along with other improvements, and it includes 318 cubic yards of cut and fill grading. The proposed total of 2,606 square feet on a vacant lot is 85% of the maximum floor-to-lot area ratio; and
B. Set the date of April 20, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. for a site visit to the property located at 2405 State Street.

**Action:** Approved the recommendations (March 4, 2015, letter of appeal).

**NOTICES**

15. The City Clerk has on Thursday, March 19, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

16. Cancellation of the regular City Council meeting of March 31, 2015.

17. Receipt of communication advising of vacancy created on the Water Commission with the resignation of Russell Ruiz; the vacancy will be part of the next City Advisory Groups recruitment.

This concluded the Consent Calendar.

**CITY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ATTORNEY REPORTS**

**CITY ADMINISTRATOR**

18. **Subject: Update On Downtown Electrical Infrastructure Reliability Issues From Southern California Edison (380.01)**

**Recommendation:** That Council receive a presentation from Southern California Edison on electrical infrastructure reliability issues in the Downtown Corridor area.

**Documents:**
- March 24, 2015, report from the City Administrator.
18. (Cont’d)

Speakers:
- Staff: Facilities and Energy Manager James Dewey.
- Southern California Edison: Rondi Guthrie, Local Public Affairs Region Manager; Alicia Pillado, Santa Barbara Service Center District Manager; Chris Peck, Public Involvement and Education.
- Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, Santa Barbara City Watch; Maggie Campbell, Downtown Santa Barbara; Phil Walker; Richard Yates.

Councilmember Francisco left the meeting at 3:09 p.m. and returned at 3:22 p.m.

Discussion:
Representatives of Southern California Edison presented information regarding the utility’s local infrastructure, unplanned outages by cause, planned maintenance outages, a program to improve reliability, a public communication strategy, and capital projects. Councilmembers’ questions were answered.

RECESS
3:29 p.m. – 3:36 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

19. Subject: Appeal Of Planning Commission Approval Of Santa Barbara Museum Of Natural History Conditional Use Permit Amendment (640.07)

Recommendation: That Council deny the appeal of Mark and Lauren Carey, upholding the Planning Commission’s approval of the Conditional Use Permit Amendment and Parking Modification for the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History (2559 Puesta del Sol); and direct staff to return with Decision and Findings, including revised conditions of approval.

Documents:
- March 24, 2015, report from the Community Development Director.
- PowerPoint presentation prepared and made by Staff.
- Affidavit of Publication.
- Correspondence from the Appellant:
  o March 16, 2015, letter with attachments.
  o Supplemental handout submitted during the public hearing.
  o Brochures for Santa Barbara Museum of Art and California Science Center, submitted by Richard Solomon during the public hearing.

(Cont’d)
19. (Cont’d)

Documents (Cont’d)
- Correspondence from the Applicant:
  o January 26 and March 3, 2015, letters from Suzanne Elledge, Planning & Permitting Services, Inc.
  o March 17, 2015, letter from the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History Board; March 17, 2015, e-mail from Christopher Knowlton, Museum Trustee.
  o March 19, 2015, letter from Beth Collins-Burgard, Attorney, Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck.
  o Undated letter from the Museum’s Quasars to Sea Stars.
- Correspondence from the Public:
  o March 6, 2015, e-mail from Raymond Smith.
  o March 9, 2015, e-mail from Lawrence Davanzo.
  o March 10, 2015, e-mails from Barbara, Ken, Joaquin, Miguel and Santiago Greenberg; Laura Pomerantz; Joie McKay; Meg Miller and Dean Dawson.
  o March 11, 2015, letter from Ani, Monico and Nico Casillas.
  o March 13, 2015, letter from the Santa Barbara Maritime Museum.
  o March 13, 2015, e-mails from Geoff Alexander; Hiroko Benko; Annmarie Rogers, Santa Barbara Chamber of Commerce Visitor Center; Kathy Janega-Dykes, Visit Santa Barbara; Richard Appelbaum.
  o March 15, 2015, letters from Laurie Guitteau; Steve Windhager, Santa Barbara Botanic Garden.
  o March 16, 2015, letters from Gwen Stauffer, Ganna Walska Lotusland; Alastair Winn; Dennis Power.
  o March 16, 2015, e-mails from Wm. Howard Wittausch; George Relles; Chuck McPartlin; Joe Doyle; Bruce Murdock; Tim Crawford.
  o March 17, 2015, e-mails from Bill Clausen; Tim Crawford; Andrew Allen; Erin O’Connor; Yvonne DeGraw.
  o March 24, 2015, letters from Larry Jon Friesen; Carole Daneri; Bobbie Kinnear; Sue Adams.

Public Comment Opened:
3:38 p.m.

Speakers:
- Staff: Project Planner Daniel Gullett, City Attorney Ariel Calonne.
- Planning Commission: Commissioner Mike Jordan.
- Appellant: Lauren Carey, Richard Solomon.
- Applicant: Suzanne Elledge, Planner; Luke Swetland, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History President; Mike Huff, Dudek.

(Cont’d)
19. (Cont’d)

Speakers (Cont’d):
- Members of the Public: Steve Windhager, Santa Barbara Botanic Garden; Jose Martin Meza; Chuck McPartlin; Arthur Harris; Nancy Martz; John Kay; Don Olson; Alastair Winn; Larry Friesen; Fred Sweeney, Upper East Association; Hebe Bartz; Bobbie Kinnear; Palmer Jackson; Elijah Queti; Peter Schuyler; Gwen Stauffer, Ganna Walska Lotusland; Greg Gorga, Santa Barbara Maritime Museum; Virginia Guess; Dean Noble, Santa Barbara Zoo; Dennis Allen; Sue Adams; Krissie Cook; Tom Jacobs; Arielle Moorman.

Public Comment Closed:
6:14 p.m.

Motion:
Councilmember Murillo to disallow a public address system as a component of the Conditional Use Permit.
The motion died for lack of a second.

Motion:
Councilmembers Hart/Rowse to deny the appeal and uphold the Planning Commission’s approval of the project, directing Staff to incorporate the following conditions into the Decision and Findings to be submitted for Council approval:
- The required ending time of the monthly star parties is to be extended to 10:30 p.m.
- The number of allowed high attendance events is to be clarified to be seven plus two related receptions.
- Relocation of trash dumpsters and construction of a trash enclosure in the southwest portion of the parking lot.
- The western trail connector is to be left in place.
- The public address system must be tested and, prior to establishing regular use, must meet the criterion that noise at any property boundary not exceed two decibels above ambient level.

Vote:
Majority voice vote (Noes: Councilmember Murillo).

RECESS

6:42 p.m. – 7:04 p.m.
Mayor Schneider presiding.
Councilmembers present: Francisco, Hart, Hotchkiss, Murillo, Rowse, White, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: None.
Staff present: City Administrator Casey, City Attorney Calonne, Deputy City Clerk Tschech.
PUBLIC COMMENT

No one wished to speak.

CITY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ATTORNEY REPORTS

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

20. Subject: Setting Geographic Boundaries For District Elections (110.03)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Receive a report from Doug Johnson, President of National Demographics Corporation, regarding the results of the City public outreach efforts related to district elections and drawing geographic boundaries for electoral districts;
B. Hold a public hearing pursuant to California Elections Code Section 10010 to consider a proposal to establish district boundaries;
C. Provide direction to the City Attorney and the City Administrator on establishing electoral district boundaries; and
D. Consider adoption of A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Establishing Single Member Districts for the Election of Councilmembers.

Documents:
- March 24, 2015, report from the Administrative Services Director.
- Santa Barbara Public Forums Summary Report, prepared by National Demographics Corporation.
- Draft and public plans for dividing the City into six election districts.
- Affidavit of Publication.

Public Comment Opened (continued from March 18, 2015):
7:05 p.m.

Speakers:
- Staff: Administrative Services Director Kristine Schmidt, City Attorney Ariel Calonne.
- National Demographics Corporation (Consultant to City): Douglas Johnson.
- Members of the Public: Barry Cappello, Attorney, representing the plaintiffs in the district elections lawsuit; David Ely, representing Mr. Cappello’s office; Robert Johns; Lucas Zucker, CAUSE; Rosemary Munoz; Gregory Freeland; Mickey Flacks; Lindsey Baker, League of Women Voters; Richard Flacks, Santa Barbara County Action Network; Daniel McCarter, Friends of Arroyo Burro; Tony Vassallo; Cruzito Herrera Cruz, La Communidad; Hillary Blackerby; Dora Perez, CAUSE; Nancy Cabrera.

(Cont’d)
20. (Cont’d)

Public Comment Closed: 8:47 p.m.

Discussion:
Councilmembers commented on the various draft and public plans for dividing the City into six election districts and stated their preferences for drawing the boundaries between districts. It was agreed that staff from Mr. Johnson’s and Mr. Cappello’s offices would confer in order to prepare three versions of a final district map, which will be presented at a special meeting of the City Council scheduled for March 30, 2015, at 4:00 p.m. At that time the Council will approve one map for submission to the court which will rule on the district elections lawsuit.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Schneider adjourned the meeting at 9:12 p.m.
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Helene Schneider called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. (The Finance Committee, which ordinarily meets at 12:30 p.m., did not meet on this date. The Ordinance Committee met at 12:30 p.m.)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Schneider.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Gregg Hart, Frank Hotchkiss, Cathy Murillo, Randy Rowse, Bendy White, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: Dale Francisco.
Staff present: City Administrator Paul Casey, City Attorney Ariel Pierre Calonne, City Clerk Services Manager Gwen Peirce.

CEREMONIAL ITEMS

1. Subject: Proclamation Declaring April As National Poetry Month And Appointment of Poet Laureate Sojourner Kincaid Rolle (120.04)

Recommendation: That Council approve the City Arts Advisory Committee's recommendation for the City Poet Laureate from April 1, 2015, to April 1, 2017.

Documents:
- April 7, 2015, report from the City Administrator.
- Proclamation declaring April as National Poetry Month.

Speakers:
Members of the Public: Chryss Yost, Poet Laureate Sojourner Kincaid Rolle, Marylove Thralls.

(Cont’d)
1. (Cont’d)

Motion:
Councilmembers Hart/Murillo to approve the City Arts Advisory Committee’s recommendation to appoint Sojourner Kincaid Rolle as City Poet Laureate. The Proclamation was presented to Poet Laureate Sojourner Kincaid Rolle.

Vote:
Unanimous voice vote (Absent: Councilmember Francisco).

Councilmember Francisco entered the meeting at 2:11 p.m.

2. Subject: Employee Recognition – Service Award Pins (410.01)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the City Administrator to express the City’s appreciation to employees who are eligible to receive service award pins for their years of service through April 30, 2015.

Documents:
April 7, 2015, report from the Administrative Services Director.

Speakers:
Staff: City Administrator Paul Casey, Award Recipients Zeb Stephens and Thomas Eccles.

By consensus, the Council approved the recommendation and the following employees were recognized:

10-Year Pin
Ernesto Botello, Administrative Specialist, Community Development Department
Anthony Valdez, Streets Maintenance Worker II, Public Works Department
Douglas Coston, Waterfront Maintenance Worker II, Waterfront Department

15-Year Pin
Rudolph Moreno, Streets Maintenance Worker II, Public Works Department
Kim Frith, Senior Electronic / Communications Technician, Public Works Department

25-Year Pin
Kevin Bryant, Fire Captain, Fire Department
Anthony Pighetti, Fire Captain, Fire Department
James McCoy, Fire Battalion Chief, Fire Department
Robert Mercado, Fire Battalion Chief, Fire Department
Jon Otsuki, Fire Captain, Fire Department
Dan McGrew, Police Sergeant, Police Department
Zebedee Stephens, Equipment Operator, Parks and Recreation Department

(Cont’d)
2. (Cont’d)  

30-Year Pin 
Charles Ayala, Automotive / Equipment Technician, Parks and Recreation Department

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Melody Baker; Wayne Scoles; David Daniel Diaz; Pastor Jerry Menchaca; Eva Inbar; Kenneth Loch; Phil Walker; Tom Widroe, CityWatch; Howard Green.

CONSENT CALENDAR (Item Nos. 3 – 14)

The titles of the resolution and ordinance related to Consent Calendar items were read.

Motion: Councilmembers Rowse/White to approve the Consent Calendar as recommended.

Vote: Unanimous roll call vote.

3. Subject: Minutes

Recommendation: That Council waive further reading and approve the minutes of the regular meeting of March 17, 2015, the regular meeting (cancelled) of March 31, 2015, and the special meetings of March 18 and March 30, 2015.

Action: Approved the recommendation.

4. Subject: Renew An Agreement To Maintain And Operate Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens (570.05)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the Parks and Recreation Director to execute an agreement with Pilgrim Terrace Affordable, L.P., to maintain and operate the Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens.

Action: Approved the recommendation; Agreement No. 25,125 (April 7, 2015, report from the Parks and Recreation Director).

5. Subject: Receipt Of Public Education Grant For Emergency Preparedness (520.02)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Authorize the receipt of grant funds totaling $5,000 from the Aware & Prepare Initiative; and
B. Increase appropriations and estimated revenues by $5,000 in the Fire Department’s General Fund Emergency Services & Public Education Division for Fiscal Year 2015.

(Cont’d)
5. (Cont’d)

Action: Approved the recommendations (April 7, 2015, report from Fire Chief).

6. **Subject: Sole Source Vendor For Mobile Air Compressor (520.03)**

Recommendation: That Council authorize the City's General Services Manager to issue a purchase order to Bauer Compressors, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $60,000, according to the Sole Source provisions of Santa Barbara Municipal Code Section 4.52.070 (K), in order to fund the cost to purchase and install a Bauer K18.1 Mobile Air Compressor on the Fire Department's Hazardous Materials vehicle.

Action: Approved the recommendation (April 7, 2015, report from Fire Chief).

7. **Subject: Appropriation Of Asset Forfeiture Funds (520.04)**

Recommendation: That Council appropriate $20,000 in the Fiscal Year 2015 Police Department Police Asset Forfeiture Fund from available reserves into the Investigative Divisions Special Investigative Account (SIF).

Action: Approved the recommendation (April 7, 2015, report from Police Chief).

8. **Subject: Introduction Of Ordinance For A License Agreement With Southern California Gas Company (380.02)**

Recommendation: That Council introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Approving a 20-Year License Agreement with Southern California Gas Company, for Installation, Operation, and Maintenance of Advanced Metering Infrastructure on Certain City Properties, for a One-Time Fee of $780 Per Location, Effective May 14, 2015.

Speakers:
   Members of the Public: Tim Mahoney, Southern California Gas Company.

Action: Approved the recommendation (April 7, 2015, report from Public Works Director; proposed ordinance).

9. **Subject: Adoption Of Water Rate Increases For Fiscal Year 2016 (540.01)**


(Cont’d)
9. (Cont’d)

Speakers:
Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, CityWatch.

Action: Approved the recommendation; Resolution No. 15-020 (April 7, 2015, report from Public Works Director; proposed resolution).

10. Subject: Authorization For Agreement For Legal Services With Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC (160.01)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Authorize the City Attorney to execute a legal services agreement with Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC, in an amount not to exceed $45,000, for special counsel services for the City's petition for review in the California Supreme Court on Rolland Jacks, et al., v. City Of Santa Barbara, SBSC Case No. 1383959; and
B. Allocate $45,000 from General Fund appropriated reserves to the City Attorney's Office budget to cover the cost of legal services.

Action: Approved the recommendations; Agreement No. 25,126 (April 7, 2015, report from City Attorney).

NOTICES

11. The City Clerk has on Thursday, April 2, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

12. Recruitment For City Advisory Groups

A. The City Clerk's Office will accept applications through Tuesday, May 5, 2015, at 5:30 p.m. to fill scheduled vacancies on various City Advisory Groups and the unscheduled vacancies resulting from resignations received in the City Clerk's Office through Wednesday, April 15, 2015;
B. The City Council will conduct interviews of applicants for vacancies on various City Advisory Groups on Tuesday, May 19, 2015, at 4:00 p.m. (Estimated Time), Tuesday, June 2, 2015, at 6:00 p.m., and Tuesday, June 9, 2015, at 4:00 p.m. (Estimated Time); and
C. The City Council will make appointments to fill the vacancies on various City Advisory Groups on Tuesday, June 23, 2015.

13. Receipt of communication advising of vacancy created on the Community Events and Festivals Committee with the resignation of Laura McIver; the vacancy will be part of the semiannual recruitment.
14. The public hearing originally scheduled for April 7, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. to hear an appeal of the Historic Landmarks Commission’s approval for 1320 Olive Street, has been postponed to a date uncertain.

This concluded the Consent Calendar.

REPORT FROM THE ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

Ordinance Committee Chair Randy Rowse stated that the Ordinance Committee met to review an ordinance that would help to expedite the solar permitting process and an ordinance that would establish the position of Community Service Officer.

CITY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ATTORNEY REPORTS

PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

15. Subject: Report From The Trust For Public Land On The Potential Acquisition Of Vacant Land (APNs 047-010-064 And 047-061-026) Along Lower Arroyo Burro (Former Veronica Meadows Development Site) (330.03)

Recommendation: That Council receive a presentation from the Trust For Public Land on the potential acquisition of vacant land along lower Arroyo Burro.

Documents:
- April 7, 2015, report from the Parks and Recreation Director.
- PowerPoint Presentation prepared and made by Alex Size, Trust for Public Land.

Speakers:
- Staff: Creeks Manager Cameron Benson.
- Trust for Public Land: Project Manager Alex Size.
- Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, CityWatch; Marc Chytilo, Citizens Planning Association; Daniel McCarter.

The City Council received the presentation and their questions were answered.

   Recommendation: That the City Council receive a presentation on the 2015 Bicycle Master Plan progress.

   Documents:
   - April 7, 2015, report from the Public Works Director.
   - PowerPoint Presentation prepared and made by staff.
   - April 7, 2015, document entitled, “Ralph’s Final Bike Count,” presented by Ed France.

   Speakers:
   - Staff: Principal Transportation Planner Rob Dayton, Associate Transportation Planner Peter Brown.
   - Melendrez (City consultant): Principal Melani Smith; Urban Designer Shannon Davis.
   - Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, CityWatch; Christine Nelson-Thuresson; Sam Franklin, Santa Barbara Bicycle Coalition; Tom Becker, Cars Are Basic; Howard Green; Hillary Blackerby, representing Assemblymember Das Williams; Ed France, Santa Barbara Bicycle Coalition.

   The City Council received the presentation and their questions were answered.

17. **Subject: Measure A Five-Year Local Program Of Projects For Fiscal Years 2016 - 2020 Public Hearing (670.01)**

   Recommendation: That Council adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Adopting the Measure A Five-Year Local Program of Projects for Fiscal Years 2016 - 2020.

   Documents:
   - April 7, 2015, report from the Public Works Director.
   - Proposed resolution.
   - PowerPoint Presentation prepared and made by staff.

   The title of the resolution was read.

   Speakers:
   - Staff: Principal Civil Engineer John Ewasiuk, Transportation Manager Browning Allen, City Attorney Ariel Calonne, City Administrator Paul Casey.
   - Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, CityWatch.

   (Cont’d)
17. (Cont’d)

Motion:
Councilmembers Murillo/Rowse to approve staff’s recommendation; Resolution No. 15-021.

Vote:
Unanimous roll call vote.

COUNCILMEMBER COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT REPORTS

Information:
- Councilmember Murillo reported on her attendance at the following events and meetings: 1) Harding School Egg Hunt; 2) Living Wage Committee meeting; and 3) Edison Open House.
- Councilmember Rowse reported on his attendance at the Edison Open House and the Eggstravaganza event at Chase Palm Park.
- Mayor Schneider spoke regarding a meeting between City staff and the architects working on the new Criminal Courthouse that is being built on Figueroa Street.

RECESS

Mayor Schneider recessed the meeting at 5:00 p.m. in order for the Council to reconvene in closed session for Agenda Item No. 18. She stated no reportable action is anticipated.

CLOSED SESSIONS

18. Subject: Conference With Real Property Negotiators (330.03)

Recommendation: That Council hold a closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8 to consider the possible acquisition of real property.

