CITY OF SANTA BARBARA
CITY COUNCIL

APRIL 7, 2015
AGENDA

ORDER OF BUSINESS: Regular meetings of the Finance Committee and the Ordinance Committee begin at 12:30 p.m. The regular City Council meeting begins at 2:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber at City Hall.

REPORTS: Copies of the reports relating to agenda items are available for review in the City Clerk's Office, at the Central Library, and http://www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov. In accordance with state law requirements, this agenda generally contains only a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Should you wish more detailed information regarding any particular agenda item, you are encouraged to obtain a copy of the Council Agenda Report (a "CAR") for that item from either the Clerk's Office, the Reference Desk at the City's Main Library, or online at the City's website (http://www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov). Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the City Council after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the City Clerk's Office located at City Hall, 735 Anacapa Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101, during normal business hours.

PUBLIC COMMENT: At the beginning of the 2:00 p.m. session of each regular City Council meeting, and at the beginning of each special City Council meeting, any member of the public may address the City Council concerning any item not on the Council's agenda. Any person wishing to make such address should first complete and deliver a "Request to Speak" form prior to the time that public comment is taken up by the City Council. Should City Council business continue into the evening session of a regular City Council meeting at 6:00 p.m., the City Council will allow any member of the public who did not address them during the 2:00 p.m. session to do so. The total amount of time for public comments will be 15 minutes, and no individual speaker may speak for more than 1 minute. The City Council, upon majority vote, may decline to hear a speaker on the grounds that the subject matter is beyond their jurisdiction.

REQUEST TO SPEAK: A member of the public may address the Finance or Ordinance Committee or City Council regarding any scheduled agenda item. Any person wishing to make such address should first complete and deliver a "Request to Speak" form prior to the time that the item is taken up by the Finance or Ordinance Committee or City Council.

CONSENT CALENDAR: The Consent Calendar is comprised of items that will not usually require discussion by the City Council. A Consent Calendar item is open for discussion by the City Council upon request of a Councilmember, City staff, or member of the public. Items on the Consent Calendar may be approved by a single motion. Should you wish to comment on an item listed on the Consent Agenda, after turning in your "Request to Speak" form, you should come forward to speak at the time the Council considers the Consent Calendar.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: If you need auxiliary aids or services or staff assistance to attend or participate in this meeting, please contact the City Administrator's Office at 564-5305. If possible, notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will usually enable the City to make reasonable arrangements. Specialized services, such as sign language interpretation or documents in Braille, may require additional lead time to arrange.

TELEVISION COVERAGE: Each regular City Council meeting is broadcast live in English and Spanish on City TV Channel 18 and rebroadcast in English on Wednesdays and Thursdays at 7:00 p.m. and Saturdays at 9:00 a.m., and in Spanish on Sundays at 4:00 p.m. Each televised Council meeting is closed captioned for the hearing impaired. Check the City TV program guide at www.citytv18.com for rebroadcasts of Finance and Ordinance Committee meetings, and for any changes to the replay schedule.
ORDER OF BUSINESS

12:30 p.m. - Ordinance Committee Meeting, Council Chamber
2:00 p.m. - City Council Meeting Begins
5:00 p.m. - Recess
6:00 p.m. - City Council Meeting Reconvenes

ORDINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING - 12:30 P.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER (120.03)

1. **Subject: Ministerial Solar Permitting Process (120.03)**
   
   Recommendation: That the Ordinance Committee receive a brief staff report and provide direction on the milestones, timeline and preparation of the City's solar permitting process ordinance.

2. **Subject: Municipal Code Amendment Establishing Community Service Officers (120.03)**
   
   Recommendation: That the Ordinance Committee review the proposed amendment to Section 1 Chapter 1.20 of Title 1 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code and forward to City Council for approval.
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING – 2:00 P.M.

AFTERNOON SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

CEREMONIAL ITEMS

1. Subject: Proclamation Declaring April As National Poetry Month And Appointment of Poet Laureate Sojourner Kincaid Rolle (120.04)

   Recommendation: That Council approve the City Arts Advisory Committee's recommendation for the City Poet Laureate from April 1, 2015, to April 1, 2017.

2. Subject: Employee Recognition - Service Award Pins (410.01)

   Recommendation: That Council authorize the City Administrator to express the City's appreciation to employees who are eligible to receive service award pins for their years of service through April 30, 2015.

CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENT

CONSENT CALENDAR

3. Subject: Minutes

   Recommendation: That Council waive further reading and approve the minutes of the regular meeting of March 17, 2015, the regular meeting (cancelled) of March 31, 2015, and the special meetings of March 18 and March 30, 2015.
CONSENT CALENDAR (CONT’D)

4. **Subject:** Renew An Agreement To Maintain And Operate Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens (570.05)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the Parks and Recreation Director to execute an agreement with Pilgrim Terrace Affordable, L.P., to maintain and operate the Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens.

5. **Subject:** Receipt Of Public Education Grant For Emergency Preparedness (520.02)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Authorize the receipt of grant funds totaling $5,000 from the Aware & Prepare Initiative; and
B. Increase appropriations and estimated revenues by $5,000 in the Fire Department's General Fund Emergency Services & Public Education Division for Fiscal Year 2015.

6. **Subject:** Sole Source Vendor For Mobile Air Compressor (520.03)

Recommendation: That Council authorize the City's General Services Manager to issue a purchase order to Bauer Compressors, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $60,000, according to the Sole Source provisions of Santa Barbara Municipal Code Section 4.52.070 (K), in order to fund the cost to purchase and install a Bauer K18.1 Mobile Air Compressor on the Fire Department's Hazardous Materials vehicle.

7. **Subject:** Appropriation Of Asset Forfeiture Funds (520.04)

Recommendation: That Council appropriate $20,000 in the Fiscal Year 2015 Police Department Police Asset Forfeiture Fund from available reserves into the Investigative Divisions Special Investigative Account (SIF).

8. **Subject:** Introduction Of Ordinance For A License Agreement With Southern California Gas Company (380.02)

Recommendation: That Council introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Approving a 20-Year License Agreement with Southern California Gas Company, for Installation, Operation, and Maintenance of Advanced Metering Infrastructure on Certain City Properties, for a One-Time Fee of $780 Per Location, Effective May 14, 2015.
CONSENT CALENDAR (CONT’D)

9. **Subject: Adoption Of Water Rate Increases For Fiscal Year 2016 (540.01)**


10. **Subject: Authorization For Agreement For Legal Services With Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC (160.01)**

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Authorize the City Attorney to execute a legal services agreement with Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC, in an amount not to exceed $45,000, for special counsel services for the City's petition for review in the California Supreme Court on *Rolland Jacks, et al., v. City Of Santa Barbara*, SBSC Case No. 1383959; and
B. Allocate $45,000 from General Fund appropriated reserves to the City Attorney's Office budget to cover the cost of legal services.

NOTICES

11. The City Clerk has on Thursday, April 2, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

12. Recruitment For City Advisory Groups

A. The City Clerk's Office will accept applications through Tuesday, May 5, 2015, at 5:30 p.m. to fill scheduled vacancies on various City Advisory Groups and the unscheduled vacancies resulting from resignations received in the City Clerk's Office through Wednesday, April 15, 2015;
B. The City Council will conduct interviews of applicants for vacancies on various City Advisory Groups on Tuesday, May 19, 2015, at 4:00 p.m. (Estimated Time), Tuesday, June 2, 2015, at 6:00 p.m., and Tuesday, June 9, 2015, at 4:00 p.m. (Estimated Time); and
C. The City Council will make appointments to fill the vacancies on various City Advisory Groups on Tuesday, June 23, 2015.

13. Receipt of communication advising of vacancy created on the Community Events and Festivals Committee with the resignation of Laura Mclver; the vacancy will be part of the semiannual recruitment.

14. The public hearing originally scheduled for April 7, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. to hear an appeal of the Historic Landmarks Commission's approval for 1320 Olive Street, has been postponed to a date uncertain.

This concludes the Consent Calendar.
REPORT FROM THE ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

CITY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ATTORNEY REPORTS

PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

15. **Subject:** Report From The Trust For Public Land On The Potential Acquisition Of Vacant Land (APNs 047-010-064 And 047-061-026) Along Lower Arroyo Burro (Former Veronica Meadows Development Site) (330.03)

   Recommendation: That Council receive a presentation from the Trust For Public Land on the potential acquisition of vacant land along lower Arroyo Burro.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

16. **Subject:** 2015 Bicycle Master Plan Progress Report (670.04)

   Recommendation: That the City Council receive a presentation on the 2015 Bicycle Master Plan progress.

17. **Subject:** Measure A Five-Year Local Program Of Projects For Fiscal Years 2016 - 2020 Public Hearing (670.01)

   Recommendation: That Council adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Adopting the Measure A Five-Year Local Program of Projects for Fiscal Years 2016 - 2020.

COUNCIL AND STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

COUNCILMEMBER COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT REPORTS
CLOSED SESSIONS

18.  **Subject: Conference With Real Property Negotiators (330.03)**

Recommendation:  That Council hold a closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8 to consider the possible acquisition of real property.

**Real Property:**  900-1100 Las Positas Road, Santa Barbara, CA (APN 047-010-064; 14.69 acres); (APN 047-061-026; .04 acres)

**City Negotiators:**  Paul Casey, City Administrator; Nancy Rapp, Parks and Recreation Director.

**Negotiating Parties:**  Trust for Public Land; Peak-Las Positas Partners

**Under Negotiation:**  Price and Terms of Payment

**Scheduling:**  Duration, 30 minutes; anytime

**Report:**  None anticipated

RECESS
EVENING SESSION

RECONVENE

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMENT

PUBLIC HEARINGS

19. Subject: Community Development And Human Services Committee Recommendations For Fiscal Year 2016 And 5-Year Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan Public Hearing (610.05)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Approve the Fiscal Year 2016 funding recommendations of the Community Development and Human Services Committee (CDHSC) for use of Human Services and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds;
B. Authorize the Community Development Director to negotiate and execute grant agreements implementing the funding recommendations, subject to the review as to form by the City Attorney; and
C. Conduct a public hearing to obtain public input on the City's 5-Year Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2020, and Annual Action Plan for Fiscal Year 2016.

ADJOURNMENT
ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

1. **Subject: Ministerial Solar Permitting Process**

   Recommendation: That the Ordinance Committee receive a brief staff report and provide direction on the milestones, timeline and preparation of the City’s solar permitting process ordinance.

2. **Subject: Municipal Code Amendment Establishing Community Service Officers**

   Recommendation: That the Ordinance Committee review the proposed amendment to Section 1 Chapter 1.20 of Title 1 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code and forward to City Council for approval.
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Ordinance Committee

FROM: Community Development Department

SUBJECT: Ministerial Solar Permitting Process

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Ordinance Committee receive a brief staff report and provide direction on the milestones, timeline and preparation of the City's solar permitting process ordinance.

DISCUSSION:

State Government Code section 65950.5, adopted in September 2014, requires that cities and counties prepare and adopt, by ordinance, expedited and minimal cost, solar building permit processes that encourage the installation of solar energy systems. This State Code section provides the following objectives for the permitting of solar systems up to 10 KW electrical and 30 KW thermal maximum sizes:

- Limit the Building Official's review to only the review of health and safety requirements
- Require local Fire Department consultation
- Create a standard submittal checklist used to prepare and confirm system compliance with health and safety requirements
- All permit application and regulatory checklists are provided via the City web site
- These solar system permits may be obtained electronically
- Solar systems and components must be certified and listed for the specific use
- Allow appeals of the Building Official's decision to the Planning Commission
- This ordinance is to be in effect no later than September 30, 2015
SUSTAINABILITY IMPACT:

Staff anticipates that creating and implementing an ordinance that meets the requirements of State Government Code section 65850.5 will make small, residential solar electrical and thermal systems slightly more affordable and predictable. The improvement in affordability and predictability is expected to increase the number of solar energy system permits issued each year – thus reducing the overall demand for residential energy.

ATTACHMENT(S):
1. State Assembly Bill 2188
2. Proposed Milestones and Timeline

PREPARED BY: Andrew Stuffler, Chief Building Official
SUBMITTED BY: George Buell, Community Development Director
APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
Assembly Bill No. 2188

CHAPTER 521

An act to amend Section 714 of the Civil Code, and to amend Section 65850.5 of the Government Code, relating to solar energy.

[ Approved by Governor September 21, 2014. Filed with Secretary of State September 21, 2014. ]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL’S DIGEST

AB 2188, Muratsuchi. Solar energy: permits.

(1) Existing law provides that it is the policy of the state to promote and encourage the use of solar energy systems, as defined, and to limit obstacles to their use. Existing law states that the implementation of consistent statewide standards to achieve timely and cost-effective installation of solar energy systems is not a municipal affair, but is instead a matter of statewide concern. Existing law requires a city or county to administratively approve applications to install solar energy systems through the issuance of a building permit or similar nondiscretionary permit. Existing law requires a solar energy system for heating water to be certified by the Solar Rating Certification Corporation or another nationally recognized certification agency.

This bill would specify that these provisions address a statewide concern. The bill would additionally require a city, county, or city and county to adopt, on or before September 30, 2015, in consultation with specified public entities an ordinance that creates an expedited, streamlined permitting process for small residential rooftop solar energy systems, as specified. The bill would additionally require a city, county, or city and county to inspect a small residential rooftop solar energy system eligible for expedited review in a timely manner, as specified. The bill would prohibit a city, county, or city and county from conditioning the approval of any solar energy system permit on approval of that system by an association that manages a common interest development. The bill would require a solar energy system for heating water in single family residences and solar collectors for heating water in commercial or swimming pool applications to be certified by an accredited listing agency, as defined.

Because the bill would impose new duties upon local governments and local agencies, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

(2) Existing law prohibits any covenant, restriction, or condition contained in any deed, contract, security instrument, or other instrument affecting the transfer or sale of, or any interest in, real property, and any provision of a governing document from effectively prohibiting or restricting the installation or use of a solar energy system. Existing law exempts from that prohibition provisions that impose reasonable restrictions on a solar energy system that do not significantly increase the cost of the system or significantly decrease its efficiency or specified performance. Existing law defines the term “significantly,” for these purposes, with regard to solar domestic water heating systems or solar swimming pool heating systems that comply with state and federal law, to mean an amount exceeding 20% of the cost of the system or decreasing the efficiency of the solar energy system by an amount exceeding 20%, and with regard to photovoltaic systems that comply with state and federal law, an amount not to exceed $2,000 over the system cost or a decrease in system efficiency of
an amount exceeding 20%, as specified. Existing law requires a solar energy system for heating water subject to the provisions described above to be certified by the Solar Rating Certification Corporation or another nationally recognized certification agency.

This bill would instead define the term “significantly,” for these purposes, with regard to solar domestic water heating systems or solar swimming pool heating systems that comply with state and federal law, to mean an amount exceeding 10% of the cost of the system, not to exceed $1,000, or decreasing the efficiency of the solar energy system by an amount exceeding 10%, and with regard to photovoltaic systems that comply with state and federal law, an amount not to exceed $1,000 over the system cost or a decrease in system efficiency of an amount exceeding 10%, as specified. The bill would require a solar energy system for heating water in single family residences and solar collectors for heating water in commercial or swimming pool applications subject to the provisions described above to be certified by an accredited listing agency, as defined.

(3) Existing law requires an application for approval for the installation or use of a solar energy system to be processed and approved by the appropriate approving entity in the same manner as an application for approval of an architectural modification to the property and prohibits the approver from willfully avoiding or delaying approval. Existing law requires the approving entity to notify the applicant in writing within 60 days of receipt of the application if the application is denied, as specified.

The bill would instead require the approving entity to notify the applicant in writing within 45 days of receipt of the application if the application is denied, as specified.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

DIGEST KEY
Vote: MAJORITY   Appropriation: NO   Fiscal Committee: YES   Local Program: YES

BILL TEXT
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1.
The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) In recent years, the state has both encouraged the development of innovative distributed generation technology and prioritized the widespread adoption of solar power as a renewable energy resource through programs such as the California Solar Initiative.

(b) Rooftop solar energy is a leading renewable energy technology that will help this state reach its energy and environmental goals.

(c) To reach the state’s Million Solar Roofs goal, hundreds of thousands of additional rooftop solar energy systems will need to be deployed in the coming years.

(d) Various studies, including one by the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, show that, despite the 1978 California Solar Rights Act, declaring that the “implementation of consistent statewide standards to achieve the
timely and cost-effective installation of solar energy systems is not a municipal affair ... but is instead a matter of statewide concern,” the permitting process governing the installation of rooftop solar energy systems varies widely across jurisdictions and, contrary to the intent of the law, is both an “obstacle” to the state’s clean energy and greenhouse reduction goals and a “burdensome cost” to homeowners, businesses, schools, and public agencies.

(e) The United States Department of Energy, through its SunShot Initiative, has distributed millions of dollars in grants to local and state governments, including California jurisdictions, and nonprofit organizations to reduce the costs of distributed solar through streamlined and standardized permitting.

(f) A modernized and standardized permitting process for installations of small-scale solar distributed generation technology on residential rooftops will increase the deployment of solar distributed generation, help to expand access to lower income households, provide solar customers greater installation ease, improve the state’s ability to reach its clean energy goals, and generate much needed jobs in the state, all while maintaining safety standards.

SEC. 2.
Section 714 of the Civil Code is amended to read:

714.
(a) Any covenant, restriction, or condition contained in any deed, contract, security instrument, or other instrument affecting the transfer or sale of, or any interest in, real property, and any provision of a governing document, as defined in Section 4150 or 6552, that effectively prohibits or restricts the installation or use of a solar energy system is void and unenforceable.

(b) This section does not apply to provisions that impose reasonable restrictions on solar energy systems. However, it is the policy of the state to promote and encourage the use of solar energy systems and to remove obstacles thereto. Accordingly, reasonable restrictions on a solar energy system are those restrictions that do not significantly increase the cost of the system or significantly decrease its efficiency or specified performance, or that allow for an alternative system of comparable cost, efficiency, and energy conservation benefits.

(c) (1) A solar energy system shall meet applicable health and safety standards and requirements imposed by state and local permitting authorities, consistent with Section 65850.5 of the Government Code.

(2) Solar energy systems used for heating water in single family residences and solar collectors used for heating water in commercial or swimming pool applications shall be certified by an accredited listing agency as defined in the Plumbing and Mechanical Codes.

(3) A solar energy system for producing electricity shall also meet all applicable safety and performance standards established by the California Electrical Code, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and accredited testing laboratories such as Underwriters Laboratories and, where applicable, rules of the Public Utilities Commission regarding safety and reliability.

(d) For the purposes of this section:

(1) (A) For solar domestic water heating systems or solar swimming pool heating systems that comply with state and federal law, “significantly” means an amount exceeding 10 percent of the cost of the system, but in no case more than one thousand dollars ($1,000), or decreasing the efficiency of the solar energy system by an amount exceeding 10 percent, as originally specified and proposed.
(B) For photovoltaic systems that comply with state and federal law, “significantly” means an amount not to exceed one thousand dollars ($1,000) over the system cost as originally specified and proposed, or a decrease in system efficiency of an amount exceeding 10 percent as originally specified and proposed.

(2) “Solar energy system” has the same meaning as defined in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 801.5.

(e) (1) Whenever approval is required for the installation or use of a solar energy system, the application for approval shall be processed and approved by the appropriate approving entity in the same manner as an application for approval of an architectural modification to the property, and shall not be willfully avoided or delayed.

(2) For an approving entity that is an association, as defined in Section 4080 or 6528, and that is not a public entity, both of the following shall apply:

(A) The approval or denial of an application shall be in writing.

(B) If an application is not denied in writing within 45 days from the date of receipt of the application, the application shall be deemed approved, unless that delay is the result of a reasonable request for additional information.

(f) Any entity, other than a public entity, that willfully violates this section shall be liable to the applicant or other party for actual damages occasioned thereby, and shall pay a civil penalty to the applicant or other party in an amount not to exceed one thousand dollars ($1,000).

(g) In any action to enforce compliance with this section, the prevailing party shall be awarded reasonable attorney’s fees.

(h) (1) A public entity that fails to comply with this section may not receive funds from a state-sponsored grant or loan program for solar energy. A public entity shall certify its compliance with the requirements of this section when applying for funds from a state-sponsored grant or loan program.

(2) A local public entity may not exempt residents in its jurisdiction from the requirements of this section.

SEC. 3.
Section 65850.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

65850.5.