Real Property: 900-1100 Las Positas Road, Santa Barbara, CA (APN 047-010-064; 14.69 acres); (APN 047-061-026; .04 acres)

City Negotiators: Paul Casey, City Administrator; Nancy Rapp, Parks and Recreation Director.

Negotiating Parties: Trust for Public Land; Peak-Las Positas Partners

Under Negotiation: Price and Terms of Payment

Scheduling: Duration, 30 minutes; anytime

Report: None anticipated

Documents:
April 7, 2015, report from the City Attorney.

Time:
5:05 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.

No report made.
RECESS

5:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.
Mayor Schneider presiding.
Councilmembers present: Francisco, Hart, Hotchkiss, Murillo, Rowse, White, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: None.
Staff present: City Administrator Casey, City Attorney Calonne, City Clerk Services Manager Peirce.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No one wished to speak.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

19. Subject: Community Development And Human Services Committee Recommendations For Fiscal Year 2016 And 5-Year Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan Public Hearing (610.05)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Approve the Fiscal Year 2016 funding recommendations of the Community Development and Human Services Committee (CDHSC) for use of Human Services and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds;
B. Authorize the Community Development Director to negotiate and execute grant agreements implementing the funding recommendations, subject to the review as to form by the City Attorney; and
C. Conduct a public hearing to obtain public input on the City's 5-Year Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2020, and Annual Action Plan for Fiscal Year 2016.

Documents:
- April 7, 2015, report from the Community Development Director.
- Community Development and Human Services Committee (CDHSC) Report on Funding Recommendations Fiscal Year 2015-2016.
- PowerPoint Presentation prepared and made by Staff.

Public Comment Opened:
6:03 p.m.

(Cont'd)
19. (Cont’d)

Speakers:
- Staff: Community Development Program Specialist Elizabeth Stotts.
- Community Development and Human Services Committee: Chair Max Rorty.
- Members of the Public: Jennifer Smith, Legal Aid; Rochelle Rose, People Self-Help Housing; Sandy Delos, Domestic Violence Solutions; Carolyn Brown, Boys and Girls Club of Santa Barbara; Jennifer Griffin, Independent Living Resource Center; Dani Anderson, Independent Living Resource Center; Eryn Eckert, Jodi House; Christine Placencia, Jodi House; Jennifer Freid, AHA!; Cecilia Rodriguez, Child Abuse Listening Mediation (CALM); Kristine Schwartz, New Beginnings Counseling Center; Dan Herlinger, Rental Housing Mediation Task Force; Terri Allison, Storytellers; Heidi Holly and Susan Stewart, Friendship Center; Lynn Karlson, Youth and Family Services Channel Islands YMCA; Mark Gisu, The Salvation Army; Jessica Wisham, Casa Esperanza; Diyana Dobberteen, Planned Parenthood; Monica Spear, Girls Inc.; Leah Gonzales, Women’s Economic Ventures; Lisa Brabo, Family Service Agency; Elsa Granados, Santa Barbara Rape Crisis Center; Belinda Garcia, Deysy Antonio, Patty, Future Leaders of America; Ann Kratz, Santa Barbara Neighborhood Clinics; Craig Barrett, Community Action Commission.

Public Comment Closed:
6:57 p.m.

Motion:
Councilmembers Murillo/Hotchkiss to approve recommendations A and B.

Vote:
Majority voice vote (Noes: Councilmember Francisco).

Based on the recommendations, the Council approved allocation of funding as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>AGREEMENT NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public/Human Services Category Priority 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foodbank</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>25,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth and Family Services CIYMCA</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>25,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foodbank</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>25,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth and Family Services CIYMCA</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>25,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitarian Society (Fiscal Umbrella)</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>25,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Solutions</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>25,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB Neighborhood Clinics</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>25,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah House Santa Barbara</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>25,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Solutions</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>25,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition House</td>
<td>$46,500</td>
<td>25,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Action Commission</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td>25,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent's</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>25,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Pride Foundation</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>25,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WillBridge of Santa Barbara, Inc.</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>25,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>25,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrillo Counseling Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>25,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>25,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Esperanza Homeless Center (2)</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>25,144, 25,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Parenthood</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>25,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Action Commission</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>25,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB County DA's Office</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>25,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Parks and Rec.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>25,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoples’ Self-Help Housing</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>25,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Parks and Rec.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>25,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB Community Housing Corp</td>
<td>$9,206</td>
<td>25,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public/Human Services Category Priority 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Abuse Listening Mediation</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>25,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>25,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>25,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academy of Healing Arts - AHA!</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>25,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Rape Crisis Center</td>
<td>$36,800</td>
<td>25,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>25,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid Foundation</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td>25,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition House</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>25,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Leaders of America</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>25,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>25,162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Cont'd)
19. (Cont’d)

Public/Human Services Category Priority 2 (Cont’d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friendship Adult Day Care Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
<td>25,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi House Brain Injury Support Center</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>25,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls Incorporated of Greater Santa Barbara</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>25,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Living Resource Center, Inc</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>25,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storyteller Children's Center</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>25,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Housing Mediation Task Force</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>25,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Leaders of America</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>25,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teddy Bear Cancer Foundation</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>25,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys &amp; Girls Club of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>25,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrillo Counseling Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>25,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital/Economic Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>25,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>$145,530</td>
<td>25,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's Economic Ventures</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>25,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>$151,000</td>
<td>25,176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Schneider adjourned the meeting at 7:02 p.m.

SANTA BARBARA CITY COUNCIL

SANTA BARBARA

CITY CLERK’S OFFICE

ATTEST:

HELENE SCHNEIDER

GWEN PEIRCE, CMC

MAYOR

CITY CLERK SERVICES MANAGER
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Engineering Division, Public Works Department

SUBJECT: Introduction Of Ordinances Establishing Speed Limits

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Chapter 10.60 of the Municipal Code by Revising Section 10.60.015, Establishing Prima Facie Speed Limits on Certain Portions of Las Positas Road, Cliff Drive, Cabrillo Boulevard, Bath Street, Calle Real, Castillo Street, Chapala Street, Milpas Street, Salinas Street, State Street, and Valerio Street; and

B. Introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Amending Chapter 10.20 of the Municipal Code by Revising Sections 10.20.020 and 10.20.025 Pertaining to Speed Zoning Adjacent to Children’s Playgrounds, and Adding Section 10.20.040 Pertaining to Extended Speed Zoning Near Schools.

DISCUSSION:

Schedule of Speed Limits

The California Vehicle Code prohibits the enforcement of speed limits on non-local streets using radar unless the speed limit is justified by a current Engineering and Traffic Survey (ETS). Therefore, any non-local street in California must have an ETS in order to establish a radar enforceable speed limit. Local streets and non-local streets are illustrated in the California Road Functional Classification System Map (See Attachment 1).

The City currently has 71 established speed zones supported by an ETS. The ETS must be updated every seven years, and the resulting speed limit concluded by that survey is entered into the Santa Barbara Municipal Code (SBMC) in order for the speed limits to be legally enforceable by radar. Based on the prevailing speeds measured as part of an ETS, existing speed limits have to be periodically updated in order to reflect current driving conditions. In 2014, a number of ETSs were updated, and due to the result of
those surveys, several speed limits within the City have to be updated, requiring Council action.

In addition, the limits of a number of existing speed zones listed in the SBMC are either out of date, or do not reflect practical road conditions. Consequently, the SBMC must be updated to reflect proper ends of speed zones.

Attachment 2 specifically lists each change and provides the basis for each modification to Section 10.60.015 of the SBMC. Included in this list:

1. One speed limit increase (Cabrillo Boulevard)
2. Three speed limit decreases (Bath Street, Calle Real, Castillo Street)
3. Four new speed zones (two on Cliff Drive, two on Las Positas Road)

Children’s Playground Speed Zoning

The California Vehicle Code allows local jurisdictions to establish 25 mph speed limit zones adjacent to children’s playground areas. Presently, Santa Barbara has the following two zones that apply:

1. Shoreline Drive adjacent to Shoreline Park from sunrise to sunset.
2. Cabrillo Boulevard adjacent to Chase Palm Park from sunrise to sunset.

Based on an ETS, along with consulting the Police Department, the following modifications are appropriate to each specific speed zone:

1. The 25 mph playground zone (sunrise to sunset) on Shoreline Drive should be extended from La Marina to Loma Alta Drive to aid police enforcement efforts.
2. The 25 mph playground zone (sunrise to sunset) on Cabrillo Boulevard adjacent to Chase Palm Park should be eliminated and replaced with a full-time 30 mph speed limit, which better reflects the current roadway and driving conditions.

At the Ordinance Committee meeting on March 17, 2015, an ordinance was discussed delegating the authority to establish and remove playground speed limit zones to the Public Works Department. After further analysis, it was decided that this authority should remain with the Council per California Vehicle Code. The attached Ordinance reflects that update.

Extended School Zone Speed Limit Zoning

The California Vehicle Code establishes a prima-facie speed limit of 25 mph when approaching or passing school buildings or grounds, for a distance up to 500 feet from the grounds. It also permits local jurisdictions to extend the 25 mph school speed limit zone up to 1000 feet away from school grounds with the establishment of a local ordinance. School zone speed limits are only enforceable when school-aged children are present.
Based on consultation with the Police Department, extending certain zones in the City would improve their school zone safety enforcement efforts.

The school speed limit zone extensions are proposed for the following locations:

1. Laguna Street, near Roosevelt Elementary (Mission Street)
2. Anacapa Street, near Notre Dame School (Micheltorena Street)
3. Flora Vista Drive, near Monroe Elementary.

At the Ordinance Committee meeting on March 17, 2015, an ordinance was introduced delegating the authority to establish and remove playground speed limit zones to the Public Works Department. After further analysis, it was decided that this authority should remain with the Council per California Vehicle Code. The attached Ordinance reflects that update.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. California Road Functional Classification System Map
2. Speed Survey Table

PREPARED BY: Derrick Bailey, Supervising Transportation Engineer/mj

SUBMITTED BY: Rebecca J. Bjork, Public Works Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
California Road Classification System Map

All roads shown in color (non-grey) are considered non-local and require an Engineering and Traffic Survey to establish a speed limit.
# Speed Survey Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed Zone</th>
<th>Speed Zone Limits</th>
<th>Existing/New Speed Limit</th>
<th>Reason for Change to SBMC 10.60.015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Positas Road</td>
<td>Cliff Drive to a point 870-feet north of Las Positas Place.</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a New: 55 mph</td>
<td>New speed limit established as a result of SR 225 relinquishment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff Drive</td>
<td>Loma Alta Drive to Las Positas Road</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a New: 40 mph</td>
<td>New speed limit established as a result of SR 225 relinquishment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabrillo Boulevard</td>
<td>Calle Cesar Chavez to US Highway 101</td>
<td>Exist.: 35 and n/a New: 35 mph</td>
<td>Cabrillo Boulevard between Calle Cesar Chavez and Ninos Drive has never been entered into the SBMC. Based on the Engineering and Traffic Survey (ETS), a speed limit of 35mph is appropriate. No change in the speed limit between Ninos Drive and US Highway 101.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Positas Road</td>
<td>State Street to a point 870-feet north of Las Positas Place</td>
<td>Exist.: 35 and n/a New: 35 mph</td>
<td>New speed limit established as a result of SR 225 relinquishment. No change to speed limit between US Highway 101 and State Street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yanonali Street</td>
<td>Calle Cesar Chavez to Garden Street</td>
<td>Exist.: 35 mph New: 35 mph</td>
<td>SBMC did not reflect the name change of Calle Cesar Chavez from Salsipuedes Street. No speed limit change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath Street</td>
<td>US Highway 101 northbound off ramp to Mission Street</td>
<td>Exist.: 30 mph New: 30 mph</td>
<td>Bath Street north of Mission Street, removed from this segment. No speed limit change between US Highway 101 and Mission Street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabrillo Boulevard</td>
<td>Castillo Street to Calle Cesar Chavez</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a, 25 mph New: 30 mph</td>
<td>Cabrillo Boulevard, west of Garden Street, has never been entered into the SBMC. Cabrillo Boulevard between Garden Street and Calle Cesar Chavez is currently a 25mph playground zone (daytime hours only, undefined at night). Based on an ETS, and consultation with the Police Department, this speed limit is too low for roadway conditions. A full time 30mph speed limit is appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calle Real</td>
<td>Pueblo Street to Las Positas Road</td>
<td>Exist.: 40 mph New: 30 mph</td>
<td>The updated ETS indicates a speed limit of 30mph is appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
<td>Endpoints</td>
<td>Existing Speed Limit</td>
<td>New Speed Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castillo Street</td>
<td>Cabrillo Boulevard to Mission Street</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a, 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 30mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapala Street</td>
<td>Gutierrez Street to Alamar Avenue</td>
<td>Exist.: 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 30 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliff Drive</td>
<td>Montecito Street to Loma Alta Drive</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a</td>
<td>New: 30 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milpas Street</td>
<td>Anapamu Street to Cabrillo Boulevard</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a, 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 30 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas Street</td>
<td>US Highway 101 to Sycamore Canyon Road</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a, 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 30 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Street</td>
<td>Victoria Street to Mission Street</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a, 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 30 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath Street</td>
<td>Mission Street to Quinto Street</td>
<td>Exist.: 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 25 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castillo Street</td>
<td>Mission Street to Pueblo Street</td>
<td>Exist.: 30 mph</td>
<td>New: 25 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerio Street</td>
<td>Gillespie Street to westerly cul-de-sac</td>
<td>Exist.: n/a, 25 mph</td>
<td>New: 25 mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING CHAPTER 10.60 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE BY REVISIGN SECTION 10.60.015, ESTABLISHING PRIMA FACIE SPEED LIMITS ON CERTAIN PORTIONS OF LAS POSITAS ROAD, CLIFF DRIVE, CABRILLO BOULEVARD, BATH STREET, CALLE REAL, CASTILLO STREET, CHAPALA STREET, MILPAS STREET, SALINAS STREET, STATE STREET, AND VALERIO STREET

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 10.60 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is revised to read as follows:

10.60.015 Streets of Modified Speed Limits.

In accordance with Section 10.20.015, and when properly sign posted, the prima facie speed limit on the following streets, or portions of streets, shall be as follows:

**55 miles per hour:**
- LAS POSITAS ROAD – Cliff Drive to a point 870 feet north of Las Positas Place

**45 miles per hour:**
- CALLE REAL - Las Positas Road to Hitchcock Way
- HOLLISTER AVENUE - Fairview Avenue to the westerly City limits
- MODOC ROAD - Las Positas Road to westerly City limits
- OLD COAST HIGHWAY - Harbor View Drive to Hot Springs Road

**40 miles per hour:**
- CALLE REAL – Pueblo Street to Las Positas Road
- CALLE REAL - Hitchcock Way to La Cumbre Road
- CARRILLO STREET - San Andres Street to La Coronilla Drive
- CLIFF DRIVE – Loma Alta Drive to Las Positas Road
- MEIGS ROAD - Cliff Drive to La Coronilla Road
35 miles per hour:

ALAMAR AVENUE - Foothill Road to State Street
ALSTON ROAD - City limits to Eucalyptus Hill Road
BARKER PASS ROAD - Eucalyptus Hill Road to the northerly City limits
CABRILLO BOULEVARD - Calle Cesar Chavez Ninos Drive to US Highway 101
CLIFF DRIVE (SR 225) - Westerly City limits to Las Positas Road
FAIRVIEW AVENUE - Placencia Street to Calle Real, those portions within the City limits
HOPE AVENUE - State Street to Pueblo Avenue
HOPE AVENUE - Calle Real to State Street
LA CUMBRE ROAD - Via Lucero to northerly City limits
LA COLINA ROAD - La Cumbre Road to Verano Drive
LAS POSITAS ROAD – US Highway 101 to State Street to a point 870 feet north of Las Positas Place
LOMA ALTA DRIVE - Cliff Drive (SR 225) to Shoreline Drive
MEIGS ROAD - Cliff Drive to Salida Del Sol
MODOC ROAD - Mission Street to Las Positas Road
OLD COAST HIGHWAY - Salinas Street to Harbor View Drive
SHORELINE DRIVE - Castillo Street to La Marina
STATE STREET - Mission Street to the westerly City limits
VERONICA SPRINGS ROAD - Those portions within the City limits
YANONALI STREET – Salsipuedes Calle Cesar Chavez Street to Garden Street

30 miles per hour:

ALAMAR AVENUE - De La Vina Street to Junipero Street
ALAMEDA PADRE SERRA - Los Olivos Street to Sycamore Canyon Road
ALAMEDA PADRE SERRA - Sycamore Canyon Road to Eucalyptus Hill Road
ANACAPA STREET - Arrellaga Street to Constance Avenue
ANAPAMU STREET - Santa Barbara Street to Milpas Street
BATH STREET - US Highway 101 northbound offramp to Mission Street to Quinto Street
CABRILLO BOULEVARD – Castillo Street to Calle Cesar Chavez
CALLE REAL – Pueblo Street to Las Positas Road
CANON PERDIDO STREET - Santa Barbara Street to Milpas Street
CASTILLO STREET – Montecito Street to Junipero Street Cabrillo Boulevard to Mission Street
CHAPALA STREET – US Highway 101 Gutierrez Street to Alamar Avenue
CLIFF DRIVE – Montecito Street to Loma Alta Drive
CLINTON TERRACE - Samarkand Drive to Tallant Road
COAST VILLAGE ROAD - Olive Mill Road to Cabrillo Boulevard
CONSTANCE AVENUE - State Street to Garden Street
DE LA GUERRA STREET - Santa Barbara Street to Milpas Street
DE LA VINA STREET - State Street to Micheltorena Street
DE LA VINA STREET - Micheltorena Street to Haley Street
  GARDEN STREET - Micheltorena Street to Junipero Street
30 miles per hour (Cont'd):
HITCHCOCK WAY - Calle Real to State Street
LA CUMBRÉE ROAD - Southerly City limits (US Highway 101) to Via Lucero
LOMA ALTA DRIVE – Coronel Street to Canon Perdido Street
MILPAS STREET - Anapamu Street to Mason Street Cabrillo Boulevard
MIRAMONTE DRIVE - Carrillo Street to Via Del Cielo
ONTARE ROAD - Sunset Drive to Foothill Road
SALINAS STREET - US Highway 101 to Mason Street Sycamore Canyon Road
SAMARKAND DRIVE - De La Vina to Clinton Terrace
SAN PASCUAL STREET - Canon Perdido Street to Coronel Place
SAN ROQUE ROAD - Foothill Road to State Street
SANTA BARBARA STREET - Anapamu Street to Constance Avenue
SHORELINE DRIVE - Salida Del Sol to La Marina
STATE STREET – Micheltoarena Victoria Street to Mission Street
TREASURE DRIVE - Tallant Road to Calle Real
VERANO DRIVE - Primavera Road to southerly City limits
YANONALI STREET - Garden Street to State Street

25 miles per hour:
ANACAPA STREET - Arrellaga Street to US Highway 101
BATH STREET – Mission Street to Quinto Street
CARPINTERIA STREET - Milpas Street to Salinas Street
CARRILLO STREET – Chapala Street to San Andres Street
CASTILLO STREET – Mission Street to Pueblo Street
COTA STREET – Santa Barbara Street to Alameda Padre Serra
GUTIERREZ STREET – Santa Barbara Street to Alameda Padre Serra
Haley STREET – Chapala Street to Milpas Street
MICHELLTORENA STREET – San Andres Street to California Street
MISSION STREET – Robbins Street to Anacapa Street
ONTARE ROAD - State Street to Sunset Drive
PUESTA DEL SOL - Alamar Avenue to easterly City limits
SAN ANDRES STREET - Mission Street to Canon Perdido Street
VALERIO STREET – Robbins Gillespie Street to westerly cul-de-sac

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING CHAPTER 10.20 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE BY REVISIONING SECTIONS 10.20.020 AND 10.20.025 PERTAINING TO SPEED ZONING ADJACENT TO CHILDREN’S PLAYGROUNDS, AND ADDING SECTION 10.20.040 PERTAINING TO EXTENDED SPEED ZONING NEAR SCHOOLS

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 10.20 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is revised to read as follows:

10.20.015 Speed Zoning on Other than State Highways

Pursuant to Section 22357 and 22358 of the Vehicle Code, the City Council hereby determines, upon the basis of engineering and traffic investigation, that a speed greater than 25 miles per hour would be reasonable and safe upon the streets designated in Section 10.60.015 of this Code, which are otherwise subject to a prima facie speed limit of 25 miles per hour under the said Vehicle Code, and that the maximum limit of 55 miles per hour is more than is reasonable and safe upon the streets designated in Section 10.60.015, which are otherwise subject to a maximum speed limit of 55 miles per hour under the said Vehicle Code. The Public Works Department is hereby authorized and directed to establish appropriate signs giving notice of the prima facie speed limits established by Section 10.60.015. (Ord. 4069, 1980.)