(a) The implementation of consistent statewide standards to achieve the timely and cost-effective installation of solar energy systems is not a municipal affair, as that term is used in Section 5 of Article XI of the California Constitution, but is instead a matter of statewide concern. It is the intent of the Legislature that local agencies not adopt ordinances that create unreasonable barriers to the installation of solar energy systems, including, but not limited to, design review for aesthetic purposes, and not unreasonably restrict the ability of homeowners and agricultural and business concerns to install solar energy systems. It is the policy of the state to promote and encourage the use of solar energy systems and to limit obstacles to their use. It is the intent of the Legislature that local agencies comply not only with the language of this section, but also the legislative intent to encourage the installation of solar energy systems by removing obstacles to, and minimizing costs of, permitting for such systems.
(b) A city or county shall administratively approve applications to install solar energy systems through the issuance of a building permit or similar nondiscretionary permit. Review of the application to install a solar energy system shall be limited to the building official’s review of whether it meets all health and safety requirements of local, state, and federal law. The requirements of local law shall be limited to those standards and regulations necessary to ensure that the solar energy system will not have a specific, adverse impact upon the public health or safety. However, if the building official of the city or county makes a finding, based on substantial evidence, that the solar energy system could have a specific, adverse impact upon the public health and safety, the city or county may require the applicant to apply for a use permit.

(c) A city, county, or city and county may not deny an application for a use permit to install a solar energy system unless it makes written findings based upon substantial evidence in the record that the proposed installation would have a specific, adverse impact upon the public health or safety, and there is no feasible method to satisfactorily mitigate or avoid the specific, adverse impact. The findings shall include the basis for the rejection of potential feasible alternatives of preventing the adverse impact.

(d) The decision of the building official pursuant to subdivisions (b) and (c) may be appealed to the planning commission of the city, county, or city and county.

(e) Any conditions imposed on an application to install a solar energy system shall be designed to mitigate the specific, adverse impact upon the public health and safety at the lowest cost possible.

(f) (1) A solar energy system shall meet applicable health and safety standards and requirements imposed by state and local permitting authorities.

(2) Solar energy systems for heating water in single family residences and solar collectors used for heating water in commercial or swimming pool applications shall be certified by an accredited listing agency as defined in the California Plumbing and Mechanical Codes.

(3) A solar energy system for producing electricity shall meet all applicable safety and performance standards established by the California Electrical Code, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and accredited testing laboratories such as Underwriters Laboratories and, where applicable, rules of the Public Utilities Commission regarding safety and reliability.

(g) (1) On or before September 30, 2015, every city, county, or city and county, in consultation with the local fire department or district and the utility director, if the city, county, or city and county operates a utility, shall adopt an ordinance, consistent with the goals and intent of subdivision (a), that creates an expedited, streamlined permitting process for small residential rooftop solar energy systems. In developing an expedited permitting process, the city, county, or city and county shall adopt a checklist of all requirements with which small rooftop solar energy systems shall comply to be eligible for expedited review. An application that satisfies the information requirements in the checklist, as determined by the city, county, and city and county, shall be deemed complete. Upon confirmation by the city, county, or city and county of the application and supporting documents being complete and meeting the requirements of the checklist, and consistent with the ordinance, a city, county, or city and county shall, consistent with subdivision (b), approve the application and issue all required permits or authorizations. Upon receipt of an incomplete application, a city, county, or city and county shall issue a written correction notice detailing all deficiencies in the application and any additional information required to be eligible for expedited permit issuance.

(2) The checklist and required permitting documentation shall be published on a publically accessible Internet Web site, if the city, county, or city and county has an Internet Web site, and the city, county, or city and county
shall allow for electronic submittal of a permit application and associated documentation, and shall authorize the electronic signature on all forms, applications, and other documentation in lieu of a wet signature by an applicant. In developing the ordinance, the city, county, or city and county shall substantially conform its expedited, streamlined permitting process with the recommendations for expedited permitting, including the checklists and standard plans contained in the most current version of the California Solar Permitting Guidebook and adopted by the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research. A city, county, or city and county may adopt an ordinance that modifies the checklists and standards found in the guidebook due to unique climactic, geological, seismological, or topographical conditions. If a city, county, or city and county determines that it is unable to authorize the acceptance of an electronic signature on all forms, applications, and other documents in lieu of a wet signature by an applicant, the city, county, or city and county shall state, in the ordinance required under this subdivision, the reasons for its inability to accept electronic signatures and acceptance of an electronic signature shall not be required.

(h) For a small residential rooftop solar energy system eligible for expedited review, only one inspection shall be required, which shall be done in a timely manner and may include a consolidated inspection, except that a separate fire safety inspection may be performed in a city, county, or city and county that does not have an agreement with a local fire authority to conduct a fire safety inspection on behalf of the fire authority. If a small residential rooftop solar energy system fails inspection, a subsequent inspection is authorized, however the subsequent inspection need not conform to the requirements of this subdivision.

(i) A city, county, or city and county shall not condition approval for any solar energy system permit on the approval of a solar energy system by an association, as that term is defined in Section 4080 of the Civil Code.

(j) The following definitions apply to this section:

(1) “A feasible method to satisfactorily mitigate or avoid the specific, adverse impact” includes, but is not limited to, any cost-effective method, condition, or mitigation imposed by a city, county, or city and county on another similarly situated application in a prior successful application for a permit. A city, county, or city and county shall use its best efforts to ensure that the selected method, condition, or mitigation meets the conditions of subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Section 714 of the Civil Code.

(2) “Electronic submittal” means the utilization of one or more of the following:

(A) Email.

(B) The Internet.

(C) Facsimile.

(3) “Small residential rooftop solar energy system” means all of the following:

(A) A solar energy system that is no larger than 10 kilowatts alternating current nameplate rating or 30 kilowatts thermal.

(B) A solar energy system that conforms to all applicable state fire, structural, electrical, and other building codes as adopted or amended by the city, county, or city and county and paragraph (3) of subdivision (c) of Section 714 of the Civil Code.

(C) A solar energy system that is installed on a single or duplex family dwelling.
(D) A solar panel or module array that does not exceed the maximum legal building height as defined by the authority having jurisdiction.

(4) “Solar energy system” has the same meaning set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 801.5 of the Civil Code.

(5) “Specific, adverse impact” means a significant, quantifiable, direct, and unavoidable impact, based on objective, identified, and written public health or safety standards, policies, or conditions as they existed on the date the application was deemed complete.

SEC. 4.
No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.
# Implementation of AB 2188 Solar Energy Permits law

**Proposed Milestones and Timeline**
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council 2nd Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council 1st Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Ordinance Committee Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Check-In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Attorney Drafts Ord</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Advisory and Kickoff Mtg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinance Committee Discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* this represents the most expedicious timeline possible
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Ordinance Committee

FROM: Patrol Division, Santa Barbara Police Department

SUBJECT: Municipal Code Amendment Establishing Community Service Officers

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Ordinance Committee review the proposed amendment to Section 1 Chapter 1.20 of Title 1 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code and forward to City Council for approval.

DISCUSSION:

The proposed amendment adds Section 1.20.060 which reads as follows:

1.20.060 Community Service Officer

The City hereby establishes the Community Service Officer position. The Community Service Officer is authorized pursuant to California Penal Code section 836.5, and by this section, to issue a criminal citation provided that the employee has first completed an introductory course of training prescribed by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training pursuant to Penal Code section 832. The Community Service Officer shall be required to wear a distinctive uniform, as prescribed by the Chief of Police. The Community Service Officer is designated as an employee who has the duty to enforce the Santa Barbara Municipal Code and issue a criminal citation to a person, without warrant, whenever such employee has reasonable cause to believe that the person has committed a misdemeanor or an infraction in the presence of the employee.

At the direction of City Council the Santa Barbara Police Department has established the Community Service Officer program. In compliance with Section 836.5 of the California Penal Code, an amendment to the City’s Municipal Code is proposed as described above. With this amendment the Community Service Officer’s will be able to issue criminal citations to violators.

California Penal Code Section 836.5 permits the governing body of a local agency to authorize, by ordinance, its public officers who have the duty of enforcing an ordinance, to issue criminal citations to violators occurring in their presence. Community Service
Officer’s will be required to wear a distinctive uniform, as prescribed by the Chief of Police in order to be easily identifiable to the community.

This ordinance is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") pursuant to Section 15060(c)(2) of the CEQA Guidelines (Title 14, Chapter 3 of the California Code of Regulations) because the activity will not result in a direct or reasonable foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, and Section 15060(c)(3) because the activity is not a project as defined in Section 15378 of the CEQA Guidelines because it has no potential for resulting in physical change to the environment, directly or indirectly.

PREPARED BY: Lorenzo Duarte, Police Lieutenant/LSP

SUBMITTED BY: Camerino Sanchez, Police Chief

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Arts And Community Promotions

SUBJECT: Proclamation Declaring April As National Poetry Month And Appointment of Poet Laureate Sojourner Kincaid Rolle

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council approve the City Arts Advisory Committee’s recommendation for the City Poet Laureate from April 1, 2015, to April 1, 2017.

DISCUSSION:

On November 2, 2004, City Council approved the process for and established a City Poet Laureate. The Poet Laureate for the City of Santa Barbara is an honorary position for which the City awards an honorarium of One Thousand Dollars ($1,000) per year, which encompasses all civic or official work performed or produced in this position.

An Ad Hoc Poet Laureate nomination committee was established at the City Arts Advisory Committee meeting in November 2014. The Ad Hoc committee met January 22, 2015, to review guidelines and establish deadlines. Press releases, publicity and information on the search for Poet Laureate were released in early January 2015 and nomination guidelines and deadline information were made available on the Arts Commission’s website www.sbartscommission.org.

Eight nominations were received by the February 20, 2015, deadline. The review committee met on March 12th to review the nominations and develop a recommendation, which will be approved by the City Arts Advisory Committee at its March 19, 2015, meeting.

The City Poet Laureate will be installed in April to coincide with National Poetry Month.

BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

There is no budget implication associated with this Council item. The $1,000 stipend is included in the Arts Advisory Committee Fiscal Year 2013 budget.
ATTACHMENT:  Poet Laureate Guidelines

PREPARED BY:  Ginny Brush, Executive Director of the County Arts Commission

SUBMITTED BY:  Paul Casey, City Administrator

APPROVED BY:  City Administrator's Office
SANTA BARBARA POET LAUREATE
Guidelines for Nominations

Statement of Purpose:
The City of Santa Barbara is seeking nominations for the position of Santa Barbara Poet Laureate. The person selected shall seek to advance awareness of and appreciation for literary arts and humanities within the greater Santa Barbara community. As both a local resident and a distinguished poet, the Poet Laureate shall represent and celebrate the diversity and history of Santa Barbara. As a spokesperson for the City’s literary community, the Poet Laureate shall endeavor to promote the artistic achievements of the City of Santa Barbara and shall actively participate in ceremonial, educational and cultural activities in the community at various times throughout his or her term of service.

Term of Service:
The Poet Laureate for the City of Santa Barbara is an honorary position. The individual selected will serve a two-year term, commencing in April. Nearing the end of the Poet Laureate's term and prior to initiating the nomination process, the Poet Laureate Review Committee may extend an invitation to the sitting Poet Laureate to serve a second (consecutive) term, based on his or her performance. A Poet Laureate may not serve more than one term, unless invited by the committee to serve an additional consecutive term. The Poet Laureate will have thirty days to respond. If he or she elects not to accept the committee's invitation, the Poet Laureate must wait an intervening term prior to being re-nominated.

The City will award the Poet Laureate an honorarium of One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00) per year, which shall encompass all civic or official work performed or produced in this position.

Term Expectations
As a condition of appointment, the Poet Laureate will participate in a minimum of four annual public functions throughout the term of service. These would include, for example, the inaugural meeting each year of the Santa Barbara City Council, Summer Solstice, Old Spanish Days, and other significant festival or community events. Additionally, the Poet Laureate shall aim to be actively involved with local schools, and shall endeavor to create or facilitate workshops and readings that will bring the Poet Laureate into contact with students. To the extent possible, the Poet Laureate also will establish programs to coincide with his or her term of service to promote community awareness of the literary arts and to encourage community members to develop their own creative interests.
Who May be Nominated:
Eligible nominees must meet the following requirements:

- Be a current resident of or work in the City of Santa Barbara and its environs, (defined as being within the First, Second or Third Supervisorial District), with at least five concurrent years of residency or employment.
- Be available to travel throughout the greater Santa Barbara community
- Be a recognized poet who meets the review criteria listed below

Review Criteria:

- A proven history of substantial publication of individual poems and/or books, including at least one work that is not self-published or by a vanity press
- An established history of activity in the Santa Barbara literary community, i.e., readings, publications, public presentations, and/or teaching
- Critical acclaim as demonstrated by special honors, awards, or other recognition

Who May Nominate:
Santa Barbara Poet Laureate nominations may come from any organization or individual. **Self-nominations will not be accepted.**

The Poet Laureate Review Committee:
The Poet Laureate shall be appointed by the Mayor of the City of Santa Barbara based upon the recommendation of the Review Committee. This Review Committee shall consist of members of the City of Santa Barbara’s Arts Advisory Committee assisted by members of the Visual Arts in Public Places Committee. They will make the selection based upon the criteria as set forth above. Additionally, there shall be advisory members of the Review Committee, who shall include the City Council member serving as liaison to the Arts Advisory Committee as well as one representative chosen from the Santa Barbara literary community.

Nomination Deadline: **February 20, 2015:**
Nominations must be sent to the Santa Barbara Poet Laureate Review Committee at one of the following addresses. Hand deliveries must be received no later than 5PM on the deadline date; mail deliveries must be postmarked on or before the deadline date. Material submitted will not be returned.

All material must be clearly addressed to the **Santa Barbara Poet Laureate Review Committee.**

**Mailing Address:**
City of Santa Barbara Arts Advisory Committee
Santa Barbara County Arts Commission
P.O. Box 2369
Santa Barbara, California 93120

**Hand Delivery:**
Attn: City Arts Advisory Committee
Arts Commission
Santa Barbara County Courthouse
3rd Floor, Rotunda Tower
1100 Anacapa St.

For further information on the Santa Barbara Poet Laureate position, please contact:
Santa Barbara County Arts Commission
805.568.3990  online@www.sbartscommission.org
SANTA BARBARA 2011 POET LAUREATE
Nomination Materials/Required Attachments

All nominators must submit seven (7) copies of the following information:

1. A brief letter that provides:
   a. A summary of why the nominator considers the nominated poet’s work to be of the highest quality and most representative of the Santa Barbara community
   b. The background or qualifications of the nominator or the nominating organization
   c. Contact information for the nominator and the nominated poet, including mailing address, phone numbers and e-mail address
   d. A statement verifying that the nominator has contacted the nominated poet and that the poet has reviewed the expectations of the position and agrees to the nomination.

2. The name and a short biography of the poet nominated
   a. A summary of the poet’s significant awards and published works should be included
   b. The poet’s resume (two-page maximum) may be attached

3. A sample of up to five (5) poems by the nominated poet, citing the source for each (including the title of the work from which it was taken, the publisher and the publication date).

*Failure to provide all required materials results in automatic ineligibility.*

Information on the Santa Barbara Poet Laureate Guidelines and 2015 Nomination Process can be obtained from the City of Santa Barbara website at www.santabarbaraca.gov or www.sbartscommission.org

**Deadline for Nominations:** Wednesday, Feb. 20, 2015 by 5pm
**Committee Recommendation to City Arts Advisory:** Thursday, March 19, 2015
**Announcement of Poet Laureate at City Council:** Tuesday, March 31, 2015
**Installation at City Council:** Tuesday, April 7, 2015
[Aug. 7, 2015 kicks off National Poetry Month]

Questions:
Contact the Arts Commission at 805.568.3990 www.sbartscommission.org for further information.

Rev. 12.19.14
PROCLAMATION DECLARING APRIL AS NATIONAL POETRY MONTH AND APPOINTMENT OF POET LAUREATE SOJOIJRNER KINCAID ROLLE

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Barbara is widely recognized as an arts center, providing a spiritual home for creative activity, and for writers, in particular; and

WHEREAS, since 1996, the Academy of American Poets has designated April as National Poetry Month to highlight the extraordinary achievements and ongoing legacy of American Poets and increase visibility, presence, and accessibility of poetry in our communities and culture; and

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Barbara established a Poet Laureate position in 2005 in order to direct proper attention and honor to the spoken word by utilizing poetry to celebrate and elevate community events; and

WHEREAS, newly-appointed City Poet Laureate Sojourner Kincaid Rolle will be leading the community through poetry-focused events and programs throughout the month of April; and

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Barbara recognizes the value of the Arts Commission's participation in the Poetry Out Loud National Recitation Competition through a partnership with the California Arts Council, National Endowment for the Arts & National Poetry Foundation; a program that helps high school students master public speaking, build self-confidence, and learn about their literary heritage.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, HELENE SCHNEIDER, by virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the City of Santa Barbara, California, do hereby declare April as National Poetry Month and celebrate the Appointment of Poet Laureate, Sojourner Kincaid Rolle.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Official Seal of the City of Santa Barbara, California, to be affixed this 7th day of April 2015.

HELENE SCHNEIDER
Mayor

APR 7 2015 #1
120.04
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: City Administrator’s Office

SUBJECT: Employee Recognition – Service Award Pins

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council authorize the City Administrator to express the City’s appreciation to employees who are eligible to receive service award pins for their years of service through April 30, 2015.

DISCUSSION:

Since 1980, the City Employees’ Recognition Program has recognized length of City Service. Service award pins are presented to employees for every five years of service. Those employees achieving 25 years of service or more are eligible to receive their pins in front of the City Council.

Attached is a list of those employees who will be awarded pins for their service through April 30, 2015.

ATTACHMENT: April 2015 Service Awards

PREPARED BY: Myndi Hegeman, Administrative Specialist

SUBMITTED BY: Kristine Schmidt, Administrative Services Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
APRIL 2015 SERVICE AWARDS
April 7, 2015 Council Meeting

10 YEARS
Ernesto Botello, Administrative Specialist, Community Development Department
Anthony Valdez, Streets Maintenance Worker II, Public Works Department
Douglas Coston, Waterfront Maintenance Worker II, Waterfront Department

15 YEARS
Rudolph Moreno, Streets Maintenance Worker II, Public Works Department
Kim Frith, Senior Electronic / Communications Technician, Public Works Department

25 YEARS
Kevin Bryant, Fire Captain, Fire Department
Anthony Pighetti, Fire Captain, Fire Department
James McCoy, Fire Battalion Chief, Fire Department
Robert Mercado, Fire Battalion Chief, Fire Department
Jon Otsuki, Fire Captain, Fire Department
Dan McGrew, Police Sergeant, Police Department
Zebedee Stephens, Equipment Operator, Parks and Recreation Department

30 YEARS
Charles Ayala, Automotive / Equipment Technician, Parks and Recreation Department
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Helene Schneider called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. (The Finance Committee and Ordinance Committee met at 12:30 p.m.)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Schneider.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Dale Francisco, Gregg Hart, Frank Hotchkiss, Cathy Murillo, Randy Rowse, Bendy White, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: None.
Staff present: City Administrator Paul Casey, City Attorney Ariel Pierre Calonne, Deputy City Clerk Deborah L. Applegate.

CEREMONIAL ITEMS

1. Subject: Proclamation Declaring March 17, 2015, As Arbor Day (120.04)

Action: Proclamation was presented to a representative of Santa Barbara, Beautiful Jeanette Casillas.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Melody Joy Baker; Phil Walker; Clint Orr; Kevin Sullivan, Cleveland School; Tom Widroe, City Watch; Eva Inbar; Robert John; Andrea Roselinsky.
ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR

The title of the resolution related to the item was read.

5. **Subject: Adoption Of Water Rate Increases For Fiscal Year 2016 (540.01)**


Motion:
   Councilmembers White/Rowse to continue Item No. 5 to a future agenda.

Vote:
   Unanimous voice vote.

CONSENT CALENDAR (Item Nos. 2 - 4, 6 - 8)

Motion:
   Councilmembers Francisco/Rowse to approve the Consent Calendar as recommended.

Vote:
   Unanimous voice vote.

2. **Subject: Minutes**

   Recommendation: That Council waive further reading and approve the minutes of the regular meetings of February 24 and March 3, 2015, and the special meetings of February 28, March 2, and March 5, 2015.

   Action: Approved the recommendation.

3. **Subject: Request To Increase Purchase Order For Additional Parking Equipment At Stearns Wharf (570.03)**

   Recommendation: That Council increase Purchase Order No. 31501229 with Sentry Control Systems by $25,000 for a new purchase order total of $109,500 for Skidata Parking Revenue Control Equipment on Stearns Wharf.