10.20.020 Speed Restriction on Street Adjacent to a Children's Playground (Shoreline Park and Leadbetter Beach).

Pursuant to Section 22357.1 of the California Vehicle Code, the prima facie speed limit on Shoreline Drive between La Marina–Loma Alta Drive and the westerly terminus of Shoreline Park shall be 25 miles per hour, every day, from sunrise to sunset. (Ord. 4804, 1993.)
**10.20.025 Speed Reduction on Street Adjacent to a Children’s Playground (Chase Palm Park).**

Pursuant to Section 22357.1 of the California Vehicle Code, the prima facie speed limit on Cabrillo Boulevard between Garden Street and Calle César Chávez shall be 25 miles per hour, every day, from sunrise to sunset. (Ord. 5054, 1998.)

**10.20.030 Speed Restrictions - Bridges and Structures**

Whenever the Council determines, on the basis of an engineering investigation, the maximum speed, which shall be not less than 5 miles per hour, that can be maintained with safety on any bridge or elevated structure within the City, and a public hearing is held as provided in Section 516 (22404) of the Vehicle Code, the Council may make its order in writing determining such maximum speed, and the City Transportation Engineer shall erect and maintain signs specifying such maximum speed in the manner provided by law. (Ord. 2713 §1(part), 1959; prior Code §31.44.)

**10.20.040 Extended School Zone Speed Zoning**

Pursuant to Section 22358.4 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California, the City Council hereby extends the length of the prima facie school zone speed limit of 25 mph, established by Section 22352 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California, at certain school zones. Where appropriate school zone warning signs are erected giving notice thereof, the prima facie speed limit of 25 mph shall be in effect while children are going to or leaving the school, either during school hours or during the noon recess period, at the following locations:

A. ANACAPA STREET – East Islay Street to East Micheltorena Street
B. FLORA VISTA DRIVE – Calle Andulucia to Cliff Drive
C. LAGUNA STREET – East Los Olivos Street to a point 30 feet north of East Islay Street

**SECTION 2. CEQA.** This ordinance is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), pursuant to Section 15060(c)(2) of the CEQA Guidelines (Title 14, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations) because the activity will not result in a direct or reasonable foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and Section 15060(c)(3) because the activity is not a project as defined in Section 15378 of the CEQA Guidelines because it has no potential for resulting in physical change to the environment, directly or indirectly.
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING SECTION 2.28.030 OF THE SANTA BARBARA MUNICIPAL CODE TO GRANT THE LIBRARY DIRECTOR THE AUTHORITY TO PROMULGATE AND POST FACILITY-SPECIFIC REGULATIONS

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 2.28.030 of Chapter 2.28 of Title 2 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

2.28.030 Duties of Director.

The Library Director shall be responsible for the supervision and control of all personnel, materials, and equipment assigned to the Department and for the performance of the functions of the Department, subject to the supervision of the City Administrator. The Library Director shall have the authority to promulgate and post facility specific regulations. No person shall violate any such regulations. Any person found to be in violation of a facility specific regulation promulgated by the Library Director shall be subject to removal from the facility upon request of the Library Director or his or her designee. Such request, when made to law enforcement after refusal to comply, shall be a basis for forcible removal, citation or arrest.
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
SANTA BARBARA AMENDING TITLE 9 OF THE
MUNICIPAL CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER 9.07 TO
PROHIBIT URINATING OR DEFECATING IN PUBLIC

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS
FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title 9 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended by adding Chapter
9.07 which reads as follows:

Chapter 9.07 Urinating or Defecating in Public.

9.07.010 Urinating or Defecating in Public Prohibited.

No person shall defecate or urinate in public or upon any street, sidewalk, or other public
place.
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING SECTION 9.48.010 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING COMMERCIAL USE OF CITY STREETS TO PROHIBIT THE USE OF PUBLIC STREET FURNITURE AS A VENUE FOR SELLING OR OFFERING FOR DONATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

The City Council finds that these regulations are necessary to maintain the aesthetic attractiveness of Santa Barbara which depends heavily on its tourism-based economy for its financial vitality. These regulations are also necessary in order to promote public safety and the orderly movement of pedestrians, particularly in the crowded downtown core, where on-street or on-sidewalk vending will present a substantial obstruction to pedestrian and vehicular traffic. Finally, these regulations are essential to protect the local merchant economy which would be undersold and threatened economically by competition from street vendors who do not pay rent or other overhead expenses.

SECTION 2. Section 9.48.010 of Chapter 9.48 of Title 9 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended to reads as follows:

9.48.010 Commercial Use of City Streets.

A. GENERALLY. It shall be unlawful for any person, whether acting as principal, agent, clerk, employee, or otherwise, to use any public street, public parking lot, public street furniture, or public sidewalk in the City for the purpose of selling, vending, offering for donations, offering for sale or soliciting or receiving orders for the sale of any goods, wares or merchandise.
B. **SALE OF NEWSPAPERS.** Notwithstanding subsection A hereof, nothing herein shall prohibit any person from selling or offering for sale newspapers, magazines and periodicals upon any of the public sidewalks of the City in the present customary and usual manner of selling and offering for sale of newspapers, magazines, and periodicals in the City.

C. **EXEMPTION FOR SIDEWALK SALES, FARMERS' MARKETS, AND SIDEWALK CAFE TABLES.** Notwithstanding subsection A hereof, an individual or an organization may, upon the issuance of a permit by the Director of Public Works in accordance with the requirements of this Chapter and the administrative regulations adopted pursuant hereto, use a public street or sidewalk in the City for the following limited purposes:

1. **Sidewalk Sales.** A retail business licensed to do business at a location within the City may conduct a sale of merchandise on a City sidewalk under the following conditions:
   
   a. The sale occurs only on a public sidewalk immediately adjacent to the retail business;

   b. The retail business does not conduct such sidewalk sales for more than a total of ten (10) days for each calendar year provided, however, that those businesses within a two (2) block radius of a construction project which impacts pedestrian or vehicular access to the City block within which the business is located for a period exceeding fourteen (14) consecutive days may be allowed up to twenty (20) days for sidewalk sales during the year in which the construction project is undertaken.

2. **Farmers' Markets.** An individual or an organization may use a public street or City parking lot for the purpose of conducting a Certified Farmers' Market [as
defined and provided for in Title 3, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations] under the following conditions:

a. The merchandise offered for sale at the Farmers' Market is allowed to be sold at a Certified Farmers’ Market;

b. The use of the street or public parking lot is authorized by and pursuant to a written license agreement between the City and the Market sponsor, which license agreement limits the Market to a specified day or days of the week and to certain limited hours;

c. The vendors of merchandise at the Farmers' Market are authorized to conduct such sales by the organization sponsoring the Market and entering into the license agreement with the City.

3. **Limited Nonprofit Sidewalk Sales.** In connection and concurrent with a Parade or Event (as permitted and defined in Municipal Code Section 9.12.020), which Parade or Event is sponsored by a nonprofit entity (as evidenced by tax-exempt status under state and federal tax laws), a public sidewalk may be used for the limited merchandising of items or services under the following conditions:

a. The sidewalk sales may occur for a period not to exceed five (5) days in any calendar year, and the sales must be concurrent with the associated Parade or Event;

b. The location of any booth or table used by a sidewalk vendor under this subsection shall be at a specific location approved in advance by the City;

c. The net proceeds received by the nonprofit corporation from such sales are to be devoted exclusively for the benefit of the sponsoring nonprofit organization(s);

d. The persons conducting such sales are authorized in writing to do so by the nonprofit organization sponsoring the event;
e. For the purposes of this subsection, the word “concurrent” shall be
defined as occurring within the same calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

4. Sidewalk Sales in Connection with a Reserved Park Event. A public
street or sidewalk immediately adjacent to a City park facility may be used for the limited
merchandising of items under the following conditions:

a. The person or organization sponsoring the merchandising is a
nonprofit entity, and it has reserved the adjacent park facility for an event pursuant to the
requirements of Santa Barbara Municipal Code Chapter 15.05 and 15.16; and, event;

b. The sales occur only during the time the park is being used for the
reserved event;

c. The persons conducting such sales are authorized in writing to do
so by the nonprofit sponsoring the event;

d. The net proceeds received by the nonprofit corporation from such
sales are to be devoted exclusively for the benefit of the sponsoring nonprofit organization.

5. Sidewalk Cafe Tables Under Chapter 9.95. For the placement of
sidewalk cafe tables in accordance with Santa Barbara Municipal Code Chapter 9.95.

D. SIDEWALK MERCHANDISING REGULATIONS AND PERMITS. The
City Administrator, acting by and through the Director of Public Works, is hereby directed to
prepare an appropriate administrative process (along with related administrative regulations) for
the City’s acceptance, review, and processing of applications for the issuance of sidewalk
merchandising permits, as such permits are allowed by and consistent with the requirements of
this Section.
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING SECTION 9.50.010 OF THE SANTA BARBARA MUNICIPAL CODE TO PROHIBIT ACTIVE PANHANDLING IN SPECIFIED LOCATIONS

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 9.50.010 of Chapter 9.50 of Title 9 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended to reads as follows:

9.50.010 Purpose.

In order to protect and promote the rights of the general public to be free from inappropriate conduct and from the intimidating physical confrontations associated with panhandling, the City Council finds that there is a need to adopt a City ordinance which imposes reasonable and specific time, place, and manner limitations on those forms of inappropriate and unlawful conduct which may be associated with abusive and active panhandling. At the same time, the Council seeks to properly and duly recognize, as well as protect to the fullest extent possible, the First Amendment free speech rights of all concerned.

The Council finds that balancing the need for public safety with the need to protect constitutional rights is especially critical in certain popular retail and visitor-serving areas of the City. Specifically, Cabrillo Boulevard, lower Milpas Street, and certain blocks of State Street (those within the City Central Business District) are popular public gathering spaces and are often crowded with members of the public and visitors to the Santa Barbara area. Moreover, these areas provide only limited public amenities, such as public seating and outdoor dining areas, and members of the public should be free to use those areas without fear of coercive panhandling with its attendant risk of fraud, intimidation and violence. The Council further finds that, because these areas of Santa Barbara often have thousands of visitors each day and because there is limited
public seating and gathering areas available within these blocks of these streets, it is necessary and appropriate to provide panhandling regulations which prevent some persons from monopolizing the use of a public bench or a public seating area, as well as nearby sidewalk areas, for active panhandling. There is therefore a necessity for the City Council to adopt regulations which provide for the shared and reasonable use of these public facilities by all members of the public, especially the elderly and persons with special access needs.

The City Council further finds that panhandling near automated bank teller machines is particularly problematic because persons who use such machines may have large quantities of cash in their possession and generally feel vulnerable to attack or intimidation. Likewise, active panhandling on busses and other forms of public transportation threatens the person being solicited because they are in a confined space with no means of leaving the area in order to avoid being panhandled.

The City Council finds that these panhandling regulations will not prevent those persons who wish to solicit alms or charitable donations from appropriately using public benches and public seating facilities within these areas of the City for temporary respite purposes, nor will these panhandling regulations impact the content of any protected forms of expressive statements made by a panhandler or otherwise improperly restrict anyone’s First Amendment rights.

The City Council also finds that these panhandling regulations have been demonstrated, by careful mapping of the regulated areas which has been considered by Council, to leave open ample alternative locations within the City for active and passive panhandling. Active panhandling on or near public benches and seating areas is prohibited only in the most crowded and intensely used areas of the City’s commercial districts, and even with those areas many areas are open for active and passive panhandling.
SECTION 2. Section 9.50.030 of Chapter 9.50 of Title 9 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended to reads as follows:

9.50.030 Abusive Panhandling Prohibited; Specific Locations Where Active Panhandling is Restricted.

A. Abusive Panhandling Prohibited. Abusive Panhandling is unlawful and prohibited entirely within the city of Santa Barbara.

B. Active Panhandling Restricted. Active Panhandling is prohibited when the person being panhandled is in any of the following locations:

1. Waiting at a bus stop;

2. In a vehicle on a public street or alleyway;

3. In a City parking lot or parking structure without regard to whether the person is in a vehicle or not;

4. Within twenty-five feet of an outdoor dining area of a restaurant or other dining establishment serving food for immediate consumption;

5. Within fifty feet of an automated bank teller machine;

6. Within twenty-five feet of a queue of persons waiting to gain admission to a place of business or to a vehicle, or waiting to purchase an item or admission ticket; or

7. On buses or other public transportation vehicles.

9.50.040 Use of Public Benches and Facilities on Certain Streets for Active Panhandling.

Active Panhandling is prohibited while seated on or otherwise using a public bench or seating area (including any landscape planter or other public street furniture which can be sat upon), and within twenty-five feet of such benches and seating areas, within the following areas of the City:
1. **State Street.** On either side of State Street from the 400 block to the 1200 block; or

2. **Milpas Street.** Either side of Milpas Street from the 00 block South to the 200 block North; or

3. **Cabrillo Boulevard.** Cabrillo Boulevard between Castillo Street and Milpas Street.
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING SECTION 9.97.010 OF THE SANTA BARBARA MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING SITTING OR LYING ON SIDEWALKS AND PASEOS ALONG CERTAIN DOWNTOWN PORTIONS OF STATE STREET

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES. Since enactment of the City’s original “sit/lie” legislation, the relevant area along State Street has become an even bigger destination for night time entertainment. During late night hours when bar and entertainment venues are very busy, there have been increasing conflicts between such patrons and persons blocking the sidewalks and public paseos. Due to this increasing conflict, the City Council finds it necessary to prohibit sitting or lying down on the few affected blocks of State Street until 2:00 a.m.

SECTION 2. Section 9.97.010 of Chapter 9.97 of Title 9 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended to reads as follows:

9.97.010 Sitting or Lying on Public Sidewalks in Certain Downtown Areas of State Street.

A. Prohibition. No person shall sit or lie down upon a public sidewalk or public paseo, or upon a blanket, chair, stool, or any other object placed upon a public sidewalk or public paseo, during the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. of the following day along the first thirteen (13) blocks of State Street from Cabrillo Boulevard to and including the 1300 block of State Street.

For the purposes of this subsection (A), the terms "public sidewalk or public paseo" shall also include those public pedestrian sidewalks or public paseos which serve as access to and from State Street and the City parking facilities adjacent to State Street within the...
designated blocks, which shall also specifically include the area known as "Storke Placita," as well as the railings, statues, sculptures, or planter areas within the designated blocks.

B. Exceptions. The prohibitions of Subsection A shall not apply to any person or persons:

1. Who is sitting or lying down on a public sidewalk due to a medical emergency;

2. Who, as the result of a disability, utilizes a wheelchair, walker, or similar device to move about the public sidewalk;

3. Who is operating or patronizing a commercial establishment conducted on the public sidewalk pursuant to a street use permit issued pursuant to Chapter 9.95 of this Title or who is participating in or attending a parade, festival, performance, rally, demonstration, meeting, or similar event conducted on public sidewalk pursuant to a street use or other applicable parade permit issued by the City in accordance with this Code.

Nothing in any of these exceptions shall be construed to permit any conduct which is otherwise prohibited by this Code.

C. Scope. Nothing herein shall be deemed to apply the requirements of subsection (A) to the following:

1. A person who is sitting on a chair or bench located on the public sidewalk which is supplied by a public agency or by the abutting private property owner for such purposes or;

2. A person who is sitting on a public sidewalk within a bus stop zone while waiting for public transportation.
D. **Prior Warning.** No person shall be prosecuted for a violation of this Chapter unless the person engages in conduct prohibited by this Chapter after having been notified by a law enforcement officer that the conduct violates this Chapter.
ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AMENDING SECTION 9.98.010 OF THE SANTA BARBARA MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING PEDESTRIANS BLOCKING PUBLIC SIDEWALKS

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 9.98.010 of Chapter 9.98 of Title 9 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

9.98.010 Unlawful Street or Sidewalk Obstruction.

No person shall stand, or sit, or congregate in or upon any street, sidewalk or crosswalk in the City with the intent to hinder or obstruct the free passage of pedestrians thereon, or to annoy or molest such pedestrians, or to block the entrance to a building, and refuse to disperse after having been ordered to do so by the police when the police reasonably believe an immediate threat to public safety is present.
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Information Systems Division of Administrative Services Department and the Community Development Department

SUBJECT: Purchase Of Historical Properties Web Application

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Approve a professional services agreement with PixelPushers, Inc., doing business as Civica Software, for the acquisition and implementation of the Historical Properties Web Application (HPWA), in an amount not to exceed $37,200;
B. Approve additional services that may be identified during the implementation of HPWA, in an amount not to exceed $3,800;
C. Transfer $16,000 from the Capital Outlay Fund from the Reserve for Technology Upgrades to the Community Development Department’s Building and Safety Division’s budget to cover a portion of this agreement; and
D. Increase appropriations and estimated revenues by $16,000 in the General Fund, Community Development Department.

DISCUSSION:

Background

Community Development maintains a historical properties database to track inventory of the City’s historic properties as mandated by the California State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP).

The City’s current historical database was acquired from the City of Riverside in 2003. Over the years, Community Development staff have worked with Information Systems to customize the database.

Several years ago, Community Development realized the historical database was not adequately meeting its needs. While the current database is functional, it has a number of issues: (1) when constituents want to view property records, they must make a trip to 630 Garden, request from Planning staff a report for a specific property address and wait for the record to be looked-up and printed; (2) updating the database records can only be done from City workstations, so off-site contractors (and staff) must take
pictures and notes, send them to internal staff who then save and upload the assets to the database; (3) there is no contractor to provide maintenance and support; and (4) there is no direct way to connect property records to an interactive mapping system.

**Selection Process**

Community Development and Information Systems staff have spent a significant amount of time researching and evaluating alternative solutions. Because there are no “off-the-shelf” commercial applications available, municipalities use “home-grown” or developed applications.

**Selection of Civica Software**

Community Development and Information Systems staff approached the City’s website vendor, Civica Software. Civica created the City’s internet website and offers custom development. Civica will be able to fully develop and integrate the HPWA directly into the City’s website. The City will save costs by using the servers that run the main city website. The public user experience between the main website and the HPWA will be seamless. Staff managing the historical information will use the same administration tool as staff use now to create, update and publish web pages.

**Benefits**

Community Development and Information Systems staff have created a detailed and extensive scope of work that Civica has agreed to provide for the contracted amount. The scope of work will provide, but not be limited to, the following.

1. Allow the public to access and search the City’s historical properties information via the internet from the main City website
2. Enable staff and designated contractors, to submit and approve changes and additions to the database remotely via desktop, tablet and smart phone
3. Maintenance and support for the application will be provided by Civica Software
4. Property information records in the HPWA will link to the City’s MAPS application for viewing on an interactive internet map

**Implementation Timeline**

The HPWA is expected to launch approximately four months after commencement of the contract.

**BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:**

$25,000 will come from the Historic Work Program that was approved and appropriated in the Community Development Department’s budget during the Fiscal Year 2015 budget process; the balance of $16,000 will come from the Technology Upgrade
Reserves, which will be transferred to and appropriated in the Community Development Department budget.

During the past ten years, there has been a technology fee paid at the time of pulling a building permit. This fee has been set aside in a reserve account in the Capital Outlay Fund for technology upgrades, specifically to upgrade our permit tracking system used by the Land Development Team, but for other technology needs relating to the Land Development Team as well. There are sufficient funds in the reserve account for this need.