   Action: Approved the recommendation (March 17, 2015, report from the Waterfront Director).
4. **Subject: Contract For Construction Of Low Impact Development Demonstration Streets, Sidewalks, And Alleys Project - Phase I (530.04)**

Recommendation: That Council award a contract with Brough Construction, Inc., in their low bid amount of $1,053,780 for construction of the Low Impact Development Demonstration Streets, Sidewalks, and Alleys Project - Phase I, Bid No. 3738, and authorize the Public Works Director to execute the contract and approve expenditures up to $105,378 to cover any cost increases that may result from contract change orders for extra work and differences between estimated bid quantities and actual quantities measured for payment.

Action: Approved the recommendation; Agreement No. 25,120 (March 17, 2015, report from the Public Works and Parks and Recreation Directors).

6. **Subject: Parma Park Trust Funds For The Maintenance Of Parma Park (570.05)**

Recommendation: That Council increase appropriations by $74,349 in the Parks and Recreation Department Fiscal Year 2015 Miscellaneous Grants Fund for maintenance of Parma Park.

Action: Approved the recommendation (March 17, 2015, report from the Parks and Recreation Director).

**NOTICES**

7. The City Clerk has on Thursday, March 12, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

8. A City Council site visit is scheduled for Monday, March 23, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. to the property located at 2559 Puesta Del Sol (Museum of Natural History), which is the subject of an appeal hearing set for March 24, 2015, at 2:00 p.m.

This concluded the Consent Calendar.

**REPORT FROM THE FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Finance Committee Chair Dale Francisco reported that the Committee met to hear a Staff presentation on Fiscal Year 2016 utility rates for wastewater and solid waste. This item will be coming to Council in the near future.
REPORT FROM THE ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

Ordinance Committee Chair Rowse reported that the Committee reviewed draft ordinances that would amend the Municipal Code for establishing speed limits on certain streets, as well as near children’s playgrounds and extending speed zone near schools.

CITY COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE AND ATTORNEY REPORTS

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

9. **Subject:** Status Of Highway 101 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Project, Union Pacific Bridge Replacement And Olive Mill Road Interchange Improvements (530.04)

   Recommendation: That Council receive a status report and presentation on the South Coast Highway 101 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lane Project and related projects, including the Union Pacific Bridge Replacement at Cabrillo Boulevard and the Olive Mill Interchange Improvements.

   Documents:
   - March 17, 2015, report from Public Works Director.
   - PowerPoint Presentation prepared and made by Staff.

   Speakers:
   - Staff: Principal Transportation Planner Rob Dayton.
   - Santa Barbara Association of Governments: Deputy Director Stephen VanDenburgh.
   - Members of the Public: Tom Widroe, City Watch; Victoria Greene, Montecito Association; Bonnie Raisin.

   By consensus, the Council received the report and their questions were answered.

10. **Subject:** Six-Year Capital Improvement Program - Fiscal Years 2016 Through 2021 (230.01)


   Documents:
   - March 17, 2015, report from Public Works Director.
   - PowerPoint Presentation prepared and made by Staff.

   (Cont’d)
10. (Cont’d)

Speakers:
- Staff: Public Works Director Rebecca Bjork; Administrative Analysis Brandon Beaudette.
- Members of the Public: Phil Walker; Eva Inbar; Rebecca Waid, COAST; Martha Jaimes; Ana Rico, COAST.

By consensus, the Council received the report and their questions were answered.

COUNCILMEMBER COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT REPORTS

Information:
- Mayor Schneider acknowledged the Memorial Services for Santa Barbara Firefighter Daniel Corrigan. She thanked the Congresswoman Capps, the Fresno Fire Department and the Los Angeles County Fire Department for their support.
- Councilmember White reported on his attendance at: 1) the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony for Bath Street Pocket Park; 2) Gibraltar Reservoir site meeting where staff looked at current infrastructure needs.
- Councilmember Murillo spoke regarding her attendance at: 1) the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony for the Bath Street Pocket Park; 2) The Santa Barbara Police Academy Graduation; 2) the Santa Barbara Youth Council Meeting where they indicated they would like to give their annual report to Council in the upcoming months.

CLOSED SESSIONS

11. Subject: Conference With City Attorney - Pending Litigation (160.03)

Recommendation: That Council hold a closed session to consider pending litigation pursuant to subsection (d)(1) of section 54956.9 of the Government Code and take appropriate action as needed. The pending litigation is Rolland Jacks, et al., v. City Of Santa Barbara, SBSC Case No. 1383959.

Scheduling: Duration, 15 minutes; anytime
Report: Possible report

Documents:
March 17, 2015, report from the City Attorney.

Time:
4:50 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

Recess:
4:50 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.
11. (Cont’d)

Announcement:
City Attorney Calonne reported that the Council voted unanimously to petition the California Supreme Court for Review in Rolland Jacks, et al., v. City Of Santa Barbara, SBSC Case No. 1383959.

12. Subject: Conference With City Attorney - Pending Litigation (160.03)

Recommendation: That Council hold a closed session to consider pending litigation pursuant to subsection (d)(1) of section 54956.9 of the Government Code and take appropriate action as needed. The pending litigation is Frank Banales, Sebastian Aldana Jr., Jacqueline Inda, Cruzito Herrera Cruz, and Benjamin Cheverez, v. City of Santa Barbara, et al., SBSC Case No. 1468167.

Scheduling: Duration, 15 minutes; anytime
Report: None anticipated

Documents:
March 17, 2015, report from the City Attorney.

Time:
5:03 p.m. – 5:40 p.m.

No report made.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Schneider adjourned the meeting to Monday, March 23, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. at 2559 Puesta Del Sol (Museum of Natural History) at 5:00 p.m.
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Helene Schneider called the meeting to order at 6:02 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Gregg Hart, Frank Hotchkiss, Cathy Murillo, Mayor Schneider.
Councilmembers absent: Dale Francisco, Randy Rowse, Bendy White.
Staff present: City Attorney Ariel Pierre Calonne, Administrative Services Director Kristine Schmidt, Deputy City Clerk Susan Tschech.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No one wished to speak.

NOTICES

The City Clerk has on Thursday, March 12, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

WORK SESSIONS

Subject: Public Hearing On Proposal To Establish District Boundaries (110.03)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Continue the public input process on the establishment of district elections; and
B. Hold a public hearing pursuant to California Elections Code Section 10010 to consider a proposal to establish district boundaries.

Documents:
Affidavit of Publication.

(Cont’d)
Subject: Public Hearing On Proposal To Establish District Boundaries (Cont’d)

Public Comment Opened (continued from February 28, 2015):
   6:04 p.m.

Speakers:
- Staff: Administrative Services Director Kristine Schmidt, City Attorney Ariel Calonne.
- National Demographics Corporation (Consultant to City): Douglas Johnson.
- Members of the Public: Howard Green; Wendy Welkom, Cappello and Noel; Milt Hess, Upper East Association; Greg Freeland; Lucas Zucker, CAUSE; Rose Aldana, Community Neighborhood Alliance; Cruzito Herrera Cruz, La Comunidad; Tony Vassallo; Mary O’Gorman; Hillary Blackerby; Tom Williams; Alice San Andres-Calleja; Eric Bensen; Daniel McCarther, Friends of Arroyo Burro.

Discussion:
Mr. Johnson reviewed the various criteria that must be met in the establishment of election district boundaries. He then described the district boundary plans submitted by members of the public in terms of: 1) whether the plan is based upon one of the three draft plans proposed by the City; 2) if based upon a draft plan, how it is different from the draft plan; and 3) whether the plan meets the required criteria. Members of the public made comments relative to one or more of the proposed boundary plans.

City Administrative Services Director Schmidt explained that Mr. Johnson would prepare a written summary report of the public input received thus far, which report will be posted online on Monday, March 23, 2015. This report will be presented to the City Council during an additional public hearing which is scheduled for the evening session of the March 24, 2015, Council meeting. At that time, the Council will begin to debate the decision to be made to divide the City into six election districts.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:44 p.m.
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Helene Schneider called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Dale Francisco (4:02 p.m.), Gregg Hart, Frank Hotchkiss, Cathy Murillo, Randy Rowse, Bendy White, Mayor Schneider.
 Councilmembers absent: None.
 Staff present: City Administrator Paul Casey, City Attorney Ariel Pierre Calonne, City Clerk Services Manager Gwen Peirce.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No one wished to speak.

NOTICES

The City Clerk has on Thursday, March 26, 2015, posted this agenda in the Office of the City Clerk, on the City Hall Public Notice Board on the outside balcony of City Hall, and on the Internet.

WORK SESSIONS

Subject: Setting Geographic Boundaries For District Boundaries (110.03)

Recommendation: That Council:
A. Hold a public hearing pursuant to California Elections Code Section 10010 to consider a proposal to establish district boundaries;
B. Provide direction to the City Attorney and the City Administrator on establishing electoral district boundaries; and
C. Adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Establishing Single Member Districts for the Election of Councilmembers and Certain Related Matters.

(Cont'd)
Subject: Setting Geographic Boundaries For District Boundaries (Cont’d)

Documents:
- Affidavit of Publication.
- Revised Draft Plans to divide the City into 6 geographical voting districts.

Public Comment Opened (continued from March 24, 2015):
  4:04 p.m.

Speakers:
- Staff: City Attorney Ariel Calonne, City Administrator Paul Casey.
- National Demographics Corporation (Consultant to City): Douglas Johnson.
- Members of the Public: Reverend Art Stephens; Robert Burke; Marcos Vargas, CAUSE; Lucas Zucker, CAUSE; Stephen MacIntosh; Mary O’Gorman; Gregory Freeland; Mickey Flacks; Dick Flacks, SB CAN; Tony Vassallo; Hillary Blackerby; Eric Friedman; Dr. Robert Johns; Maria Garcia; Gina Fisher.

Public Comment Closed:
  4:36 p.m.

Motion:
  Councilmembers Murillo/Hart to approve the Draft 3B Plan and adopt a Resolution Establishing Single Member Districts for the Election of Councilmembers and Certain Related Matters; Resolution No. 15-019.

Vote:
  Majority roll call vote (Noes: Councilmember Francisco).

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 5:02 p.m.

SANTA BARBARA CITY COUNCIL           SANTA BARBARA
                                       CITY CLERK’S OFFICE

__________________________________________________________________________
ATTEST:

HELENE SCHNEIDER                        GWEN PEIRCE, CMC
MAYOR                                  CITY CLERK SERVICES MANAGER
The regular meeting of the City Council, scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on March 31, 2015, was cancelled by the Council on November 18, 2014.