It is important to note that during contract negotiations, Civica Software agreed that they will not recoup their entire cost of development through the HPWA project with the City and that their loss will be offset by future sales of the product to other municipalities. Maintenance for HPWA will be part of the City’s existing website maintenance and support contract with Civia, and not add to the annual cost.

**SUSTAINABILITY IMPACT:**

The new HPWA will provide internet access to the City’s historical properties information, saving vehicle trips into the City.

A copy of the contract/agreement is available for public review in the City Clerk’s Office.

**PREPARED BY:** Scott Nelson, Webmaster

**SUBMITTED BY:** Kristy Schmidt, Administrative Services Director

**APPROVED BY:** City Administrator’s Office
AGENDA DATE:        April 21, 2015

TO:                  Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM:                Facilities Division, Public Works Department

SUBJECT:             Professional Services Agreement For Design Of Central Library Exterior Restoration Project

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council authorize the Public Works Director to execute a Professional Services Agreement with Architectural Resources Group, Inc. (ARG), in the amount of $37,000, with $3,700 available for extra services, for the Design of Central Library Exterior Restoration Project at 40 East Anapamu Street.

DISCUSSION:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project scope of work is to provide a complete and workable design for the exterior painting and restoration of the Santa Barbara Central Library facility. Services to be included in this contract are:

- Complete an overall condition assessment of the existing paint and the building’s exterior elements (walls, trim, fascia, awnings, cornices, and decorative features).
- Review all available historical documentation and incorporate past design intents into the proposal.
- Provide a minimum of three (3) different painting schemes to be reviewed by City staff and the Historic Landmarks Commission (HLC).
- Provide bid specifications and construction drawings after successfully getting approval from the City’s Planning, Permit, and HLC process.
- Provide bid and construction support as required.

SELECTION PROCESS

City Staff has undergone a thorough process in selecting a firm to complete the design for this project. A competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued on July 11,
2014, and the City received three (3) separate submissions on August 13, 2014. The Facilities Engineers and Library Department staff reviewed each proposal and posed additional questions with each design firm to further review their submittals. After review, City staff selected ARG as the best firm for the design. ARG offered both the lowest price ($37,000) and the best total value. ARG has local experience on past projects at the Santa Barbara County Courthouse and the Santa Barbara Airport Historic Terminal. In addition, ARG identified Winick Architects, Inc., as a local consultant to provide assistance with design services and the Historical Landmark Commission process. ARG’s cost breakdown is considered fair and reasonable and reflects architectural cost breakdowns for similar projects.

FUNDING

The following summarizes all estimated total Project costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design (by Contract)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Design Costs - City staff, Environmental Assessments, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Construction Contract w/Change Order Allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Construction Management/Inspection (by Contract or City)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Other Construction Costs (testing, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COST</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are sufficient funds in the Facilities Capital Fund to cover these costs.

PREPARED BY: Jim Dewey, Facilities & Energy Manager/BK/mh

SUBMITTED BY: Rebecca J. Bjork, Public Works Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Engineering Division, Public Works Department

SUBJECT: Contract For Sycamore Creek Channel Improvements And Punta Gorda Street Bridge Replacement Post-Construction Restoration Services

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council authorize the Public Works Director to execute a City Professional Services contract with Cardno, Inc., in the amount of $51,202 for post-construction restoration services for the Sycamore Creek Channel Improvements and Punta Gorda Street Bridge Replacement Project, and authorize the Public Works Director to approve expenditures of up to $5,120 for extra services that may result from necessary changes in the scope of work.

DISCUSSION:

The City’s Capital Improvement Program includes Sycamore Creek Channel improvements to reduce the probability of flooding in the neighborhood north of the U.S. Highway 101 bridge. On September 7, 2012, the City received approval from the California Department of Housing and Community Development for a Disaster Recovery Initiative Grant in the amount of $2,662,525 for the Sycamore Creek Channel Improvements and Punta Gorda Street Bridge Replacement Project (Project).

On May 21, 2013, Council awarded a construction contract for completion of the Project. Construction began in July 2013 and was completed in March 2015. It is required that the planted area be monitored and maintained for a period of five years. This contract covers only the first year of the required five year monitoring, maintenance, and reporting. The total estimated cost for the remaining four years is $90,000.
BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

There are sufficient funds in the Streets Capital Program to cover the cost of this contract for the first year of monitoring, maintenance, and reporting. The funds will come primarily from unspent Project funds. The remainder of the funds will come from the Post Bridge Construction Monitoring and Reporting capital project.

Future bridge replacement projects currently in construction (Cabrillo, Mason, and Cota) will have similar requirements for post-construction monitoring, maintenance, and reporting. Additional funds will need to be allocated to cover these costs in future budget cycles.

ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>$576,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$3,135,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Construction Monitoring/Maintenance/Reporting, Year 1</td>
<td>$51,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Construction Monitoring/Maintenance/Reporting, Years 2 - 5</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Construction Monitoring/Maintenance/Reporting</td>
<td>$141,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total</td>
<td>$3,902,590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cents have been rounded to the nearest dollar in this table.

PREPARED BY: Brian D'Amour, Principal Civil Engineer/sk
SUBMITTED BY: Rebecca J. Bjork, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: City Attorney’s Office

SUBJECT: Authorize Payment Of Phase 2 Attorney Fees To The Firm Of Cappello & Noël, LLP, Relating To Banales, et al., v. City of Santa Barbara

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council authorize the payment of additional attorney fees in the amount of $78,088 to the law firm of Cappello & Noël, LLP, for completion of Phase 2 of the case relating to Banales, et al., v. City of Santa Barbara.

DISCUSSION:

The City Attorney has reviewed the invoices and approved the charges as justified pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

The City Attorney’s Office budget has sufficient appropriations to cover the final costs from previous authority approved by Council on this case.

PREPARED BY: Ariel Calonne, City Attorney

SUBMITTED BY: Ariel Calonne, City Attorney

APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
CITY OF SANTA BARBARA
COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015
TO: Mayor and Councilmembers
FROM: City Administrator's Office
SUBJECT: Legislative Platform

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Adopt the legislative platform that guides the City's support or opposition to regional, state and federal legislative issues; and
B. Authorize the Mayor, Councilmembers, and staff, on behalf of the City of Santa Barbara, to contact regional, state and federal representatives to advocate for legislation and actions consistent with the goals of the legislative platform.

DISCUSSION:

The City’s legislative platform serves as the foundation for the City to support, remain neutral or oppose regional initiatives and/or state and federal legislation. A platform that is comprehensive and current enables the City to act quickly when advocacy is needed. In cases where an issue is not addressed in the platform, staff will return to the Council Committee on Legislation and/or the City Council for direction as necessary.

Every year, staff reviews the platform to ensure the platform reflects current policy issues and positions of the Council. The Council Committee met March 25 to review recommended changes to the platform and recommend revisions to City Council. The proposed platform (Attachment) is marked with additions and deletions to assist Council in identifying the changes. The Committee recommended that the Council adopt the proposed 2015 Legislative Platform.

ATTACHMENT: Proposed 2015 Legislative Platform
PREPARED BY: Kate Whan, Administrative Analyst
SUBMITTED BY: Paul Casey, City Administrator
APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
2015 Legislative Platform

City of Santa Barbara

Revision Date: 3/25/2015
## 2015 Legislative Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY ADMINISTRATION</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: Issues regarding local authority, state and federal mandates, election law and administration, tort reform: open meeting law (Brown Act), the Public Records Act, the Political Reform Act, and other conflict of interest laws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| LOCAL AUTHORITY AND DISCRETION | ALL DEPARTMENTS | Support the ability of local governments to enact local standards or regulations that differ from those enacted at the state and federal level regarding any issue described in the legislative platform.  
Oppose legislation or regulation that restricts local authority and discretion. |
| STATE AND FEDERAL MANDATES | ALL DEPARTMENTS | Support funding for any improvements, programs, and projects required of the City through state or federal mandates.  
Oppose unfunded state or federal mandates. |
| OPEN MEETING LAW (THE BROWN ACT) | ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES/CITY ATTORNEY | Support legislation that recognizes the need to conduct the public’s business in public. To this end, the City supports the regulation of the State Legislature and other public agencies to ensure conformance to the principles of the open meetings provision in the Ralph M. Brown Act.  
Support legislation that extends application of the Brown Act to the State Legislature.  
Oppose legislation claiming to enhance open and public meetings that in practice unnecessarily complicates the ability of a city to properly communicate with the public and that discourages communications among governing body members through unproductive restrictions and inappropriate activities.  
Oppose legislation that would impose further unnecessary restrictions on the action that a governing body can take in closed sessions.  
Support legislation that recognizes the realities of other constraints under which a local governing body must operate that necessitates judicious use of closed sessions, including:  
- The privacy rights granted to individuals under the U.S. and California constitutions;  
- The personnel issues that have a potential impact on an individual’s career and potential earning capacity and that raise serious liability questions for a local jurisdiction;  
- The protection of the taxpayer’s interests over property and other acquisitions or sales by a public agency;  
- The proper maintenance of the same attorney-client privilege enjoyed by the private sector; and  
- The ability of the City to conduct sensitive business negotiations confidentially. |
| ALLIANCES | ALL DEPARTMENTS | Support alliances with counties, schools, other cities, employee organizations, other local agencies, and business and professional organizations to support cooperation, sound financial policies, and joint action. |
| POLITICAL REFORM ACT | ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | Oppose regulations and legislation that would restrict or preempt local regulation of campaign financing.  
Support appropriate efforts to improve and streamline the Political Reform Act and its implementation through regulations.  
Support an increase in the fee for the reproduction of statements required under the Political Reform Act from ten cents ($0.10) to twenty-five cents ($0.25) per page. |
### 2015 Legislative Platform

#### CITY ADMINISTRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELECTIONS</th>
<th>Staff Liaison</th>
<th>Scope: Issues regarding local authority, state and federal mandates, election law and administration, tort reform, open meeting law (Brown Act), the Public Records Act, the Political Reform Act, and other conflict of interest laws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Costs/Procedures</td>
<td>Administrative Services/City Attorney</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that reduces any unnecessary and costly procedures for conducting a municipal election. <strong>Oppose</strong> legislation that mandates costly and unnecessary procedures related to the local election process. <strong>Support</strong> vote by mail elections for a county election. <strong>Support</strong> the requirement that the intent and text of a local ballot measure is to be filed with the City Clerk with a filing fee. <strong>Support</strong> publishing the title of a local ballot measure in a newspaper of general circulation instead of the full text. <strong>Oppose</strong> legislation which would allow California Voting Rights Act lawsuits against cities that already have district elections. <strong>Oppose</strong> legislation that would mandate the dates on which to hold local elections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mail Ballots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ballot measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### LITIGATION REFORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LITIGATION REFORM</th>
<th>Staff Liaison</th>
<th><strong>Support</strong> legislation that limits the exposure of local governments to lawsuits related to liability, including but not limited to such areas as unimproved natural conditions, design immunity, hazardous recreational activities, and injuries due to wild animals in public places. <strong>Support</strong> modifications to the joint and several liability laws that require the responsible parties in a civil action to pay only their fair share of judgment based on their relative responsibility. <strong>Support</strong> civil justice reform measures designed to improve the business climate in California. The City Attorney will evaluate these measures on a case-by-case basis. <strong>Support</strong> limitations on lawsuits that have little merit by eliminating the availability of provisions for fee recovery by petitioners or by authorizing cities to collect their fees and costs in cases where they are the prevailing party.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Local Exposure</td>
<td>City Attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Business Climate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVENUE AND TAXATION</td>
<td>Staff Liaison Departments</td>
<td>Scope: Issues and recommendations related to finance administration, taxation reform, revenue needs, and revenue sources at the federal, state and local levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFECTIVE FINANCING</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support meaningful fiscal reform that allows each level of government to adequately finance its service responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL CONTROL</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support local control and accountability for revenues raised and services provided. Support the protection of existing city revenue sources, including the local share of property tax, sales tax, and COPS funding, etc. Support the authority of local governments to collect revenues from telecommunications providers and ensure that any future changes are revenue neutral for local governments. Oppose any state or federal legislation that would pre-empt or threaten local taxation authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDITIONAL REVENUE FOR LOCAL NEEDS</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support efforts to bring additional revenue to state/local governments to meet the needs of a growing population, service reductions, and deteriorating facilities and other capital infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE MANDATES REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support full and prompt reimbursement to all local agencies for all state-mandated programs and/or infractions and losses associated with local revenue shifts. Support reforms prohibiting the State from deferring mandate payments. Oppose unfunded state and federal mandates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISASTER RECOVERY</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support disaster recovery legislation that mitigates losses experienced by local governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALES TAXES</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support efforts to preserve and protect the existing Situs-based sales tax under the Bradley Burns 1% baseline. Support efforts to reduce competition for Situs-based new revenues. Support allocation of tax proceeds collected from internet sales to the jurisdiction where the product is received by the purchaser. Oppose circumvention of the principle of Situs-based sales. Oppose diversion of sales tax revenues from other regions in return for favorable treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX (TOT)</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support efforts to collect the full amount of local transient occupancy tax from online sales of lodging. Oppose any legislation that would prohibit local voter-approved transient occupancy taxes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTILITY USER TAX (UUT)</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Oppose legislation that would prohibit local governments from collecting Utility User Tax from modern telecommunication and video technologies, including but not limited to cell phones, Internet-based telephone services (VoIP), pre-paid wireless services, and other wired or wireless technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGIONAL REVENUES</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support the identification and implementation of multi-jurisdictional revenues in cases where regional issues, programs, and services are identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANCHISE FEES</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support local government ability to receive compensation for use of its public-right-of-way, including but not limited to gas, electric, refuse, and cable and video providers. Oppose any legislation that would reduce video franchise fees or PEG access fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC, EDUCATIONAL AND</td>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>Support legislation that preserves PEG access television and allows PEG access funding to be used for educational purposes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comment [k3]:** C-3, AB 1717 passed, so deleted statement no longer applicable, Per Finance Director

**Deleted:** Support legislation requiring local retailers to collect Utility User Tax on pre-paid wireless services and remit to the local agency
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUE AND TAXATION</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: Issues and recommendations related to finance administration, taxation reform, revenue needs, and revenue sources at the federal, state and local levels.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOVERNMENT (PEG) ACCESS TELEVISION</td>
<td></td>
<td>used to support basic operational costs, including employee salaries, as well as capital maintenance and replacement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2015 Legislative Platform

#### Employee Relations and Risk Management

**Scope:** Issues related to the field of labor relations and human resource management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor Relations</th>
<th>City Administrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Employees’ Retirement System (PERS)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> efforts to promote, initiate, and improve both public and private sector labor-management relations. <strong>Oppose</strong> interference with management rights or the ability of cities and their employees to bargain on matters within the scope of representation. <strong>Support</strong> legislation that specifically exempts local public agencies from the requirement to negotiate with any labor or special interest group about matters submitted to the voters of that jurisdiction as initiatives or Charter amendments. <strong>Support</strong> the long-held position of California courts that public employees cannot engage in strikes. <strong>Support</strong> local government control of the budget process related to employee compensation. <strong>Oppose</strong> any legislative action that requires the continuation of the terms of any Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between a public agency and an employee organization until a successor MOU is agreed upon. <strong>Oppose</strong> the expansion of a local agency’s collective bargaining responsibilities to employees over whose conditions of employment, the local agency does not have full control. <strong>Oppose</strong> any extension of the State Public Employment Relations Board jurisdiction over local public agency labor relation disputes and charges of unfair labor practices, and to any interference or intervention in local collective bargaining by any labor-management relations councils or boards. <strong>Oppose</strong> state-mandated compulsory labor arbitration, mediation, or fact-finding processes. <strong>Oppose</strong> state-mandated paid time off for employee’s union activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal Employment Opportunity</th>
<th>City Administrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Employees’ Retirement System (PERS)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation furthering the purpose and clarifying the application of state and federal civil rights and equal employment opportunity laws. <strong>Support</strong> consistent standards for discrimination and harassment claims between state and federal law. <strong>Support</strong> efforts to conform the California Family Care Leave Laws to the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA). <strong>Support</strong> the amendment of federal civil rights legislation to prohibit employment discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity. <strong>Oppose</strong> extending the filing dates for Fair Employment and Housing Commission (FEHC) charges, and oppose permitting the FEHC to provide affirmative or prospective relief to prevent the recurrence of an unlawful practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Employees’ Retirement System (PERS)</th>
<th>Administrative Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> the PERS Public Agency Coalition (PERS/PAC) and its efforts to maintain or further the interests of contracting agencies. <strong>Support</strong> allowing changes to retirement benefits applicable to the prospective service of existing employees, consistent with the state and federal constitutions and other applicable law. <strong>Oppose</strong> preemption of charter city authority over their public pension systems. <strong>Support</strong> certain limitations upon recoveries under judgments against public retirement systems. <strong>Support</strong> efforts to reduce retirement benefit fraud and a requirement that the PERS Board adjust or cancel the retirement allowance of any person convicted of making fraudulent benefit...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2015 Legislative Platform

#### EMPLOYEE RELATIONS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Liaison</th>
<th>Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to the field of labor relations and human resource management.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTINUED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limitations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fraud</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PERS Credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Airport Patrol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pension System Reform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Employer Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Part-Time Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mandates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CalPERS Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support efforts:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• reducing all disability retirement payments for employees hired after a certain date;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• imposing an earnings test for persons receiving industrial disability retirement;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• requiring state departments to identify annual unemployment and disability payments in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>separate budget items;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• requiring persons receiving disability retirement payments to obtain an annual medical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examination;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• prescribing a 60% cap on payments for either job-related or non-job-related disabilities;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• eliminating the tax-exempt status of disability retirement payments;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• requiring mandatory reinstatement for employees certified able to work by medical exam;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• discontinuing disability retirement payments if the employee rejects reinstatement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> requiring an employer to continue to pay the salary of a member while PERS makes its</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decision on the member’s application for involuntary disability retirement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> restructuring the membership of the CalPERS Board of Administration to include new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>members appointed by the League of California Cities and substantially increase the number of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>independent public members (preferably with financial expertise).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> increasing the transparency of both PERS pension programs and funding, and non-pension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post-retirement benefits and subsidies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> exempting part-time, seasonal, and temporary employees from CalPERS eligibility entirely</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and eliminating the 1,000-hour eligibility threshold.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation exempting local agencies participating in PEMHCA from the requirement to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>make contributions toward health benefits for retired individuals.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (FLSA)</th>
<th>ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES</th>
<th>Support the position that the FLSA was inappropriately applied to state and local governments through court decisions and was never designed to regulate public sector employment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP</td>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES</td>
<td>Support legislation that deletes the current state criteria that persons in a Domestic Partnership must be members of the same sex or be over 62 years of age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEE RELATIONS AND RISK MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>Staff Liaison Departments</td>
<td>Scope: issues related to the field of labor relations and human resource management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMPLOYMENT- RELATED ISSUES</strong></td>
<td><strong>ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES</strong></td>
<td><strong>FINANCE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mandates</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support the special protection of elected officials, county public defenders, public figures and public employees acting in their official capacity against threats of death or serious bodily injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• OSHA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support federal and state legislation affirming the establishment of tax-exempt employee savings accounts for retirement health expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• FEHC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose a mandatory Social Security tax on any public sector employees or employers by the federal government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oppose any state or federal mandate of benefits on local agency employers, including, but not limited to, benefits for temporary or part-time employees, and veterans’ preferences.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oppose legislation limiting the ability of public agencies to monitor public employees’ use of public assets and resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oppose further limitation on a public sector employer’s ability to conduct job related pre-employment inquiries and background checks in order to prevent physical, financial, economic and personal identity threats to employees and the general public.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WORKERS’ COMPENSATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>FINANCE</strong></td>
<td>Support the principles that describe an industrial injury or illness using a narrow view on causation and definition of injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support requiring the employee to prove by clear and convincing evidence that sudden or extraordinary employment conditions were the predominant causes for the injury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medical Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support existing workers’ compensation laws to be liberally construed only after an injury is deemed “specific” and consists of serious physical or bodily harm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presumptive Injury</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support the cost containment of medical expenses for workers’ compensation claims.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Legal Process</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose regulations or legislation that would require increased employer medical costs for workers’ compensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oppose regulations or legislation that would expand the definition of a “presumptive” injury, or create new “presumptive” injury categories that lack a basis in current proven medical science.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oppose legislation that would permit an employee to use more than one legal process in regard to disability claims (i.e., ADA, workers’ compensation, DFEH), or any other erosion of the ‘exclusive remedy’ principle as it relates to disability claims covered under workers’ compensation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL INSURANCE</strong></td>
<td><strong>FINANCE</strong></td>
<td>Oppose regulations or legislation that would increase employer costs for mandated insurance coverage (i.e. general liability, automobile liability, etc.), including expanding employer coverage for personal property owned by an employee or volunteer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oppose regulations or legislation that would require a city to repair a sidewalk when the sidewalk damage is caused by privately owned plants or trees and hold a city liable for any injury resulting from the failure to repair the sidewalk.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC SAFETY</td>
<td>Staff Liaison</td>
<td>Scope: federal and state legislation and issues related to law enforcement, fire and life safety policies, emergency communications and services, and disaster preparedness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **LAW ENFORCEMENT** | POLICE | **Support** the promotion of public safety through stiffer penalties for violent offenders, and protection and supplementation of additional funding for local agencies to recoup the costs of crime and increase community safety.  
**Oppose** booking fees and seek their repeal, while encouraging localities to pursue resolution of the issues with their respective counties.  
**Support** data collection on hate crimes based on race, national origin, religion, gender, or sexual orientation and improved federal-local communication and coordination on hate crimes.  
**Support** legislation that builds trust between law enforcement agencies and the communities they serve, including the immigrant community, to ensure that individuals can safely report crimes and fully cooperate with local police and Sheriff departments.  
**Support** federal action to identify predatory lending practices and increase federal enforcement action against lenders who target vulnerable populations, including, but not limited to, elderly, low-income families, and racial minorities.  
**Support** federal anti-racial profiling legislation that provides financial support to state and local law enforcement agencies for training.  
**Support** reimbursement by the federal government to local agencies, specifically cities, for the costs associated with incarcerating deportable criminals.  
**Support** increased penalties for metal theft, and recognize that statewide regulation is needed to discourage “jurisdiction shopping.” |
| **NUISANCE CONTROL** | POLICE | **Support** enhanced local control over public nuisances including, but not limited to:  
- Adult entertainment facilities;  
- Problem alcohol establishments;  
- Improperly licensed massage therapy businesses;  
- Problem medical marijuana dispensaries; and  
- Properties where illegal drugs are sold.  
**Oppose** legislation that diminishes or removes the ability of the local authority to establish regulations regarding reasonable use of public space and appropriate behavior in public spaces. |
| **SMOKING AND TOBACCO CONTROL** | POLICE | **Support** legislation that limits the ability of minors to obtain tobacco products.  
**Support** legislation that reduces the impacts of environmental tobacco smoke.  
**Support** legislation that would provide additional funding to reduce tobacco consumption and improve cancer and smoking-related research. |
| **VIOLENT CRIMES** | POLICE | **Support** the reduction of violence through strategies that address domestic violence, access to tools of violence, including but not limited to firearms, knives, etc. |
| **GRAFFITI** | POLICE | **Support** increased authority and resources devoted to cities for abatement of graffiti and other acts of public vandalism. |
| **GANG VIOLENCE** | POLICE | **Support** programs and funding for cities to prevent gang violence and enhance prevention, intervention and enforcement efforts. |
2015 Legislative Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUBLIC SAFETY</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: federal and state legislation and issues related to law enforcement, fire and life safety policies, emergency communications and services, and disaster preparedness.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **DISASTER RESPONSE** | FIRE | Support funding for improved public notification mechanisms during natural disasters and other emergencies, including continuous radio reporting.  
Support additional funding for local agencies to recoup the costs associated with fire safety in the community and timely mutual aid reimbursement for disaster response services in other jurisdictions. |
| **FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES** | FIRE | Support the fire service mission of saving lives and protecting property through fire prevention, disaster preparedness, hazardous-materials mitigation, specialized rescue, etc., as well as cities authority and discretion to provide all emergency services in their communities.  
Support local control of emergency medical services and authorize cities and fire districts to prescribe and monitor the manner and scope of pre-hospital emergency medical services, including ambulance transport services, provided within local boundaries to improve pre-hospital emergency medical service.  
Oppose legislation, regulations and standards that impose minimum staffing and response time standards for city fire and emergency medical services since such determinations should reflect the conditions and priorities of individual cities.  
Support activities and funding which foster cooperation, coordination, and communication in the development of wildland fuel management programs to decrease impacts to public health and safety resulting from wildland urban interface fires. |
| **EMERGENCY SERVICES AND DISASTER PREPAREDNESS** | POLICE/FIRE | Support activities to develop and implement statewide integrated public safety communication systems that facilitate interoperability and other shared uses of public safety spectrum with local, state and federal law enforcement, fire emergency medical and other public safety agencies.  
Support efforts to improve 911 services including, but not limited to, directing 911 emergency calls from mobile telephones to the nearest Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP).  
Support representation of cities and counties on decisions affecting 911 systems and effective emergency response. |
| **INSURANCE** | POLICE/FIRE | Support the statewide administrative program that requires a motorist to have proof of insurance to register a vehicle with the Department of Motor Vehicles.  
Support uninsured vehicles being subject to impoundment, and redeemed only with proof of insurance, with unredeemed vehicles being sold to pay for the cost of the program.  
Support state legislation providing Fair Access to Insurance Requirements (FAIR) Plan for last resort earthquake and fire coverage in high-risk areas. |
| **FEDERAL HOMELAND SECURITY** | POLICE/FIRE | Support direct communication between federal agencies and local authorities on critical homeland issues.  
Support federal standards, guidelines, and funding to ensure reliable, consistent, timely, and redundant preparedness on the front lines. |

PUBLIC SAFETY
2015 Legislative Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUBLIC SAFETY</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: federal and state legislation and issues related to law enforcement, fire and life safety policies, emergency communications and services, and disaster preparedness.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| FEDERAL RESOURCES | Police/Fire | **Support** federal funding for public safety, including the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant, Homeland Security Block Grant, the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program, Assistance for Firefighters Grant, Staffing for Firefighters and Emergency Response Grant, Fire Prevention and Safety Grants as well as other public safety funding sources.  
**Support** provision for a permanent dual-use fire station between the City of Santa Barbara and the U.S. Forest Service. |
**2015 Legislative Platform**

### ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**Staff Liaison**
- Departments

**Scope:** issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.

### INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
- **Market development**
- **Electronic waste**
- **Curbside recycling**
- **Multi-Unit Housing Recycling**
- **Land Use**
- **Landfill**

**Finance/Community Development**

- **Support** legislation and other efforts to increase the markets for recycled materials, including advance disposal fees, minimum content laws, and recycling market development zones.
- **Support** legislation to strengthen manufacturer responsibility for electronic waste recycling.
- **Support** efforts to strengthen curbside recycling programs.
- **Support** legislation to expand the container types included in the California Redemption Value Program.
- **Support** legislation that promotes reduced packaging and Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR).
- **Support** legislation that requires owners of multi-unit housing to provide recycling to tenants.
- **Support** legislation to limit landfill disposal of organic materials.
- **Support** legislation to develop a permit process for solid waste anaerobic digestion and/or conversion technologies that are capable of minimizing the amount of solid waste landfill, and do not impede or impair existing and planned recycling and waste reduction programs.
- **Support** legislation to encourage the development of non-burn transformation technologies by providing full diversion credit for the waste that jurisdictions send to non-burn transformation facilities.
- **Support** legislation and other efforts that facilitate collection and proper disposal of waste pharmaceuticals.
- **Support** the right of cities under existing law to be designated as Local Enforcement Agencies for solid waste facility permitting, inspection, and enforcement.
- **Oppose** legislation that would preempt local land use authority over solid waste facilities, restrict the ability of a city to issue a land use permit for a solid waste facility, or restrict the ability of a city to apply conditions to such facilities through the conditional use permit process.
- **Support** legislation to provide changes to AB 939 (the California Integrated Waste Management Act) that will:
  - Place more emphasis on implementation of waste diversion programs and less strict mathematical accounting; and
  - Require Cal Recycle to evaluate the level of accuracy of the existing system the board uses to measure jurisdictions’ achievement of the waste diversion requirements of state law and develop appropriate policies, in consultation with local jurisdictions, to account for any inaccuracies in the system.

### SINGLE-USE CARRYOUT BAGS

**Finance/Community Development**

- **Support** legislation and other efforts to promote the use of multi-use bags by consumers and to reduce the prevalence of single-use bags in the environment.

### UTILITIES/ENERGY

**Public Works/Finance**

- **Oppose** any legislation that interferes with local utility rate setting authority.
- **Support** efforts and legislation which improves distribution system reliability of the electrical supply.
- **Support** efforts and legislation which improves and upgrades transmission and generation systems providing greater electrical supply reliability, including removing barriers to local siting of generation.

---

Comment [KW5]: C-19 and C-20 Following the Council presentation from Southern California Edison on reliability issues with electrical supply and an aging electrical distribution system, Public Works staff proposed these two statements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</td>
<td>PUBLIC WORKS/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT/FIRE</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> efforts to streamline and coordinate hazardous materials regulation among various levels of government, including city fire departments and county environmental health departments. <strong>Support</strong> city fire departments as the administering agencies for hazardous materials regulations or to be the lead agency (the Certified Unified Program Agency) to approve the use and storage of hazardous materials above certain threshold limits and to manage inventory information used by emergency first responders. <strong>Oppose</strong> legislation or regulations to restrict such authority. <strong>Oppose</strong> efforts to restrict the ability of cities to issue building or other permits relative to hazardous materials, as long as such facilities comply with the local zoning ordinance and established health and safety regulations. <strong>Oppose</strong> any proposals that would preempt the ability of a city to deny a land use permit or restrict its ability to issue a conditional use permit for the siting of a hazardous waste facility. <strong>Support</strong> regulation that allows biodiesel storage in existing Underwriters Laboratory (UL) rated in ground tanks that have secondary containment and working leak detection systems, at the discretion of the local jurisdiction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVITALIZATION OF BROWNFIELDS</td>
<td>PUBLIC WORKS/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT/PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> cities’ determination that brownfield remediation projects are consistent with local land use policy. <strong>Support</strong> additional fiscal resources and options to restore and develop urban and industrial brownfields contaminated by hazardous materials. <strong>Support</strong> establishing site-specific remediation levels based on the proposed use (i.e. parking garage, as opposed to residential development). <strong>Support</strong> restrictive covenants or deed restrictions to ensure that the level of remediation is appropriate for the future land use. <strong>Support</strong> continued state responsibility for technical evaluation of site assessments and remediation plans. <strong>Support</strong> continued site owner responsibility for necessary site assessments and remediation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Environmental Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Water Resources
- **Infrastructure Investment**
- **Comprehensive Solutions**
- **Supply**
- **Storage**
- **Infrastructure Investment**
- **Recycling**
- **Reclaimed Water**
- **Reuse**
- **Treatment**
- **Conservation**
- **Gray Water**
- **Water Softeners**
- **Financial Commitment**

**Support**
- Comprehensive solutions for water quality, water conservation and water use efficiency as part of a solution that includes local resource development and infrastructure improvements, including storage and conveyance, as part of a statewide system that promotes economic and environmental sustainability.
- Support state and federal funding for water resources infrastructure.
- Support the development of additional groundwater and surface water storage.
- Support appropriate funding sources for providing adequate water supply including, but are not limited to user fees, bonds and federal funding.
- Support funding for the development of plans for short-term water emergencies and long-term cooperative water management plans and policies.
- Support legislation to protect public agencies that provide wastewater treatment services, from liability for pollution or contamination to groundwater resulting from chemicals that are unlawfully discharged into the collection system.
- Support efforts to improve the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act and the Federal Clean Water Act and implementation plans to protect public health and the environment in an efficient and effective manner.
- Oppose legislation that requires the use of unreasonable numeric limits in waste discharge permits.
- Support the rights of cities to enact ordinances that restrict the use of water softeners to minimize impacts to wastewater treatment operations.
- Support legislation that encourages the cost-effective treatment of municipal wastewater, authorizes funding for projects improving, enhancing, or expanding the use of recycled water, and maximizes the responsible use of recycled water as an alternative to California’s fresh water supply.
- Support legislation that updates gray water standards, encourages simple and cost effective permitting process of gray water systems, and removes barriers to installing gray water systems in public, commercial, industrial, multi-family, and single-family projects.
- Support legislation to streamline water transfers among jurisdictions.
- Support legislation providing agencies the ability to provide rate subsidies for low-income rate payers.
- Support the use of inclined block rate structures to encourage water conservation.
- Support desalination as a drought water supply.
- Support efforts that expedite regulations expanding the uses of wastewater for direct and indirect potable reuse.
- Support efforts that promote more flexibility to adjust water rates to achieve water use reductions during a water shortage emergency.

**Comment [k6]:** C-4 Modification to make statement more inclusive, per PW staff.

**Comment [k7]:** C5 and C6 New statements based on drought emergency per Water Resources staff.
### Environmental Quality

**Staff Liaison**

**Depts:**
- Infrastructure Investment
- Standards
- Liability
- Federal Safe/Clean Water
- Watershed Management
- Numeric Limits
- Water Quality Control Boards
- Fees/Penalty Revenues

**Scope:** Issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Quality</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Infrastructure Investment</td>
<td>For improved water infrastructure, water quality, beach and coastal resource protection and enhancement, wetland protection and enhancement, and urban creek restoration, especially for cities with a history of effective management of water and wastewater infrastructure.</td>
<td>Support regulatory changes and infrastructure improvements that will reduce air pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose legislation that will reduce air quality standards or restrict city permitting and land use planning authority to minimize negative air quality impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Liability</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose state regulatory changes that mandate restrictions on residential development setbacks from high vehicle traffic without local input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Federal Safe/Clean Water</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose legislation redirecting funds authorized by Health and Safety Code Section 44223, for locally based air quality programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Watershed Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Numeric Limits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Water Quality Control Boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fees/Penalty Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public Works/Community Development/Parks and Recreation**

**Support** as appropriate, state and federal legislative and administrative remedies that:
- Limit citizen lawsuits for minor technical violations of the Clean Water Act but continue to allow injunctive relief for documented violations resulting in substantial documented adverse impacts to water quality;
- Ensure that the funds collected from a city as fees, penalties, or judgments are directed toward correcting violations;
- Return limited discretion to state and regional water quality control boards in setting penalties to allow fairness based on severity and circumstances of the violation; and
- Encourage the state and regional water quality control boards to use their existing discretionary authority, consistent with the requirements of the Clean Water Act, to issue permits that are reasonably achievable.

**Oppose** legislation that requires the use of unreasonable numeric limits in storm water permits.

**Support** efforts to set well-defined water quality goals.

**Support** regulations and legislation that promote watershed management as a tool to improve water quality.

**Support** regulations and legislation to prevent surface water contamination from neonicotinoid and other systemic pesticides.

### AIR QUALITY

**Staff Liaison**

**Depts:**
- Improvements
- Regulations
- Land Use
- Fund Diversion
- Programs/Standards
- Health and Safety Code

**Support** state and federal funding and efforts for improved water infrastructure, water quality, beach and coastal resource protection and enhancement, wetland protection and enhancement, and urban creek restoration, especially for cities with a history of effective management of water and wastewater infrastructure.

**Support** as appropriate, state and federal legislative and administrative remedies that:  
- Limit citizen lawsuits for minor technical violations of the Clean Water Act but continue to allow injunctive relief for documented violations resulting in substantial documented adverse impacts to water quality;
- Ensure that the funds collected from a city as fees, penalties, or judgments are directed toward correcting violations;
- Return limited discretion to state and regional water quality control boards in setting penalties to allow fairness based on severity and circumstances of the violation; and
- Encourage the state and regional water quality control boards to use their existing discretionary authority, consistent with the requirements of the Clean Water Act, to issue permits that are reasonably achievable.

**Oppose** legislation that requires the use of unreasonable numeric limits in storm water permits.

**Support** efforts to set well-defined water quality goals.

**Support** regulations and legislation that promote watershed management as a tool to improve water quality.

**Support** regulations and legislation to prevent surface water contamination from neonicotinoid and other systemic pesticides.
**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**Staff Liaison Departments**

**Scope:** Issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLIMATE PROTECTION</th>
<th>SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incentives</strong></td>
<td>grants, loans, tax credits, and other incentives to assist local governments, businesses, and the public to invest in energy efficient equipment and renewable energy technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Efficiency</strong></td>
<td>grants and other financial incentives for local governments to implement state mandates, including completing and updating an inventory of local government facility greenhouse gas emissions, conducting and updating an inventory of their whole jurisdiction, setting emission reduction targets and monitoring progress, and creating emission reduction action plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renewable Energy</strong></td>
<td>grants and other financial incentives for local governments to assess climate change vulnerability due to sea level rise, coastal erosion and inundation, flood and wildfire hazards, and develop and implement adaptive management measures to protect resources such as critical infrastructure, vulnerable populations/public health, biological resources, recreation, public access, water supply, water quality, and the local economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adaptation Measures</strong></td>
<td>grants and other funding sources for regional sustainability plans and multi-jurisdictional planning efforts that integrate housing, land use, economic and workforce development, transportation, and infrastructure investments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Infrastructure</strong></td>
<td>grants, loans, tax credits, and other incentives to assist the public and local governments in using alternative fuels and purchasing fuel-efficient and/or flex-fueled vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that increases energy efficiency requirements as part of the building codes as long as they are healthful.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation to permit assignment of the Public Goods Charge to local governments for implementation of energy conservation programs and projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation and measures that encourage renewable energy generation, facilitate renewable resource development, and provide incentives for small renewable generation projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> legislation and measures that make renewable energy generation less cost effective or more difficult to implement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation or regulations allowing wheeling of power and/or appropriate remuneration for energy provided to the grid by municipalities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2015 Legislative Platform

#### ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**Staff Liaison**

- Public Works/Community Development/Parks and Recreation

**Scope:** Issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.

#### CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)

- **Procedures and Notices**
  - Oppose the elimination of the fair argument test as the threshold for determining whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).
  - Support the development of a funding source for Master EIRs to streamline individual project CEQA review.
  - Support shielding Lead Agencies from responding to comments received more than 30 days after a Notice of Preparation (NOP) or received verbally.
  - Support limiting Lead Agency response to late comments received following issuance of the Final EIR and prior to certification.
  - Support eliminating the mandated timeline for entering into CEQA consultant contracts.
  - Support mediation and arbitration in CEQA disputes but not mandatory arbitration.
  - Support eliminating the mandated timeline for entering into CEQA consultant contracts.
  - Support allowing a tiered Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) subsequent to an EIR with significant impacts and overriding considerations when the subsequent project qualifies for an MND.

- **Definition of a Project**
  - Support narrowing the definition of “project” to discourage CEQA lawsuits on non-environmental matters.
  - Support streamlining to establish new exemptions for projects such as road maintenance, bike paths, temporary events, and in-fill projects.
  - Support legislation that would allow local standards of review for certain solar projects.
  - Oppose regulations limiting local authority to issue building permits, or other requirements, based on vehicle miles traveled.