The next regular meeting of the City Council is scheduled for April 7, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber.
CITY OF SANTA BARBARA
COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Recreation Division, Parks and Recreation Department

SUBJECT: Renew An Agreement To Maintain And Operate Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council authorize the Parks and Recreation Director to execute an agreement with Pilgrim Terrace Affordable, L.P., to maintain and operate the Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens.

DISCUSSION:

The City has three community gardens that are located near the Franklin and Westside Neighborhood Centers. These rentable garden plots provide Santa Barbara residents and their families with accessible, low-cost gardens where they can grow organic vegetables, herbs, and flowers.

Since 1979, the City of Santa Barbara Parks and Recreation Department has maintained and operated one of the community gardens at Pilgrim Terrace, an affordable apartment community located at 649 Pilgrim Terrace Drive. The garden has 50 raised bed plots that are rented to the public for an annual fee of $64 for City residents/ $77 for non-City residents. Parks Division staff is responsible for the maintenance of the garden, and Recreation Division staff handles the rental of the garden plots, collecting the annual fees and working with the gardeners and maintenance staff.

With the anticipated change in ownership of the Pilgrim Terrace property, staff has been negotiating a new agreement to continue the City’s interest in operating a community garden in that location. In the new agreement, Pilgrim Terrace Affordable, L.P., will grant the City Parks and Recreation Department the license to continue to maintain and operate the community gardens for the public use, and Pilgrim Terrace Homes will continue to maintain and operate the open space area on the property. There is no fee to the City for use of the property.
BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

Estimated revenues in the Fiscal Year 2015 Parks and Recreation Department General Fund budget for rental of the Pilgrim Terrace Community Gardens is approximately $2,500.

A copy of the contract/agreement is available for public review in the City Clerk's Office.

PREPARED BY:  Judith McCaffrey, Recreation Programs Manager
SUBMITTED BY:  Nancy L. Rapp, Parks and Recreation Director
APPROVED BY:  City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Emergency Services & Public Education Division, Fire Department

SUBJECT: Receipt Of Public Education Grant For Emergency Preparedness

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Authorize the receipt of grant funds totaling $5,000 from the Aware & Prepare Initiative; and
B. Increase appropriations and estimated revenues by $5,000 in the Fire Department’s General Fund Emergency Services & Public Education Division for Fiscal Year 2015.

DISCUSSION:

The City of Santa Barbara’s Office of Emergency Services (OES) Public Education efforts are enhanced and supported by the receipt of this grant from the Aware & Prepare Initiative. The funds will be used for the following programs:

1. Public Education Outreach: Safety Day
2. Public Education Outreach - County-wide: Senior Program
3. Public Education Outreach: Fire Safety House
4. Emergency Management – EOC Volunteer Shirts

The funds provided may be used to procure printed materials, clothing, and other promotional materials including but not limited to bilingual coloring books, whistles, pencils, rulers, crayons, badges, hats and bilingual family education tools.

BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

This $5,000 grant will be received into the General Fund, Fire Department, and used to cover costs associated with OES Public Education program.

PREPARED BY: Yolanda McGlinchey, Emergency Services Manager

SUBMITTED BY: Patrick McElroy, Fire Chief

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Fire Operations Division, Fire Department

SUBJECT: Sole Source Vendor For Mobile Air Compressor

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council authorize the City’s General Services Manager to issue a purchase order to Bauer Compressors, Inc. in an amount not to exceed $60,000 according to the Sole Source provisions of Santa Barbara Municipal Code Section 4.52.070 (K) in order to fund the cost to purchase and install a Bauer K18.1 Mobile Air Compressor on the Fire Department’s Hazardous Materials vehicle.

DISCUSSION:

In June 2014, the Department of Homeland Security awarded a $657,012 grant to the Fire Department for the purchase of eighty-five complete Self Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) packs with face masks, spare cylinders and voice amplifiers. Technological improvements to the SCBAs have allowed for increased air pressure in the cylinders from 4,500 PSI (pounds per square inch) to 5,500 PSI. This safety improvement increases the air supply a same-sized cylinder can hold and, consequently, also increases the amount of “working time” firefighters have in a fire incident without having to carry a larger, more bulky cylinder. Implementation and use of this new equipment brings our SCBA program into 100% compliance with National Fire Protection Association and Occupational Safety and Health Act standards.

The mobile air compressor currently installed on the Hazardous Materials vehicle can fill SCBA cylinders only up to 4,500 PSI. This request is to replace our current mobile air compressor with the purchase and installation of an air compressor capable of filling the new 5,500 PSI cylinders. The model identified is best suited for the City’s needs because it replaces another Bauer compressor that was specially configured for the fire truck. Being that Bauer Compressors, Inc. is the sole source supplier of this equipment in California, the Fire Department requests the General Services Manager issue this vendor a purchase order not to exceed $60,000 to obtain and install this equipment on our Hazardous Materials vehicle. Bauer Compressors, Inc. will also credit us a substantial Trade-In value for our current compressor.
BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

Funding for this mobile air compressor is included in the Capital Outlay Fund – Fire Operations Budget for Fiscal Year 2015.

PREPARED BY: Ron Liechti, Administrative Services Manager
SUBMITTED BY: Patrick McElroy, Fire Chief
APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Investigative Division, Police Department

SUBJECT: Appropriation Of Asset Forfeiture Funds

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council appropriate $20,000 in the Fiscal Year 2015 Police Department Police Asset Forfeiture Fund from available reserves into the Investigative Divisions Special Investigative Account (SIF).

DISCUSSION:

The Police Department’s Investigative Division maintains “buy money”, which is held in safekeeping, and is referred to as the Investigative Divisions Special Investigative Fund (SIF). The SIF account is used exclusively to purchase evidence, narcotics/intelligence information and to pay informants. Cash disbursements from the SIF are spontaneous and unpredictable and cannot be budgeted. Department policy allows expenditure from the SIF account to a maximum of $150,000 depending on investigative needs.

The SIF last received court ordered forfeiture (non-drug related) funds in 2012 totaling $18,451. Additional funding is now required.

BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

The City’s General Fund Budget is not affected by this request. These funds will be placed in safekeeping and expended as described above.

PREPARED BY: Alex Altavilla, Captain/LSP

SUBMITTED BY: Camerino Sanchez, Chief of Police

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Water Resources Division, Public Works Department

SUBJECT: Introduction Of Ordinance For A License Agreement With Southern California Gas Company

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council introduce and subsequently adopt, by reading of title only, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Approving a 20-year License Agreement with Southern California Gas Company, for Installation, Operation, and Maintenance of Advanced Metering Infrastructure on Certain City Properties, for a One-Time Fee of $780 per Location, Effective May 14, 2015.

DISCUSSION:

Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas), at the direction of the Public Utilities Commission, is installing Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) throughout their entire service area to enable them to serve their customers through an Advanced Metering System. An Advanced Meter System is comprised of a communication device attached to the customer’s gas meter to electronically read the meter; and data transmission equipment located throughout the service area, which transmits secured customer data from the communication device to a centralized service center for processing.

California’s energy policy supports development and implementation of cost-effective advanced metering systems. Advanced meters will enable SoCalGas to remotely read customer’s gas meters, and provide customers with information and tools to make educated decisions about natural gas use, which can lead to increased conservation efforts and reduced greenhouse gas emissions.

Water Resources supports SoCalGas on this project, and is willing to facilitate the project by allowing SoCalGas to install Advanced Metering Infrastructure on the nine City Water Resources properties listed below, as each location is at an elevation ideal for data transmission. Eight of the sites have been approved by the City’s Architectural Board of Review (ABR). Reservoir No. 1 was a recent addition to the list, so ABR will be reviewing the site in the coming months.
Staff recommends that Council introduce and subsequently adopt a 20-year license agreement with Southern California Gas Company, for installation, operation, and maintenance of Advanced Metering Infrastructure on Certain City properties, for a one-time fee of $780 per location. The installation of AMI infrastructure on other City Water Resources' properties that might be required to fine-tune SoCalGas’ network will adhere to the terms of this license agreement.

Water Resources is investigating similar technology for the City’s water meters. Considering Water Resources and SoCalGas have the same customers and are not in competition one another, this is potentially an opportunity for the City to collaborate with SoCalGas to provide better service to all water and gas customers, should Water Resources transition to a similar AMI system for reading water meters.
ORDINANCE NO.____________

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA APPROVING A 20-YEAR LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY, FOR INSTALLATION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE ON CERTAIN CITY PROPERTIES, FOR A ONE-TIME FEE OF $780 PER LOCATION, EFFECTIVE MAY 14, 2015

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 521 of the Charter of the City of Santa Barbara, An Ordinance of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Approving a 20-Year License Agreement With Southern California Gas Company for Installation, Operation, and Maintenance of Advanced Metering Infrastructure on Certain City Properties, for a One-Time Fee of $780 Per Location, Effective May 14, 2015, is hereby approved.
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Water Resources Division, Public Works Department

SUBJECT: Adoption Of Water Rate Increases For Fiscal Year 2016

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt, by reading of title only, a Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Establishing Certain City Fees Effective for Fiscal Year 2016, Beginning July 1, 2015; and Rescinding Resolution 14-058 and Portions of Resolution No. 14-045.

DISCUSSION:

On May 20, 2014, Council declared a Stage Two Drought Condition (Stage Two) in response to the ongoing drought and upon conclusion of the driest three-year period in local records. Stage Two is the second of three stages in the City’s Water Shortage Contingency Plan. Among other things, Stage Two response measures include the development and adoption of a drought water rate structure that reflects increased costs associated with responding to the drought, and it targets a 20 percent reduction in customer water demand.

The drought water rates went into effect on July 1, 2014. At the time, there were significant available reserves; therefore, approximately $7.2 million of reserves were allocated to be used for drought-related expenses, including supplemental water purchases, groundwater well projects, and the Cachuma Emergency Pump Project.

Since adoption of Stage Two, staff has continued planning for sustained drought conditions. Without sufficient rainfall by spring 2015, the next step in drought planning includes Council’s decision to reactivate the City’s Charles Meyer Desalination Plant (Desalination Plant), which was originally constructed in 1991-1992, during the previous severe drought.

Proposed water rates have been developed with the assumption that the Desalination Plant will produce 3,125 acre-feet per year (AFY) of water, beginning in fall 2016. Once we are out of the drought condition, the Desalination Plant could be placed into standby mode, producing a minimal amount of water sufficient to keep it in a ready state.
Annual operating costs are estimated at approximately $5 million per year for production at 3,125 AFY, and approximately $2.5 million per year for standby mode.