- **Significant Environmental Effects**
  - Oppose the creation of a new mandate requiring cities to develop boilerplate significance thresholds.
  - Oppose a single statewide set of standards to determine significance at the local level.
  - Oppose amending the definition of “effects” to eliminate the analysis of indirect and cumulative environmental effects.
  - Support amending the guidelines to clarify requirements for analysis of cumulative and indirect environmental effects.
  - Support development of a clear methodology for preparing CEQA analysis of potential global warming impacts of proposed projects.
  - Oppose exempting projects that are subject to their own subsequent environmental review from consideration as a reasonably foreseeable future project when analyzing cumulative impacts.
### Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

**Scope:** issues related to air and water quality, CEQA, integrated waste management, hazardous materials, coastal issues, energy, water conservation, and utilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Alternatives</th>
<th>MisceLANeous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Works/Community Development/Parks and Recreation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> eliminating the alternative site requirement for all private projects. <strong>Oppose</strong> the elimination of the &quot;no project alternative.&quot;</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> the right of cities to serve as Lead Agencies for the purposes of the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). <strong>Oppose</strong> any federal or state regulation, statute or constitutional amendment, which would place restrictions on federal, state, and local government actions regulating private property or requiring additional compensation beyond the continually evolving judicial interpretation of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. <strong>Support</strong> the ability of local governments to voluntarily develop and approve species habitat plans for their communities, in conjunction with willing property owners. <strong>Support</strong> legislation that would consolidate and streamline environmental review studies and processes, and encourage other forms of inter-agency cooperation, for proposed development projects that require permits from multiple jurisdictions (local, state, and federal).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coastal Issues

| Staff Liaison Departments | **Oppose** legislation that would permit the state to impose conditions on Local Coastal Plans developed by cities and counties. **Support** efforts to curb frivolous appeals to local coastal decisions. **Support** prohibition of additional oil offshore development based, in part, on concern about the impacts to on-shore support facilities and services by offshore development activities. **Oppose** legislation that grants authority to the Coastal Commission that is inconsistent, duplicative and overlapping with the authority of other regulatory agencies, such as regional water quality control boards or other agencies or that grant the Coastal Commission authority outside the coastal zone. **Support** legislation that reduces Coastal Permitting requirements for solar systems serving existing development. **Support** additional funding for Coastal Commission efforts to update Local Coastal Programs. |
| **Public Works/Community Development/Parks and Recreation/Water-Front** | **Oppose** legislation that would permit the state to impose conditions on Local Coastal Plans developed by cities and counties. **Support** efforts to curb frivolous appeals to local coastal decisions. **Support** prohibition of additional oil offshore development based, in part, on concern about the impacts to on-shore support facilities and services by offshore development activities. **Oppose** legislation that grants authority to the Coastal Commission that is inconsistent, duplicative and overlapping with the authority of other regulatory agencies, such as regional water quality control boards or other agencies or that grant the Coastal Commission authority outside the coastal zone. **Support** legislation that reduces Coastal Permitting requirements for solar systems serving existing development. **Support** additional funding for Coastal Commission efforts to update Local Coastal Programs. |
### 2015 Legislative Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: policies that foster local control of community planning decisions as they relate to land use, affordable housing, cultural arts, human and neighborhood services, redevelopment and the community’s economic health.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOURISM</strong></td>
<td>Community Development/Mayor and Council</td>
<td>Support federal and state efforts to foster tourism policy development and coordination, and raise awareness of the economic impact of travel and tourism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARTS, HISTORIC PRESERVATION, CULTURAL RESOURCES</strong></td>
<td>Community Development/Mayor and Council</td>
<td>Support funding for historic preservation, cultural resources, local arts activities, and arts agencies at levels to sustain the nation’s cultural infrastructure, including support for the National Endowment for the Arts, National Endowment for the Humanities, and the Office of Museum Services within the Institute of Museum and Library Services. Support funding for Arts in Education in the U.S. Department of Education’s Fund for Improvement of Education to encourage high quality arts instruction in schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JOB CREATION</strong></td>
<td>Community Development/Mayor and Council</td>
<td>Support legislation that will provide tangible and productive tools and incentives to support job creation and retention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOMELESSNESS</strong></td>
<td>Community Development/Police</td>
<td>Support legislation and state and federal programs that assist in providing funding for homeless services, including day centers, emergency shelters, transitional housing or permanent supportive housing. Support legislation to reinstate the previous definition language for satellite food distribution that exempted non-profit organizations serving prepackaged servings of food from having to meet extensive structural and operational requirements, usually at remote sites, to obtain a permit. Support legislation to allow cities and counties to designate &quot;Alcohol Impacted Areas&quot; and impose strict local review and controls on the issuance of new Alcohol and Beverage Control (ABC) permits within such areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLANNING AND ZONING</strong></td>
<td>Community Development</td>
<td>Support the use of the general plan as a guide to meeting community planning needs. A city’s general plan should not be subject to mandatory review by regional or state agencies. Support protection of local zoning as a primary function of cities and an essential component of home rule. State agency siting of facilities, including campuses and office buildings, should be subject to local notice and hearing requirements, and local land use policy and zoning requirements to meet concerns of the local community. Oppose legislation that would allow local jurisdictions to prohibit large family day care on single family zoned property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS</strong></td>
<td>Community Development</td>
<td>Support voluntary development agreements as one tool for providing flexibility in development approvals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>Staff Liaison Departments</td>
<td>Scope: policies that foster local control of community planning decisions as they relate to land use, affordable housing, cultural arts, human and neighborhood services, redevelopment and the community’s economic health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **DEVELOPMENT FEES** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** providing local discretion in the assessment, collection, and usage of development fees. Support the state provision of infrastructure funding to help local communities meet California’s growth demands and to increase housing affordability.  
**Oppose** limiting the ability of cities to levy fees to provide for infrastructure or services.  
**Support** maintaining the City’s ability to condition and deny projects which have been determined to inadequately mitigate impacts to community schools. |
| • Local authority  
• Infrastructure  
• Mitigation  
• Condition and  
• Deny Authority | | |
| **STATE SUBVENTIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL LAND CONTRACTS** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** the continuation of State subvention payments to local governments to offset lower property tax rates for agricultural and open space lands in Williamson Act contacts, which provide region-wide protection against conversion of these lands to urban uses and potential associated effects such as loss of prime agricultural soils, loss of agricultural viability, loss of plentiful food supply, loss of habitats, and increased traffic, etc. |
| **ANNEXATION AND INCORPORATION** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** strengthening city control over urban boundaries and modifying Sphere of Influence law to limit urban development in unincorporated areas of a county and to facilitate the annexation of urban areas to cities.  
**Support** revising the Revenue and Taxation Code to not allow counties to block annexations in exchange for unreasonable property tax sharing agreements.  
**Support** expanded authority for cities over adjacent lands outside their sphere of influence regardless of jurisdictional lines so long as the land is not within another city’s sphere.  
**Support** allowing more flexibility for cities to provide out of agency services. |
| **SUBDIVISION MAP ACT** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** maximizing local control over subdivisions and public improvement financing and maintaining discretion over the conditions and length of subdivision and parcel maps should be retained by cities.  
**Support** reversal of legislation recognizing antiquated subdivisions as legal lots.  
**Oppose** legislation that automatically grants additional time extensions of subdivision approvals beyond those in AB 116. |
| • Local Control  
• Antiquated subdivisions | | |
| **BUILDING STANDARDS** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** flexibility in the adoption and implementation of health and safety standards contained in the building codes.  
**Support** authorizing cities to adopt independent occupancy standards to prevent overcrowding and associated health and safety hazards, including fire-related fatalities.  
**Oppose** legislation that would remove licensing requirements for professionals such as architects, landscape architects, contractors, and others related to building and development. |
| • Health and Safety  
• Occupancy  
• Licensing | | |
| **SIGN REGULATION** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** the authority of cities to regulate billboards, mobile signs, and other signage. |
| **REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY** | COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | **Support** new legislation to clean up and clarify AB 1X 26 that dissolved redevelopment agencies.  
**Support** legislation that enhances or expands community tools to address revitalization and redevelopment needs, including blight, economic development, and affordable housing. |
## Housing and Economic Development

### Staff Liaison
- **Departments**
  - **Community Development**

### Scope
Policies that foster local control of community planning decisions as they relate to land use, affordable housing, cultural arts, human and neighborhood services, redevelopment and the community’s economic health.

### Rent Control/ Mobile Home Regulation
- **Communities Development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiatives that maintain cities as the enforcement authority for mobile home park regulation, including rent control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The preservation of existing mobile home parks as an important source of affordable housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation that would retain the affordability requirement of mobile homes upon annexation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Repurpose Underutilized State and Federal Facilities
- **Communities Development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of closed and underutilized state and federal facilities for community use to meet local community needs as determined by local governments, including but not limited to the Army Reserve Center and the National Guard Armory for community parks and recreation purposes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Housing Element</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamlining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHNA Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disputes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following housing principles: Local government efforts should be subject to realistic performance standards not to arbitrary state agency review of the housing element. Local government housing efforts should be rewarded by incentives. These incentives should include streamlining by not being subject to HCD review, priority ranking for discretionary funds, and new discretionary funds available for general fund purposes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legislation that implements comprehensive reforms to the housing element process to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Address conflicts between local growth projections and state regional housing need numbers;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Allow cities to work together to allocate housing units among themselves within a sub-region;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resolve problems associated with the distribution of RHNA units within a council of governments;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Improve the housing element review process;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop a neutral dispute resolution process and fair enforcement alternatives to deal with disputes over questions of compliance; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Revise the formal appeal process so appeals can be heard by politically accountable officials at the state and regional levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legislation that requires state laws and policies related to housing and land use to be internally consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legislation that provides incentives for the development of rental housing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legislation that expands housing opportunities for disabled individuals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The re-establishment of federal tax incentives which encouraged private development and ownership of rental housing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legislation that promotes responsible lending practices to encourage private home ownership and minimize the incidence of foreclosures and short sales.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

**Staff Liaison Departments**

**Scope:** Policies that foster local control of community planning decisions as they relate to land use, affordable housing, cultural arts, human and neighborhood services, redevelopment and the community’s economic health.

### RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES

- **Permitting**
- **Licensing**

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

**Support** permitting cities to exercise review and land use regulation of group home facilities and residential care facilities in residential neighborhoods including the application of zoning, building, and safety standards. State and county licensing agencies should be required to confer with a city’s planning agency in determining whether to grant a license to a community care facility.

### AFFORDABLE HOUSING

- **Financing**
- **CDBG/HOME**
- **Federal Funding**
- **Legal Protections**
- **Elderly Housing**
- **Non-Profits**
- **Fair Market Rents**

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

**Support** state and federal legislation and programs that provide financing for affordable housing, including the development of fiscal tools and incentives to assist local governments in their efforts to encourage housing and finance the infrastructure to support housing, as well as ongoing state commitment to funding affordable housing.

**Support** legislation that establishes a permanent source of funding for affordable housing.

**Support** legislation that looks at the next generation of community revitalization tools including affordable housing development and preservation in light of the dissolution of redevelopment agencies.

**Support** federal funding for the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) for community development, affordable housing, neighborhood improvement, and economic development needs.

**Support** federal funding for the HOME Investment Program that creates and preserves affordable housing for low and moderate-income families and individuals.

**Support** the preservation of the HUD Section 8 Program funding.

**Support** at the federal level, the calculation of Section 8 “fair market rents” and “area median income” on a sub-regional basis, rather than on a countywide basis.

**Support** federal funding of: 1) New construction and rental assistance, modernization of units of elderly housing, also known as HUD Section 202; 2) Efforts to preserve elderly housing and permit nonprofit organizations to purchase elderly housing projects with expiring Section 8 contracts; and 3) Housing for persons with disabilities, also known as Section 811; 4) Homeless assistance grants, also known as McKinney HEARTH Act.

**Oppose** any legislation to reduce financing for the Section 8 Program, including block grants.

**Support** legislation that provides new incremental units of Section 8 voucher assistance nationwide, particularly in higher cost areas, and adequate voucher renewal funding.

**Support** and encourage legislation that establishes additional legal protections to local agencies that approve affordable housing and that establish local pro-active affordable housing policies.

Comment [k10]: C-9 Deleted reference to the HOMeS Trust Fund to provide a broader basis to support other potential legislation per Community Development Staff.

Deleted: such as the Housing Opportunity and Market Stabilization (HOMeS) Trust Fund.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC WORKS AND COMMUNICATIONS</th>
<th>Staff Liaison</th>
<th>Scope: review state and federal legislation relating to transportation funding, construction, public works, telecommunications, and related areas.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| TRANSPORTATION                                    | PUBLIC WORKS/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | Support additional funding for local public transportation, other transportation alternatives to single occupancy vehicles, and other critical unmet infrastructure needs.  
Support the continued adoption and implementation of a regional long-term capital investment plan and budget to upgrade and repair vital infrastructure.  
Support appropriations of existing and new state and federal funds directly to cities and counties for the preservation, maintenance, rehabilitation, and improvement of the local street and transportation system.  
Support maximizing investments in infrastructure and reinforce local land use practices to accomplish strategic local growth objectives.  
Support local transportation decision-making and pursue transportation policy changes that move funding and decision-making to the local level to fund urban infrastructure needs.  
Support funding opportunities for bicycle and pedestrian projects.  
Support legislation and policies that promote the goals, objectives, and continuation of Measure A programs.  
Support legislation and policies that promote the goals and objectives of the On-TRAC commuter rail program.  
Support federal funding of Highway 101 improvements as a top regional priority, and federal funding for local priority projects and other funding categories.  
Support legislation that maintains or increases local funding for transportation projects, including State gas tax, Highways Users’ Tax (HUTA) Proposition 42 and 1B funds.  
Support legislation that prevents “borrowing” or taking of local transportation funds by the State of California.  
Support legislation that promotes funding of alternative fuel parking spaces and allows the city the right to designate alternative fuel parking spaces for new developments. |
| PUBLIC WORKS/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | Support the authority of cities to zone and plan for the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure.  
Support the ability of cities to maintain and manage the public right-of-way and receive compensation for its use. |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS                               | PUBLIC WORKS | Support the authority of cities to zone and plan for the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure.  
Support the ability of cities to maintain and manage the public right-of-way and receive compensation for its use. |
| Infrastructure                                    |               | Support the authority of cities to zone and plan for the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure.  
Support the ability of cities to maintain and manage the public right-of-way and receive compensation for its use. |
| Right-of-Way                                      |               | Support the authority of cities to zone and plan for the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure.  
Support the ability of cities to maintain and manage the public right-of-way and receive compensation for its use. |
## TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC WORKS AND COMMUNICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: review state and federal legislation relating to transportation funding, construction, public works, telecommunications, and related areas.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VEHICLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Road Damage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC WORKS/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> increasing or circumventing the weight limits of large vehicles allowed on city streets to prevent street and roadway infrastructure damage and associated street maintenance costs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> retention of maximum City control of the local street and road system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> traffic safety enhancements such as motorcycle helmets, child restraints, seat belt and speed limit laws.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> local discretion to set lower speed limits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that promotes vehicle speed limits that are designated and enforced with consideration of street design, neighborhood characteristics, adjacent land use, and mix of transportation mode usage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation to facilitate buses in turn-out pockets merging back into traffic and requiring motorists to yield to merging buses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Flexibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Innovations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lower Mission Creek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC WORKS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> maintaining retention proceeds for any public works contract to assure that the primary contractor completes all required work and permits the City’s final acceptance of the project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> retaining maximum flexibility for timely and cost-effective completion of public works projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> innovative strategies including public-private partnerships at the state and local levels to enhance public works funding.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> the option to use design-build contracting and other innovations designed to enhance efficiency with public contracting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support</strong> continued funding for the Lower Mission Creek project in annual federal appropriations and authorized by the Water Resource Development Act.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRPORT</td>
<td>Staff Liaison Departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FUNDING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program (AIP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges (PFC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankruptcy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Minimum Tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAFETY AND SECURITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamlining</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cargo Screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRPORT</td>
<td>Staff Liaison Departments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR SERVICE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPORTATION/INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANNING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENTAL CAR CONCESSIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2015 Legislative Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATERFRONT</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to federal and state legislation affecting harbor operations, funding, and regulation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUBLIC ACCESS</strong></td>
<td>WATERFRONT</td>
<td>Support continued public access to marinas, state tidelands, waterfront facilities, and marine resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTIONS</strong></td>
<td>WATERFRONT</td>
<td>Support legislation that recognizes economic contributions of ports and harbors to state and federal economies, through maritime trade, maritime industries, ecotourism, cruise ships and commercial fishing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEDERAL REGULATION</strong></td>
<td>WATERFRONT</td>
<td>Support coordination of Executive Agencies to ensure timely permitting of statutorily mandated Civil Works projects and contain regulatory demands that inflate project costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **LOCAL CONTROL** | WATERFRONT/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | Support continuation of the Tidelands Trust Grant and requirements that all revenues generated within the granted lands are used to repair, maintain, and improve facilities and infrastructure within those granted lands.  
Support legislation allowing ports and harbors to establish or designate local control over special-use areas for vessels or related recreational equipment of a specific type or use.  
Support the preservation of ocean resources through established marine sanctuaries, while reserving the ability to comment on potential sanctuary expansion that could affect activities including but not limited to dredging, vessel traffic, wastewater disposal, or general construction, maintenance and repair of Waterfront facilities.  
Support local jurisdictional control and environmental/regulatory oversight of cruise ship visits near ports and harbors.  
Support state and federal efforts to reduce environmental impacts of shipping, including whale strikes, in the Santa Barbara Channel.  
Support state and federal efforts to reduce environmental impacts of oil seeps in the Santa Barbara Channel. |
| **FISHERIES** | WATERFRONT | Support environmentally compliant commercial and recreational fishing, as essential to the fabric of working ports and harbors.  
Support legislative efforts to sustain the ecological health of aquatic biological systems, including fish, shellfish, and marine mammals.  
Support protection of the California Gray Whale and efforts to assess its population, mortality rates and migration rates.  
Support establishment of regulated fishermen’s markets for direct sales of fish and shellfish to the public. |

Comment [KW11]: C-21 Statement proposed and added at the March 25 Committee meeting.
## Environmental Issues

**Local Authority**
- Support enhanced local authority over disposition of wrecked, derelict, abandoned, non-operable or non-seaworthy craft.
- Support legislation that encourages and funds eradication or control of non-native marine species in ports and harbors.
- Support physical alternatives or grant/additional funds for disposal of marina-generated household wastes, or treated building materials.
- Support efforts to ensure seabird protection while allowing ports and harbors to undertake maintenance operations and control or abate nuisance fowl.
- Support state or federal funding for retrofits or rehabilitation of underground marine-fuel tanks or systems.
- Support state or federal funding to mitigate or remediate non-point source and point-source pollution that affects harbor water quality.
- Support efforts to fund oil-spill prevention and response in ports and harbors.
- Support efforts to fund recycling and/or disposal options for waste oil and other byproducts of maritime activities or vessel maintenance.
- Support efforts to research and test alternatives to copper-based anti-fouling paints for boat bottoms.

**Disposal of Crafts**
- Support policies and funding for dredging small-craft ports and harbors, including environmentally feasible disposition of dredged materials and/or the use of dredged materials for beach nourishment.
- Support full execution by the Corps of Engineers of its basic navigation, shore and flood protection mission, as well as environmental restoration and recreation authorized by Congress.
- Support federal initiatives that benefit marine infrastructure needs including: Maritime Infrastructure Banks, maintenance dredging of Santa Barbara Harbor, expanded ocean dredge disposal sites and development of new upland dredge disposal and reuse sites.

**Non-Native Marine Species**
- Oppose any action that would prohibit the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers from realizing its obligation to dredge Santa Barbara Harbor.

**Waste**
- Support the U.S. Coast Guard’s services mission to conduct Homeland Security and search-and-rescue operations as agency priorities.

**Seabirds**
- Support Homeland Security legislation programs and/or grants that benefit and protect small ports and harbors.

**Water Pollution**
- Support measures to protect and enhance quality of waterways and estuarine environments.

**Fuel Tank**
- Support measures to protect and enhance quality of waterways and estuarine environments.

**Oil Spills**
- Support measures to protect and enhance quality of waterways and estuarine environments.

**Recycling**
- Support measures to protect and enhance quality of waterways and estuarine environments.

**Boat Paint**
- Support measures to protect and enhance quality of waterways and estuarine environments.

## Dredging

**Funding**
- Support enhanced local authority over disposition of wrecked, derelict, abandoned, non-operable or non-seaworthy craft.

**Material**
- Support legislation that encourages and funds eradication or control of non-native marine species in ports and harbors.