Capital costs for reactivating the Desalination Plant are estimated at approximately $32 million; however, there are significant unknown potential costs. Final project costs will be unknown until a few weeks prior to the award of the contract to design, build, and operate the Desalination Plant, scheduled for June 2015. Staff recommends that the water rates provide the flexibility to generate sufficient revenues to cover up to $40 million in capital costs for the Desalination Plant, should proposals to design and build the Desalination Plant come in at that range. These substantial added costs require an update to the Water Fund Financial Plan and increased Fiscal Year 2016 water rates that are sufficient to generate the revenues needed to cover capital and debt service for these costs.

Fiscal Year 2016 water rates, as well as the water rates for Fiscal Year 2015, were developed by Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc., in compliance with California's Proposition 218. Any adjustment to water rates must be made in compliance with Proposition 218 requirements, which is known as the Right to Vote on Taxes Act and includes the requirement that rates may not exceed the estimated cost of providing service, and must be reasonable, fair, equitable, and proportional.

Proposed water rates have been designed to incentivize extraordinary conservation, while also providing sufficient revenues to meet operating and debt service requirements in order to maintain compliance with obligations to holders of City bonds. There are no substantial reserves available above Council policy for use in Fiscal Year 2016 (in contrast to Fiscal Year 2015). Therefore, water rates need to be increased to cover the full cost of service, which includes funding of the Water Main Replacement Program (based on Council policy to replace one percent of the pipe system annually), as well as the added costs that are attributable to the reactivation of the Desalination Plant.

Adoption of Fiscal Year 2016 water rates is recommended in March 2015, in order to qualify for loan requirements for the Desalination Project. Before taking effect on July 1, 2015, water rates will be re-assessed based on available information on the actual cost of desalination, the projected Fiscal Year 2016 budget, and the Fiscal Year 2015 ending reserve balance. If, at that time, it is determined that water rates can be lowered, the Fiscal Year 2016 water rates will be resubmitted for adoption prior to taking effect on July 1, 2015. Adopted water rates can be adjusted down from the proposed water rates noticed in January 2015, but they cannot be increased without new noticing per requirements of Prop 218.

The proposed Resolution is comprised of recommended Fiscal Year 2016 water rates, which would take effect July 1, 2015, thereby rescinding Resolution 14-058 and portions of Resolution 14-045 in the Water Rates and Fees Section, Parts 1.A, 1.B, and 1.C. The current 14-058 and 14-045 water rates will remain in effect until July 1, 2015. At that time, the final adopted Fiscal Year 2016 rate increases would take effect.
Water Commission Review
On January 12, 2015, the Water Commission received a presentation from staff and provided policy input on the proposed Fiscal Year 2016 water rates. The Water Commission also discussed policy input on the proposed rates at its meetings on August 11, 2014 and October 13, 2014.

Council Review
On January 13, 2015, Council received a presentation from staff and provided policy input on the proposed Fiscal Year 2016 water rates. Council also provided policy input on the design of the proposed rates at its meetings on September 23, 2014 and December 9, 2014.

Public Meetings
Staff hosted public information meetings on drought, desalination, and water rates on February 18, 2015 and February 26, 2015.

Public Hearing
Per the requirements of Prop 218, a public hearing was held on March 10, 2015.

PREPARED BY: Joshua Haggmark, Water Resources Manager/KD/mh
SUBMITTED BY: Rebecca J. Bjork, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA ESTABLISHING CERTAIN CITY FEES EFFECTIVE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016, BEGINNING JULY 1, 2015; AND RESCINDING RESOLUTION 14-058 AND PORTIONS OF RESOLUTION NO. 14-045

WHEREAS, the City provides, maintains and operates a variety of programs and services to the public;

WHEREAS, certain sections of the state and municipal code authorize the imposition and collection of fees to defray the costs of providing certain programs and services; and,

WHEREAS, certain fee schedules cite the specific state or municipal authority under which fees and charges are collected.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA THAT:

Section 1. The Council hereby determines and finds that:

a. Funds are needed to defray the cost of providing programs and services furnished by the City.

b. The funds needed to defray such operating expenses can and should be obtained by setting fees and charges for these programs and services.

c. The setting of fees and charges for these programs and services is exempt from compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(8)(1)-(4) and Title 14 of the California Administrative Code, Section 15273(a)(1)-(4).

Section 2. The penalties, fees, and service charges for Fiscal Year 2015 are adopted as set forth in the City of Santa Barbara Schedule of Penalties, Fees and Service Charges, according to Resolutions 14-045 and 14-058, and shall remain in effect for the duration of Fiscal Year 2015.

Section 3: The penalties, fees, and service charges for the Fiscal Year 2016 for Water Rates and Fees, Parts 1.A, 1.B, and 1.C are adopted per the attached schedule (Exhibit A) and shall be effective July 1, 2015, unless otherwise indicated.

Section 5. All other fee resolutions in effect and not rescinded herein, shall remain in full force.
1. WATER SERVICE RATES

The following provisions shall govern all fees related to water service for metered connections to the City water system:

A. MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGE. A monthly service charge shall be collected for all connections, without regard to actual water use, if any, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of Water Service Meter</th>
<th>Rate ($/meter/month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/8&quot;</td>
<td>$23.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td>$34.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&quot;</td>
<td>$55.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1/2&quot;</td>
<td>$109.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&quot;</td>
<td>$173.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&quot;</td>
<td>$376.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot;</td>
<td>$676.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&quot;</td>
<td>$1,393.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&quot;</td>
<td>$2,571.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&quot;</td>
<td>$4,070.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monthly service charges for connections located outside the City limits shall be 130% of the above charges.

B. USER CLASSIFICATIONS. For the purposes of assessing metered water charges provided for in Subsection C below, user classifications shall be determined and corrected by staff, using the following categories:

1. Residential Single-Family Detached: Applicable to all meters serving one detached dwelling unit.

2. 1-4 Units: Applicable to all meters serving two or more detached dwelling units and all meters serving 1, 2, 3, or 4 attached dwelling units.

3. Multifamily Over 4 Units: Applicable to all meters serving five or more dwelling units, any of which are attached.

4. Commercial: Applicable, without regard to meter size, to all accounts serving mercantile buildings, motels and other short term lodging establishments,
office buildings, institutional buildings, schools, churches, and other commercial establishments.

5. **Industrial**: Applicable to all meters serving laundries (other than self-service laundries), manufacturing facilities, and other industrial facilities.

6. **Irrigation-Potable**: Applicable to meters substantially limited to outdoor water use and sub-classified as provided in Subparagraphs a. through c. below. All meters under this classification shall be subject to interruption upon declaration of a Stage Three Drought Condition. There shall be no connection between a meter served under this classification and any dwelling or commercial or industrial structure.

   a. **Irrigation-Agriculture**: Applicable only to Potable Irrigation meters that serve bona-fide commercial agricultural enterprises, including nurseries. A bona-fide commercial agricultural enterprise is one that grows and sells one or more type of agricultural or horticultural products, for the purpose of producing income from the sale of these products. The amount of water made available in the first tier of metered water usage under this sub-classification shall be based solely on the square footage of the commercial crop area that is planted and irrigated as part of the enterprise. As a condition of the right to receive Irrigation-Agriculture service, the City’s Public Works Director may require an account holder to submit to the Director any documentary or other evidence necessary to establish to a reasonable degree of certainty that the property served by the meter is being used to conduct a bona-fide commercial agricultural enterprise as defined above. Such evidence may include tax returns, bills of sale, or similar documents.

   b. **Irrigation - Recreation**: Applicable only to Potable Irrigation meters that serve areas used primarily for passive or active recreational purposes, including parks, playgrounds, golf courses, school yards, and publicly owned open spaces and landscaped areas. The amount of water made available in the first tier of metered water usage under this sub-classification shall be based solely on the square footage of the irrigated area served by the meter.

   c. **Irrigation - Urban (Residential/Commercial)**: Applicable to Potable Irrigation meters serving properties that are primarily residential in use or are zoned for residential use or commercial, industrial, or institutional in use. The amount of water made available in the first tier of metered water usage under this subclassification shall be based on the square footage of the irrigated area served by the meter.
7. **Recycled Water**: Applicable to all meters providing recycled wastewater.

8. **State Institutional**: Applicable to customers that are State agencies located in the unincorporated area of the County of Santa Barbara.

9. **Unincorporated Areas**: Applicable to all meters serving properties that are not State agencies and are located in the unincorporated area of the County of Santa Barbara.

C. **METERED WATER CHARGE.** In addition to all other charges imposed by Chapter 14.08 of the Santa Barbara Municipal Code, including but not limited to the monthly service charges set forth in Subsection I.A. above, water use shall be charged according to the following block rates for those user classifications defined in Subsection B above. Usage shall be measured in units of 100 cubic feet (HCF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usage Quantities (Monthly, except as specified)</th>
<th>Rate ($/HCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Residential Single Family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 4 hcf</td>
<td>$4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next 12 hcf</td>
<td>$8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 16 hcf</td>
<td>$18.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Multifamily 1-4 Dwelling Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 4 hcf/unit</td>
<td>$4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next 4 hcf/unit</td>
<td>$8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 8 hcf/unit</td>
<td>$18.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Multifamily Over 4 Dwelling Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 4 hcf/unit</td>
<td>$4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next 4 hcf/unit</td>
<td>$8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 8 hcf/unit</td>
<td>$18.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Commercial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 100% of base allotment:</td>
<td>$6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other use:</td>
<td>$15.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Industrial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 100% of base allotment:</td>
<td>$6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other use:</td>
<td>$15.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. **Irrigation - Potable:**

The first tier of all irrigation accounts shall be calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Monthly Water Budget} = (E_{T_o})(0.62/748)((PF \times HA)/IE))
\]

Where
- \(E_{T_o}\) = Reference evapotranspiration (weather factor)
- \(0.62/748\) = Conversion factor (inches to HCF)
- \(PF\) = Plant factor
- \(HA\) = Square footage of irrigated area(s)
- \(IE\) = Irrigation efficiency (80%)

The Monthly Water Budget shall be determined using real-time monthly \(ET_o\) data from a local weather station, plant factors that relate plant-type water use needs to the \(ET_o\), and irrigated area by plant type. Irrigation system efficiency is set at a constant value of 80% for all account types.