**Corps of Engineers**
- Support physical alternatives or grant/additional funds for disposal of marina-generated household wastes, or treated building materials.

**Federal Initiatives**
- Support efforts to ensure seabird protection while allowing ports and harbors to undertake maintenance operations and control or abate nuisance fowl.

**Obligation**
- Support state or federal funding for retrofits or rehabilitation of underground marine-fuel tanks or systems.

- Support state or federal funding to mitigate or remediate non-point source and point-source pollution that affects harbor water quality.

- Support efforts to fund oil-spill prevention and response in ports and harbors.

- Support efforts to fund recycling and/or disposal options for waste oil and other byproducts of maritime activities or vessel maintenance.

- Support efforts to research and test alternatives to copper-based anti-fouling paints for boat bottoms.

## Search and Rescue Operations

**Search and Rescue Operations**
- Support the U.S. Coast Guard’s services mission to conduct Homeland Security and search-and-rescue operations as agency priorities.

## Enforcement

**Staff Liaison Departments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to federal and state legislation affecting harbor operations, funding, and regulation.</th>
<th>WATERFRONT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>WATERFRONT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local Authority</td>
<td>Support enhanced local authority over disposition of wrecked, derelict, abandoned, non-operable or non-seaworthy craft.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disposition of Crafts</td>
<td>Support legislation that encourages and funds eradication or control of non-native marine species in ports and harbors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Non-Native Marine Species</td>
<td>Support physical alternatives or grant/additional funds for disposal of marina-generated household wastes, or treated building materials.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Waste</td>
<td>Support efforts to ensure seabird protection while allowing ports and harbors to undertake maintenance operations and control or abate nuisance fowl.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seabirds</td>
<td>Support state or federal funding for retrofits or rehabilitation of underground marine-fuel tanks or systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water Pollution</td>
<td>Support state or federal funding to mitigate or remediate non-point source and point-source pollution that affects harbor water quality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fuel Tank</td>
<td>Support efforts to fund oil-spill prevention and response in ports and harbors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oil Spills</td>
<td>Support efforts to fund recycling and/or disposal options for waste oil and other byproducts of maritime activities or vessel maintenance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recycling</td>
<td>Support efforts to research and test alternatives to copper-based anti-fouling paints for boat bottoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Boat Paint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREDGING</td>
<td>WATERFRONT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Funding</td>
<td>Support policies and funding for dredging small-craft ports and harbors, including environmentally feasible disposition of dredged materials and/or the use of dredged materials for beach nourishment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Material</td>
<td>Support full execution by the Corps of Engineers of its basic navigation, shore and flood protection mission, as well as environmental restoration and recreation authorized by Congress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>Support federal initiatives that benefit marine infrastructure needs including: Maritime Infrastructure Banks, maintenance dredging of Santa Barbara Harbor, expanded ocean dredge disposal sites and development of new upland dredge disposal and reuse sites.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Federal Initiatives</td>
<td>Oppose any action that would prohibit the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers from realizing its obligation to dredge Santa Barbara Harbor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Obligation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEARCH AND RESCUE OPERATIONS</td>
<td>Support the U.S. Coast Guard’s services mission to conduct Homeland Security and search-and-rescue operations as agency priorities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td>Support Homeland Security legislation programs and/or grants that benefit and protect small ports and harbors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2015 Legislative Platform

**WATERFRONT**

### Staff Liaison Departments

**Scope:** issues related to federal and state legislation affecting harbor operations, funding, and regulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITIES</th>
<th>WATERFRONT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation providing federal or state grant or low-interest loan funds for development of harbor infrastructure facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned Watercraft</td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> legislative or administrative efforts to eliminate the state Division of Boating and Waterways and/or reduce or reallocate the State Division of Boating and Waterways budget. If obligations of the Division of Boating and Waterways are absorbed by another department of state government,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> efforts to redirect funds historically utilized by the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, as described in the Harbors and Navigation Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat Launching</td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> legislative or administrative efforts to increase funds historically appropriated to the State Parks and Recreation Department, as authorized in Section 85.2 (b) (1) in the Harbors and Navigation Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair and Maintain Harbor Facilities</td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> legislative or administrative efforts to reallocate or divert funds from the intended purposes of the State Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund, as described in the California Harbors and Navigation Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation expanding and/or increasing the budget of the state’s Abandoned Watercraft Abatement Fund and Vessel Turn In Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation providing harbor managers a financially feasible means of supplying and recovering costs of utilities such as electricity to berths and marinas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that funds construction and/or maintenance of boat-launching facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> legislation that seasonally restricts the ability to repair and maintain harbor facilities, while considering impacts to sensitive species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOATING SAFETY</th>
<th>WATERFRONT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> boating safety, including education and enforcement or regulation of boating practices, vessel types and equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation implementing a boater-safety certification program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> boating safety and enforcement efforts through funding of equipment, training and other resources utilized by Harbor Patrol Officers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDUCATION</th>
<th>WATERFRONT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> programs that utilize the California Division of Boating and Waterways as an educational vehicle for boating and harbor management programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2015 Legislative Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMUNITY SERVICES</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to childcare, parks and recreation, libraries, cultural arts, community and human services programs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARKS FUNDING</strong></td>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> full federal funding for the Forest Service's Urban and Community Forestry Program, the Land and Water Conservation Fund, and the Urban Parks and Recreation Recovery Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that promotes the acquisition, development or redevelopment of parkland to meet community park and recreation needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> regional multi-agency open space acquisition initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> the continuation of funding for Coastal Access Projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that retains or enhances public access to and the affordability of public parks and recreation programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **STATE NATURAL AREA AND PARK BOND FUNDS** | Parks and Recreation | **Oppose** tying local eligibility for park bond grant funds to non-park related issues, such as rent control or housing element status. |
|                                          |                     | **Support** inclusion of per capita grants to cities and counties as part of all Statewide park bond measures. |
|                                          |                     | **Support** efforts to assure bond funds are not held for other state funding needs. |
|                                          |                     | **Support** new State bond funding for the acquisition, development or redevelopment of park and recreation facilities to meet community needs. |

| **NATURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION** | Parks and Recreation/Community Development | **Support** legislation that fosters protection and restoration of natural resources, including streams, stream and riparian habitat, wetlands, estuaries, rural and urban open space, etc. |
|                                 |                                          | **Support** legislation that provides local agencies with matching grants and/or technical support from the California Natural Resources Agency or other state and federal agencies to revise and implement new resource protection policies, projects and programs. |

| **PROPOSITION 50/84/1E** | Parks and Recreation | **Support** legislation that distributes bond funds as competitive monies to a range of State agencies and does not limit funds based on geographic location or scope of need. |
|                         |                      | **Support** legislation that allows grantees an opportunity to receive grant funds for project design and permitting, in addition to construction, and also provides a mechanism to extend completion schedules. |

| **PARKS AND RECREATION** | Parks and Recreation | **Support** legislation that establishes new grants or expands the scope of existing grants (currently limited to urbanized areas with populations over 100,000) for which the City would be eligible for park development, park improvement, and youth recreation programs. |
|                         |                      | **Support** continuance of current California Health and Safety Code, Section 115800, which protects public entities from liability rising from injuries at a public skateboard park. |

<p>| <strong>CHILDREN/YOUTH</strong> | Parks and Recreation/Community Development/Library | <strong>Support</strong> state and federal funding for school-based job training programs to produce more job placement opportunities in collaboration with municipal services. |
|                    |                                                  | <strong>Support</strong> the creation of more affordable, innovative, and quality local child care options for parents and concurrently encourage adherence to strict regulations and guidelines. |
|                    |                                                  | <strong>Support</strong> increased state and federal funding for prenatal care, early health care, preschool, and childcare programs to ensure healthy children and school readiness. |
|                    |                                                  | <strong>Support</strong> increased funding for foster care. |
|                    |                                                  | <strong>Support</strong> creation of permanent state or federal funding sources for youth programs. |
|                    |                                                  | <strong>Support</strong> federal reauthorization and full funding of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMUNITY SERVICES</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scope: issues related to childcare, parks and recreation, libraries, cultural arts, community and human services programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(IDEA), the Child Care and Development Block Grant, and the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) block grant, including after-school recreation and tutoring programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> increased state and federal funding for affordable after-school programs and programs that promote and enhance physical fitness and well-being of children and youth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that would provide state and federal funding for increased access for children with disabilities to after-school and other recreation programs, including required staff support related to medications, mobility, and activity integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation that would provide state and federal funding for the acquisition, development and/or operation of park and recreation facilities that serve underprivileged children, families, and older adults and which promote and enhance physical health and well-being of children, youth, adults and seniors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation providing state and federal funding for improving pre-school reading readiness, for supporting public library services assisting elementary and secondary school students with information, research, and curriculum materials, for adult literacy and computer literacy instruction, and for acquisition of learning materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong> legislation or administrative efforts to decrease the state’s budgets for First 5 and K through 12 programs that support children and families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> the concept of universal health care for all Californians.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> incentives to improve coordination of public health considerations with community land use planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> promotion of programs, and access to community programs, which encourage children and adolescents to develop lifelong healthy eating, physical activity and exercise habits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Support</strong> legislation which authorizes appropriately trained employees who supervise children involved in after-school and recreation programs to administer epinephrine auto-injectors in response to an anaphylactic event.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comment [k15]:** C-13 New statement per Parks and Rec Director.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMUNITY SERVICES</th>
<th>Staff Liaison Departments</th>
<th>Scope: issues related to childcare, parks and recreation, libraries, cultural arts, community and human services programs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SENIORS**
- Programs
- Care Facilities
- Wellness

**PARKS AND RECREATION**
Support efforts to develop and improve intergenerational recreation programs and activities that include seniors.
Support legislation that facilitates development of senior residential and day care facilities integrated within the community-at-large.
Support funding for wellness, physical activity, recreational programs, and day care for seniors on low or fixed incomes.

**PUBLIC HEALTH**

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**
Support an increase in the number of hospice beds allowed in the County for persons who are terminally ill or diagnosed with a life-threatening illness.
Support legislation authorizing the study of synthetic turf surfaces for potential adverse health impacts.

**PARKS AND RECREATION**

**PUBLIC LIBRARIES**
- Funding
- Computers
- State Public
- Library Fund
- Privacy Rights

**LIBRARY**
Support full funding of the State Public Library Fund, understanding how libraries play an integral role in building and sustaining our communities.
Oppose elimination or further reduction of the State Public Library Fund or other sources of library funding.
Support legislation providing federal funding for improving pre-school reading readiness, for supporting public library services assisting elementary and secondary school students with information, research, and curriculum materials, for adult literacy and computer literacy instruction, and for acquisition of learning materials.
Support federal funding for telecommunications equipment and services in public libraries to provide equal access to information for all residents; oppose requirements on use of federal funds for Internet access services for adults that mandate installation of filtering software.
Oppose elimination of the California Library Literacy and English Acquisition Services Program.
Oppose legislation that requires public libraries to install and maintain computer-filtering software for use on computers in the library that, in an attempt to block obscene materials, also prevents access to material protected by the First Amendment.
Oppose legislation, regulations, and guidelines that erode privacy, information access and Constitutional rights, and oppose the use of governmental authority to suppress the free and open exchange of information and ideas.

Comment [k16]: C-14 Changed name to broaden category in order to add two new statements.

Deleted: Health Facilities

Comment [KW17]: C-15 New statement to provide basis for support for SB 47 per Parks and Rec Director. There was a second part to this proposed statement supporting the prohibition of synthetic turf installation which was questioned and not accepted. Since then, the bill has been amended to allow for installation of certain kinds of turf. This is an acceptable compromise to both City Parks and Rec staff as well as the SB Unified School District.
CITY OF SANTA BARBARA
COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

AGENDA DATE:        April 21, 2015
TO:                    Mayor and Councilmembers
FROM:                  Administration, Housing & Human Services Division,
                        Community Development Department Name
SUBJECT:               2015 Homeless Point In Time Count And Vulnerability Survey Report

RECOMMENDATION:
That Council receive a report from the Central Coast Collaborative on Homelessness (C3H) on the 2015 Homeless Point In Time Count and Vulnerability Survey.

DISCUSSION:
Since 2003, all Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Continuum of Care communities have been required to conduct a biennial Point in Time (PIT) Count of people experiencing homelessness.

The PIT Count is a snapshot of people encountered during a two day period; therefore it is limited to the number of people encountered during this specific time. It does not capture data on every person who experiences homelessness.

In addition to the PIT Count, a Vulnerability Survey has been administered along with the count since 2011. This survey gathers self-reported data on those experiencing homelessness, which allows providers to assess the health and housing needs of each person in order to target appropriate resources.

The 2015 PIT and Vulnerability Survey was conducted on January 28th and 29th. There were 893 contacts in the City of Santa Barbara during this year’s count, down from 946 in 2013 and 1,040 in 2011. This equals a 14% decrease over the past four years. Approximately 61% of the persons experiencing homelessness countywide were in the City of Santa Barbara. Key observations from the data collected include:

✓ ~52% of survey respondents report that they lived in Santa Barbara County, prior to becoming homeless.
✓ People experiencing homelessness continue to face a high risk of violence and trauma, serious injury, chronic disease, and mental illness.
✓ ~74% of those surveyed report that they are enrolled in some type of health insurance.

✓ The Central Coast Collaborative on Homelessness has adopted three strategies to reduce the number of people experiencing homelessness and reduce the impacts of homelessness on the community: Housing First, Housing Ready and Family/Employer Reunification.

“Housing First” is an approach that offers permanent, affordable housing as quickly as possible and then provides the supportive services and connections to the community-based support people need to keep their housing and avoid returning to homelessness. This strategy is a best practices model for vulnerable persons experiencing chronic homelessness who are frequent users of hospitals, jails and law enforcement.

“Housing Ready” consists of emergency shelter and/or transitional housing with supportive services and a transition to permanent housing. It is a frequently used strategy for persons or families who need assistance with employment, money management, education and/or substance abuse.

“Reunification” involves connecting individuals experiencing homelessness with their families or previous employers and helping the individual/family relocate successfully. This strategy is typically implemented when a person has no source of income and has caring family who can provide housing and support.

The information gathered from the PIT Count and Vulnerability Survey assists providers in determining which strategy to employ, depending upon the needs and circumstances of each individual or family.

ATTACHMENT: 2015 Point In Time Count and Vulnerability Survey Data for the City of Santa Barbara

PREPARED BY: Sue Gray, Community Development Business Manager

SUBMITTED BY: George Buell, Community Development Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
2015 COUNT & SURVEY DATA
City of Santa Barbara
### General Count Information - City of Santa Barbara

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals Encountered</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change from Previous Count</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### POINT IN TIME COUNT DATA (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTACTS BY REGION – INDIVIDUALS</th>
<th>2015 TOTAL</th>
<th>2015 %</th>
<th>2013 TOTAL</th>
<th>2013 %</th>
<th>2011 TOTAL</th>
<th>2011 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carpinteria</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuyama Valley</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Vista/Goleta*</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6.53%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>7.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lompoc</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7.09%</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>7.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>64.53%</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>67.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20.46%</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>15.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ynez Valley</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL REGIONS</td>
<td>1,455</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,536</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IV/Goleta data has been aggregated for comparative purposes; in 2015, 62 individuals were encountered in IV, and 37 individuals were encountered in Goleta.
DISTRIBUTION BY REGION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carpinteria</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuyama Valley</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Vista/Goleta</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lompoc</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ynez Valley</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Regions</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>1455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individuals Encountered
LIVING SITUATION & LOCATION PRIOR TO HOMELESSNESS – CITY OF SANTA BARBARA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIVING SITUATION</th>
<th>2015* %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubled Up</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRO &lt; 1 year</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/Refused</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION BEFORE HOMELESS</th>
<th>2015* %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Santa Barbara County</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Santa Barbara County</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Part of State</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of State</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Listed</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51.5% of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara report that they lived somewhere in Santa Barbara County prior to becoming homeless.

*Of 585 completed VI-SPDAT surveys.*
45 years old – the average age of individuals contacted during 2015 survey in the City of Santa Barbara.

6.1 years – the average length of time 2015 survey respondents report being homeless in the City of Santa Barbara.

15% of survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara are Veterans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL DEMOGRAPHIC INFO</th>
<th>2015 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GENERAL DEMOGRAPHIC INFO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015* %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foster Care</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim of Domestic Violence</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim of Violence/Trauma</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER Visits – Previous Year</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Admissions – Previous Year</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Of 585 completed VI-SPDAT surveys.

**32%** of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report experiencing domestic violence.

**58%** of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report experiencing violence/trauma.

High rates of incarceration self-reported among survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara.
### HEALTH-RELATED DEMOGRAPHICS – CITY OF SANTA BARBARA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH-RELATED DEMOGRAPHICS</th>
<th>2015* %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Artery Disease/Hypertensive Heart Disease</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD/Asthma</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Weather Injury</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Weather Injury</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed Medications</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking Medications</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25% of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report traumatic brain injury.

Higher proportion of **hot weather injury** reported, as compared to cold weather injury.

*Of 585 completed VI-SPDAT surveys.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH-RELATED DEMOGRAPHICS</th>
<th>2015* %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver Disease</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Stage Renal Disease</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Abuse</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Illness</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Mental Illness</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated for Mental Health Issue</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Disability</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes – Type 1 or 2</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV Positive</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

65% of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report mental illness, and 49% self-report severe mental illness.

39% of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report abuse of alcohol.

*Of 585 completed VI-SPDAT surveys.
HEALTH INSURANCE – CITY OF SANTA BARBARA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH INSURANCE</th>
<th>2015* %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi/Medi</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA Healthcare</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Insurance</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Insurance</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Listed</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Of 585 completed VI-SPDAT surveys.

73.5% of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report enrollment in some form of health insurance.

~52% of 2015 survey respondents in the City of Santa Barbara self-report enrollment in Medi-Cal.
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015
TO: Mayor and Councilmembers
FROM: Administration Division, Finance Department
SUBJECT: Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan For Fiscal Years 2016 And 2017

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Receive the Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017, including the Recommended Operating and Capital Budget for Fiscal Year 2016;
B. Hear a report from staff in connection with the filing of the Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017; and

DISCUSSION:

In accordance with City Charter, the Recommended Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017 (“Recommended Plan”) has been filed with the City Clerk’s Office and is being submitted to Council today. The Recommended Plan covers a two-year planning horizon and includes the recommended operating and capital budget for the first year of the plan. In June, Council will adopt a Two-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017 as well as an operating and capital budget for fiscal year 2016.

The current Recommended Plan was prepared in the context of a much improved local economy. General Fund sales tax and transient occupancy tax revenues have been very strong in the last two years and are expected to continue growing in the next two years, although at a more moderate rate. While the fiscal condition of the General Fund has greatly improved, some challenges still lay ahead, including continued increase in retirement costs and significantly underfunded capital needs.
City staff has scheduled special budget work sessions over the next two months during which the details of the Recommended Plan will be presented and discussed as part of the budget public hearing process. Over the course of the public hearings, each City department will present their respective recommended budget, including their operating budget and capital program, if applicable, as well as proposed performance measures and objectives for their programs.

The first of these special budget work sessions will be held on Thursday, April 30, from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. in Council Chambers. The budget work sessions are scheduled at different times of the day and evening to encourage public participation. The proposed schedule for the special budget work sessions, at which the public hearings on the budget would be held, is included as an attachment to this report for Council’s approval.

In addition to the public review by Council, staff recommends that the Finance Committee review certain elements of the Recommended Plan in more detail. The proposed Finance Committee Review Schedule will be presented to the Finance Committee for approval on April 21, 2015, just prior to the City Council meeting.

A copy of the Recommended Plan will be available for review in the City Clerk’s Office and the Public Library’s main and eastside branches. It will also be available on the City’s website at www.SantaBarbaraCa.gov.

ATTACHMENT: Schedule of Proposed Council Budget Review Meetings and Public Hearings

SUBMITTED BY: Robert Samario, Finance Director/Acting Assistant City Administrator

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEETING</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>BUDGET AGENDA ITEM(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #1</td>
<td>Thurs., April 30, 2015</td>
<td>- Open Budget Public Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 – 6:00 pm</td>
<td>- Department Budget Presentations for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council Chambers</td>
<td>- Community Development / Successor Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #2</td>
<td>Mon., May 4, 2015</td>
<td>- Department Budget Presentations for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 – 6:00 pm</td>
<td>- Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council Chambers</td>
<td>- General Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Administrative Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- City Attorney’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- City Administrator’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Mayor &amp; Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #3</td>
<td>Mon., May 11, 2015</td>
<td>- Department Budget Presentation for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:00 – 9:00 pm</td>
<td>- Parks &amp; Recreation (including Creeks and Golf Funds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #4</td>
<td>Wed., May 13, 2015</td>
<td>- Department Budget Presentations for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 – 6:00 pm</td>
<td>- Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council Chambers</td>
<td>- Waterfront</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Solid Waste Fund (Finance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #5</td>
<td>Mon., May 18, 2015</td>
<td>- Department Budget Presentation for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 – 5:00 pm</td>
<td>- Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event Type</td>
<td>Date/Time</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #6 | Wed., May 20, 2015 2:00 – 5:00 pm Council Chambers | Department Budget Presentation for:  
- Fire  
- Police |
| SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #7 | Mon., June 1, 2015 2:00 – 5:00 pm Council Chambers | Finance Committee Budget Recommendations to Council  
Council Budget Deliberations  
Final Council Budget Direction to Staff  
Close Budget Public Hearing |
| SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #8 | Wed., June 3, 2015 9:00 am – 12:00 pm Council Chambers | Continue Council Budget Deliberations (if needed) |
| SPECIAL BUDGET WORK SESSION AND PUBLIC HEARING #9 | Mon., June 8, 2015 3:00 – 6:00 pm Council Chambers | Continue Council Budget Deliberations (if needed) |
| REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING                  | Tues, June 16, 2015 Afternoon session Council Chambers | Prop. 218 Hearing on Proposed Increases to Water, Wastewater and Solid Waste Rates |
| REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING                  | Tues, June 23, 2015 Afternoon session Council Chambers | Budget Adoption |
AGENDA DATE: April 21, 2015
TO: Mayor and Councilmembers
FROM: Planning Division, Community Development Department
SUBJECT: Appeal Of Single Family Design Board Approval Of A New Residence At 2405 State Street

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Deny the appeal of Jim and Debbie Arnesen of the Single Family Design Board’s decision to grant Project Design Approval and Final Approval for the proposed new residence; and
B. Direct staff to return to Council with Decision and Findings reflecting the outcome of the appeal.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On February 23, 2015, the Single Family Design Board (SFDB) approved a new two-story, three bedroom residence on a vacant infill lot at 2405 State Street, owned by Dan Underwood. The adjacent neighbors and appellants (Jim and Debbie Arnesen) filed an appeal citing that “the proposed house is out of character with the neighborhood and incompatible with their house at 2401 State Street.” The appellants also raise concerns regarding the design of the proposed residence not being in full compliance with the City’s Single Family Residence Design Guidelines (see appellant’s letter, Attachment 1). Planning staff believes the SFDB reviewed the project carefully, considered the appellant’s concerns and successfully enacted design changes that lowered the residence and shifted building mass and increased the upper floor setback from the appellant’s property.

The Single Family Residence Design Guidelines do not require strict adherence with all guidelines in every case. Frequently, the efforts necessary to achieve consistency with one guideline require a compromise on compliance with another guideline. Staff believes the SFDB used its discretion to make overall compatibility decisions and balance various guideline objectives to achieve an acceptable design for this particular site. The final second story design evolved and was improved as a result of the SFDB review; therefore, the project generally meets the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance (NPO) and the Single Family Residence Design Guidelines. Planning Staff
recommends that Council deny the appeal, and uphold the SFDB’s Project Design and Final Approvals.

DISCUSSION:

Project Description

The project involves a proposal to construct a 2,101 square foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square foot, two-car garage on an existing 7,500 square foot vacant lot. The project includes a new curb cut and driveway on State Street, walkways, patios, site walls and fencing, an outdoor fireplace, and the removal of front setback trees. The project includes 318 cubic yard of cut and fill grading with most of the grading to be exported from the site. (The approved plans indicated an incorrect, larger amount of grading). The property slopes away from State Street and is surrounded by two-story residences. The proposed total of 2,606 square feet of development is 85% of the required maximum allowed floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR) (Attachment 2 – Project Plans).

Single Family Design Board Review

The project was reviewed at four meetings by the SFDB (Attachment 3 – SFDB Minutes). At the first concept review hearing on September 22, 2014, the SFDB reviewed the proposal and indicated that the architecture was pleasing. The Board requested further information regarding the streetscape, adjacent homes in the neighborhood and for the placement of story poles at the vacant site.

The project returned for two additional concept reviews in October 2014. On October 6, 2014, the SFDB had the benefit of viewing the story poles and a streetscape perspective to evaluate possible design impacts. At that hearing, the appellant raised concerns with the placement of the garage and driveway, and potential loss of privacy. The SFDB asked the architect to study flipping the second story floor plan to move some of the mass to the north, study the roof design to possibly add dormer windows, and to reduce the second story plate height from 9 to 8 feet.

The project returned to SFDB on October 20, 2014 with some requested design changes incorporated which included dropping the proposed height of residence by two feet and shifting the massing of the second story. The appellant continued to suggest that the garage should be relocated to the rear of the property to be consistent with the existing homes in the neighborhood. The SFDB noted the efforts made to reduce the square footage of the second floor and the overall height of the building by lowering the finish floor by two feet. The SFDB indicated continued support for the style, size, and location of the revised design. The Board further indicated their preference to have the driveway entry remain in its proposed location to conform to neighboring properties. It was suggested that providing the driveway on the south side of the property was a better solution to lower the finish grade of the home and to protect the bunia-bunia trees.
The project returned for review on February 23, 2015 and again received positive comments from the SFDB. The SFDB ultimately voted 3/0/2 to grant Project Design and Final Approvals, with conditions, and indicated that the NPO compatibility analysis criteria had been met. The appellant was the only neighbor that spoke under public comment and consistently voiced opposition towards the design of the project at all four SFDB meetings.

**APPEAL ISSUES**

**Neighborhood Compatibility and Size, Bulk and Scale**

The Zoning Ordinance includes regulations limiting the FAR of single-family homes on lots less than 15,000 square feet. If the FAR of a residence exceeds 85% of the maximum allowed and the building height exceeds 25 feet a Modification request must be made and considered by the Planning Commission.

The Single Family Residence Design Guidelines encourage applicants to design homes under 85% of the maximum allowed FAR. The Guidelines recognize that houses over 85% of the maximum FAR are more likely to pose neighborhood compatibility issues and are generally discouraged. Additional information may be required for houses proposed to exceed 85% of the maximum area, including a study of the 20 closest home sizes and FARs, story poles, and streetscape perspective drawings. In this case, 85% of the maximum allowed FAR for the subject property is 2,614 square feet. Although the proposed 2,606 square foot house is just under the limit, the SFDB still requested the streetscape perspective, additional photographs of the neighborhood and story poles to be erected at the site.

The Guidelines state that an FAR should be reduced where development is closer to property boundaries or more visible to the public and to neighbors. The SFDB was successful in having the proposed upper floor redesigned and set back further away from the southerly property line. The majority of the second story is proposed to be located at least 10 to 14 feet away, with a portion up to 17 feet away from the appellant's property. The potential loss of privacy for the neighbor was lessened, but there are new windows proposed at the second story facing the adjoining properties, which is a normal design element that is largely unavoidable for quality of life purposes (See Attachment 4).

**Consistency with Guidelines**

The primary goals of the SFDB are to promote neighborhood compatibility and quality design. The Single Family Residence Design Guidelines (Guidelines) are the primary guidelines used by the SFDB for project evaluation. The Guidelines help homeowners and architects design projects that are compatible in both size and design. The SFDB reviews projects for consistency with these guidelines to ensure changes to established neighborhoods are made with respect for design features and characteristics of surrounding properties.
The Guidelines include two-story design concepts that illustrate techniques to avoid crowding or overwhelming neighboring residences. Additions should fit into a neighborhood, when they have an appropriate volume, bulk, massing and scale and have a size appropriate for its lot size and not significantly larger than the immediate neighborhood. The Guidelines define these terms and provide examples of successful two-story residences. In this case the main structure has a generous front yard setback (approximately 35 feet) away from the front property line and most second story areas are setback away from interior property lines which is consistent with the direction provided in the Guidelines. Streetscape elevations and story poles were also provided and used by the SFDB to assist in the evaluation of neighborhood compatibility.

The two-car garage placement is proposed directly attached at the front of the residence facing the public street which is not the preferred screened location. There are several specific guidelines that the SFDB considers as they apply to neighborhood context and parking design aesthetics. The following Guideline excerpts state:

*Homes should respect, complement or improve upon existing neighborhood patterns, such as:*

- Providing distance from the street (sometimes greater than present minimum zoning regulations)
- Existing parking arrangement patterns
- If possible, consider private use and view areas of immediate neighbors (See Good Neighbor Guidelines and Tips)
- Minimize parking aesthetic impacts along the street.
- The location and access to garages, carports or other parking areas can have a great effect on the appearance of a neighborhood.
- For new construction, garages should not be the predominant feature of the front elevation.
- Design solutions which locate the garage behind the main residence are preferred, where feasible.

In this case, the applicant obtained SFDB support for the proposed garage location by showing a few examples in the neighborhood where this front facing garage design condition exists. The State Street residential area is considered an older established residential neighborhood which has a development pattern that typically has the garage to the rear of properties. Only one such example of a front facing garage exists for all the residences along the 2000 to-2400 blocks of State Street. However, as part of their review, the SFDB determined the front parking design was found elsewhere in the surrounding neighborhood and that the proposed garage location was acceptable for this site location. In past cases the SFDB has accepted front facing garages where property lot widths are narrow, excessive slopes exist, paving areas can be minimized or where parking at the front of properties allows for better use of the private backyards of properties.
Planning staff agrees that some design guidelines were not strictly followed regarding the proposed placement of the garage at the front of the home and the planned removal of several trees from the site. A full landscape plan was also approved by the SFDB that will replace some of the mature trees that are proposed for removal. It is staff’s belief, however, that the SFDB has the discretion to make overall compatibility decisions and balance various guideline objectives to achieve an acceptable design for a particular site. The final second story design evolved and was improved as a result of the SFDB; therefore, the project generally meets the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance and Guidelines. Additional setback distances were achieved as the massing of the proposed second story was shifted away from the appellant’s property to reduce loss of privacy impacts.

RECOMMENDATION:

Planning Staff recommends that Council deny the appeal and uphold the Single Family Board’s decision to grant Project Design and Final Approval of the new residence and direct staff to return to Council with Decision and NPO Findings reflecting the outcome of the appeal.

NOTE: The project file and plans were delivered separately to City Council for review and are available for public review at the City Clerk’s office.

2. Reduced site plans, floor plan and photographs  
3. SFDB Minutes  
4. Reduced building elevations

PREPARED BY: Jaime Limón, Senior Planner II

SUBMITTED BY: George Buell, Community Development Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
March 4, 2015

Santa Barbara City Council
City of Santa Barbara
735 Anacapa Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Dear Mayor Schneider and Council Members,

We are submitting this appeal letter to you regarding a decision made by the Single Family Design Board on Monday, February 23, 2015.

At their February 23, 2015 meeting, the Board voted to give ‘Project Design Approval and Final Approval’ to a proposed new home at 2405 State St.

The project includes a proposed 2,101 square foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square foot, two-car garage. The project includes a new driveway, walkways, curb cut, patios, site walls, fencing, an outdoor fireplace, and the removal of front setback trees. It also includes 2,825 cubic yards of cut and fill grading, of which 235 cubic yards will be exported. The proposed total of 2,606 square feet on a vacant lot is 85% of the required maximum floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR).

We are appealing this decision because we believe the project has several significant elements that we feel are not in alignment with the City’s Single Family Residence Design Guidelines.

The proposed house is out of character with the neighborhood, incompatible with the neighboring property at 2401 State (which was built in 1948), and runs counter to what is suggested in the guidelines including the items listed below:

- For new construction, garages should not be the pre-dominant feature of the front elevation.
- Minimize grading both underneath the main building footprint and on the entire site. Only rarely do projects need to approach 500 cubic yards of grading, not including grading under the building footprint, to achieve reasonable development of a property.
- Avoid crowding or overwhelming neighboring residences.
- Avoid a “vertical canyon effect” between homes. The space between a proposed two-story home adjacent to one-story homes is important. Space between homes should increase as wall height increases. Consider set-backs greater than those required by the Municipal Code to avoid bulky structures.
- Set back taller portions of structures from the lot lines to reduce the appearance of height.
- Structures are sited such that they do not block light and views for other existing homes.
- Locate areas that require more privacy away from your neighbors. Avoid placing windows into locations that would look into adjacent windows.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jim & Debbie Arnesen  
2401 State St.  
Santa Barbara, CA  
805-729-0432  

jimmyarnesen@gmail.com  
dza@silcom.com
SFDB MINUTES
September 22, 2014

CONCEPT REVIEW - NEW ITEM: PUBLIC HEARING

8. 2405 STATE ST  E-3 Zone
    
    Assessor’s Parcel Number: 025-071-012
    Application Number: MST2014-00418
    Owner: Dan Underwood
    Architect: Bill Wolf
    
    (Proposal to construct a 2,108 square foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square foot, two-car garage. The project includes a new driveway, walkways, curb cut, patios, site walls and fencing, an outdoor fireplace, and the removal of a front setback tree. The proposed total of 2,613 square feet on a vacant lot is 85% of the required maximum floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR).)

    (Comments only; project requires environmental assessment.)

    Actual time: 8:07 p.m.
    
    Present: Bill Wolf, Architect; and Dan Underwood, Owner.

    Public comment opened at 8:18 p.m.

    1) Jim Arnesen, adjacent neighbor at 2401 State St., expressed surprise regarding the parcel being a buildable lot and neighborhood compatibility of the proposed house.

    Public comment closed at 8:21 p.m.

    Motion: Continued two weeks to Full Board with comments:
    1) Provide level C story poles.
    2) The Board finds the architecture to be pleasing.
    3) Provide a streetscape plan of the property and adjacent homes.
    4) Provide details, materials and color board, and a landscape plan.
    
    Action: James/Bernstein, 4/0/1. Motion carried. (Sweeney stepped down, Woolery/Zimmerman absent).

SFDB MINUTES
October 16, 2014

SFDB-CONCEPT REVIEW (CONT.)

3. 2405 STATE ST  E-3 Zone
    
    Assessor’s Parcel Number: 025-071-012
    Application Number: MST2014-00418
    Owner: Dan Underwood
    Architect: Bill Wolf
    
    (Proposal to construct a 2,108 square foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square foot, two-car garage. The project includes a new driveway, walkways, curb cut, patios, site walls and fencing, an outdoor fireplace, and the removal of a front setback tree. The proposed total of 2,613 square feet on a vacant lot is 85% of the required maximum floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR).)

    (Second Concept Review. Comments only; project requires environmental assessment. Project was last reviewed on September 22, 2014.)

    Actual time: 4:00 p.m.
Present: Bill Wolf, Architect; Rob Fowler, Landscape Architect; and Dan Underwood, Owner.

Chair Sweeney announced that a licensed architect must be part of the quorum in order for the Board to review this project. As the sole licensed architect Board member present, he asked the owner and applicant if they were comfortable with him reviewing the proposal despite living in close proximity to the project. The owner and applicant welcomed Chair Sweeney's participation in the review of the project.

Public comment opened at 4:17 p.m.

1) Jim Arnesen, adjacent neighbor at 2401 State St., expressed concern regarding obstruction of sunlight, the mass of the second story, the location of the driveway and garage, and privacy issues. He implored the Board to review what grading and tree removals have been done on the property in the past two years.

Public comment closed at 4:21 p.m.

Motion: Continued indefinitely to Full Board with comments:

1) Study flipping the second story plan after consulting with staff regarding solar access requirements.
2) Study the roof, possibly adding dormer windows to create undulation on the south elevation.
3) Study reduction of the second story plate height from 9 feet to 8 feet.
4) Provide a landscape plan with plant selections that comply with requirements for low water usage.
5) Study an alternative to the clumping bamboo.
6) Investigate the supportability of the setback tree removals from the Parks Department.
7) Leave the installed story poles in place for an additional two days.

Action: Peirce/Woolery, 5/0/0. Motion carried. (James/Zimmerman absent).

SFDB MINUTES
October 20, 2014

SFDB-CONCEPT REVIEW (CONT.)

6. 2405 STATE ST  E-3 Zone

(5:25) Assessor's Parcel Number: 025-071-012
Application Number: MST2014-00418
Owner: Dan Underwood
Architect: Bill Wolf

(Proposal to construct a 2,101 square foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square foot, two-car garage. The project includes a new driveway, walkways, curb cut, patios, site walls, and fencing, an outdoor fireplace, and the removal of front setback trees. The proposed total of 2,605 square feet on a vacant lot is 85% of the required maximum floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR).)

(Third Concept Review. Comments only; project requires Environmental Assessment. Project was last reviewed on October 6, 2014.)

Actual time: 5:37 p.m.
Present: Bill Wolf, Architect; and Dan Underwood, Owner.

Public comment opened at 5:45 p.m.

1) Jim Arnesen, adjacent neighbor, spoke in opposition to the project, stating that the garage should be relocated to the rear of the property to be consistent with the existing homes in the neighborhood.

Public comment closed at 5:46 p.m.

Motion: **Continued indefinitely to Full Board with comments:**

1) The Board appreciates the efforts the architect has taken to reduce the square footage and the overall height of the building.
2) The Board appreciates pulling back the elevation on the south side.
3) The Board can support the style, size, and location of the property.
4) The Board looks forward to seeing the details and further resolution regarding the two bunia-bunia trees.
5) The Board prefers that the driveway remain in its current location to conform to neighboring properties.
6) The Board suggests that the driveway entry to the south side is a better solution to lower the finished grade of the home to protect the bunia-bunia trees.

Action: Miller/Bernstein, 5/0/0. Motion carried. (Woolery/Zimmerman absent).

**SFDB MINUTES**
February 23, 2015

**PROJECT DESIGN REVIEW**

3. **2405 STATE ST E-3 Zone**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessor’s Parcel Number:</th>
<th>025-071-012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Number:</td>
<td>MST2014-00418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Dan Underwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect:</td>
<td>Bill Wolf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Proposal to construct a 2,101 square foot, two-story single-family residence with an attached 505 square foot, two-car garage. The project includes a new driveway, walkways, curb cut, patios, site walls, fencing, an outdoor fireplace, and the removal of front setback trees. It also includes 2,825 cubic yard of cut and fill grading, of which 235 cubic yards will be exported. The proposed total of 2,606 square feet on a vacant lot is 85% of the required maximum floor-to-lot area ratio (FAR).)

(Project Design Approval and Final Approval are requested. Project was last reviewed on October 20, 2014.)

Actual time: 4:15 p.m.

Present: Bill Wolf, Architect; and Dan Underwood, Owner.

Public comment opened at 4:30 p.m.

1) Jim Arnesen expressed concerns regarding the amount of cut and fill grading, the overwhelming height of the structure in the view corridor, the location of the garage fronting State Street, and
the removal of the *araucaria araucana* (monkey puzzle tree).

Public comment closed at 4:35 p.m.

**Motion:** Project Design Approval and Final Approval with the finding that the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance criteria have been met as stated in Subsection 22.69.050 of the City of Santa Barbara Municipal Code with comments:

1) The Board appreciates the applicant efforts.

2) The Board had positive comments regarding the project’s consistency and appearance, neighborhood compatibility, and quality of architecture and materials.

3) Include in the landscape plan a grouping of three *hymenosporum flavum*, comprising two 15 gallon and one 24 inch boxes.

4) The chimney should be clad with stucco or stone.

**Action:** Bernstein/Pierce, 3/0/2. Motion carried. (Zink/Woolery abstained, Sweeney stepped down).

The ten-day appeal period was announced.