Monthly Water Budgets shall be based on irrigated area only. Accounts shall be subject to mandatory ground-truthing measurement at Staff discretion to verify measurement accuracy of irrigated areas and plant types. If ground-truthing measurements are not completed within 2 months after initial contact due to lack of customer response, service may be subject to suspension until irrigated landscaped areas are verified in the field.

a. **Irrigation - Agriculture**

   - All Use within Monthly Budget \(\$2.43\)
   - All other use \(\$18.59\)

   \(HA_c = \text{total crop irrigated area (square feet)}\)
   \(PF_c = 75\%\)

b. **Irrigation - Recreation**

   - All Use within Monthly Budget \(\$3.70\)
   - All other use \(\$18.59\)

   \(HA_t = \text{total irrigated turf area (square feet)}\)
   \(Turf \ PF_t = 80\%\)
   \(HA_s = \text{total irrigated shrub area (square feet)}\)
   \(Shrub \ PF_s = 30\%\)


Bird Refuge
Upon finding that there are adequate water resources available to allow such use, the Director may also authorize the sale of up to a total of 21,780 HCF (50 acre feet) per year at the first block recreation rate for use in refilling the Andre Clark Bird Refuge.

c. Irrigation - Urban (Residential/Commercial)
   All Use within Monthly Budget $8.51
   All other use $18.59

   HA_t = total irrigated turf area (square feet)
   For Residential Irrigation, HA_t cannot exceed 20% of total irrigated area. If measurements are greater than 20%, the remainder square footage will be assigned to the HA_s.
   PF_t = turf plant factor = 80%
   HA_s = total irrigated shrub area (square feet)
   For Commercial Irrigation, 100% of total irrigated area is considered HA_s, unless a permitted exception of Landscape Design Standards has been approved.
   PF_s = shrub plant factor = 30%

   Plant Factor percentage allotments reflect the requirements of the City’s Landscape Design Standards for Water Conservation per SBMC 22.80.

7. Recycled Water
   All HCF $2.96

8. State Institutional
   Up to 100% of base allotment: $6.53
   All other use: $15.24

9. Unincorporated Area. Metered water charges for service to properties located in unincorporated Santa Barbara County shall be 130% of any corresponding in-City rate.

2. EFFECTIVE DATE

Rates and charges specified herein shall be effective July 1, 2015, except for the rates and charges that appear on monthly billings, which shall be effective for bills cycles starting July 1, 2014 or later.
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: City Attorney's Office

SUBJECT: Authorization For Agreement For Legal Services With Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Authorize the City Attorney to execute a legal services agreement with Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, PC, in an amount not to exceed $45,000, for special counsel services for the City's petition for review in the California Supreme Court on Rolland Jacks, et al., v. City Of Santa Barbara SBSC Case No. 1383959.

B. Allocate $45,000 from General Fund appropriated reserves to the City Attorney's Office budget to cover the cost of legal services.

SUBMITTED BY: Ariel Pierre Calonne, City Attorney

APPROVED BY: Ariel Pierre Calonne, City Attorney

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Creeks Division, Parks and Recreation Department

SUBJECT: Report From The Trust For Public Land On The Potential Acquisition Of Vacant Land (APNs 047-010-064 and 047-061-026) Along Lower Arroyo Burro (Former Veronica Meadows Development Site)

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council receive a presentation from the Trust For Public Land on the potential acquisition of vacant land along lower Arroyo Burro.

DISCUSSION:

The Trust for Public Land (TPL), a non-profit land conservation organization, has been working to acquire a large area of vacant land in the lower Arroyo Burro watershed. The subject property was the site of the proposed “Veronica Meadows” development project. TPL is planning to purchase the parcel and turn it over to the City for creek restoration and open space park purposes. TPL has offered to provide an update to the City Council on the status of the acquisition.

PREPARED BY: Cameron Benson, Creek Restoration/Clean Water Manager

SUBMITTED BY: Nancy Rapp, Parks and Recreation Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Transportation Division, Public Works Department

SUBJECT: 2015 Bicycle Master Plan Progress Report

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council receive a presentation on the 2015 Bicycle Master Plan progress.

DISCUSSION:

The City of Santa Barbara last developed a comprehensive Bicycle Master Plan (BMP) in 1998. The BMP provides policy direction for staff by identifying priority routes for bicycling facilities and improvements. It is also a helpful supporting document for future grant opportunities.

The City received a Measure A Grant totaling $170,000 to create a new plan and is providing a 40 percent match with local Street funds and Transportation Development Act funds. In July of 2014, Council provided direction to staff to conduct a public outreach campaign that emphasizes surveying the public, holding five consultant-led “Neighborhood Summits,” and a “Stakeholder Roadshow” where City staff can listen to various organizations to understand community preferences. The overarching question being asked during the BMP public outreach phase is “What is the future of bicycling in Santa Barbara?”

The City has installed over 40 miles of bikeways in the last two decades and is ranked third in the nation for cities our size in terms of bicycle commute ridership. Bicycling as a mode of transport and recreation is realizing a national upward trend in popularity. However, with increasing ridership comes increasing conflicts between pedestrians, motorists and cyclists. The City is also highly ranked in state-wide bicycle collision totals by the Office of Traffic Safety. As a result of growing popularity and increasing safety conflicts, the 2015 BMP is well-timed to address these questions and to provide an important guiding document for Santa Barbarans.
In December of 2014, Council authorized a Professional Services Agreement with the firm Melendrez to lead the public outreach and plan development, along with Fehr and Peers to serve as the transportation engineering sub-consultant. The consultant's scope of work is scheduled for completion prior to the end of the 2015 calendar year.

Staff and consultant work completed to date and upcoming milestones include:

- Project schedule and drafting of a broad-based public outreach strategy to occur between April and June. (complete)
- Baseline mapping, existing bike network and collision analysis. (complete)
- Development of a comprehensive stakeholder contact list including businesses, neighborhood groups, schools, chamber of commerce members, advocates, etc. that may be interested in "Stakeholder Road Shows." (list has been drafted)
- Social media outlets, Twitter, Facebook, an online survey, and a BMP website that includes an interactive map for the public to inform the process in specified locations. (complete)
- Five Neighborhood Summits are scheduled with two in Spanish, on May 16th (Eastside, Westside), three to occur between May 18-20th (Uptown, Downtown and on the Mesa), and a community “pop-up” bike boulevard demonstration event envisioned by the community Eastside Neighborhood Transportation Management Plan on Alisos Street on May 30th. (scheduled)

On April 2, 2015, the Planning Commission was given an update on the progress of the 2015 Bicycle Master Plan and provided comments to staff regarding the public outreach strategy. The Transportation and Circulation Committee has received progress reports at the February 26, 2015 and March 26, 2015 meetings.

CONCLUSION:

The BMP is ahead of schedule and staff will be conducting a public outreach campaign during the months of April, May, and June. Once neighborhood, business, and community interests have been gathered and analyzed, staff and the consultant team can use the information to lay out a planning course that best fits the needs and desires of Santa Barbara residents. A City Council BMP progress report is anticipated in July and project completion is scheduled for this fall.

ATTACHMENT(S): 1. Public Outreach and Media Strategy  
2. Stakeholder Outreach List

PREPARED BY: Browning Allen, Transportation Manager/PB/mj

SUBMITTED BY: Rebecca J. Bjork, Public Works Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
The primary focus of the 2015 Santa Barbara Bicycle Master Plan (SB BMP) is to engage the community; creating positive buzz that will generate meaningful input from a wide range of stakeholders throughout the City. This project will be unique in that it will involve a high quality media and tech interface, allowing various avenues for community members to provide input and stay connected to the BMP update throughout plan development. The following memo outlines a comprehensive Outreach and Media Strategy that includes launch dates, interactive web and in-person information-gathering platforms, and other innovative outreach tools that will result in increased engagement.

Strategy Process

The Outreach Diagram

OUTREACH & MEDIA

THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS STRATEGY IS TO PROACTIVELY FRAME THE BMP DISCUSSION AROUND THE KEY TOPICS THAT INVITES A SPECTRUM OF VIEWPOINTS TO PARTICIPATE AND FEEL HEARD.

Outreach Diagram

Step 1: Build Outreach Tools
- Build project brand / logo
- Project website
- Build survey
- Create social media campaign
- Film content throughout project progress

Step 2: Identify Stakeholders
- Compile diverse list of community stakeholders
- Enlist trusted community leaders to inform their networks of BMP events

Step 3: Blast Outreach Materials
- Post Facebook and Twitter blasts
- Send summit and online opportunities for engagement to mailing / email list

Step 4: Collect Feedback / Host Neighborhood Summits
- Host neighborhood summits
- Compile survey results
- Perform intercept surveys
- Host stakeholder roadshows
- Interface with Council/Committees
- Design a community CycleMAYnia pop-up bike event
1.1] Branding
A recognizable, easy to read, and consistent brand will be a keystone element of the Santa Barbara Bike Master Plan (SB BMP) Outreach strategy. Using a consistent logo, color palette, and project font, each formal notice and media representation of the project will increase project recognition throughout the SB BMP process, and will also allow for an extended campaign beyond the identified BMP project scope. The project brand and color scheme has been crafted to function and manifest on multiple platforms, such as physical flyers, posters, the project website, films, and promotional ‘swag’ or giveaways. The brand may also incorporate a project tag line, allowing for future hashtags or campaigns that will generate buzz and excitement around the project.

The logo and branding elements below were carefully designed to celebrate the unique assets of the City of Santa Barbara, while also referencing the City’s commitment to open community engagement. This project logo celebrates the positivity of the SB BMP for all modal groups such as motorists, pedestrians, transit riders, and other mobility devices.

Project Logo

Color Swatches

Typography

1.2] Project Website
To encourage project transparency, the team will launch an interactive, bilingual, and dynamic website for the BMP that will utilize the latest software tools to support greater community involvement in decision making and governance. The interactive website will include a question that will prompt visitors to geolocate issue areas with regards to cycling in Santa Barbara. Additionally, this website will host an introductory question-framing video that will further describe the intent of the project, and orient page visitors quickly to the project vision. In addition to an introductory video and interactive, comment-based mapping feature, the website will include a project timeline, a link to the formal online survey, information regarding upcoming events, and links to all other social media accounts. (See Appendix A)
1.2] Project Website [continued]
A City .gov URL will be used to capitalize on the existing credibility of the City’s page, and during the life of the project, will be used to redirect visitors to the Interactive Project Website described above. The project URL will be attached to the City site, and will exist under a sub-domain to ensure that users will always see the .gov URL as the project site. This method will also allow the City to create a modified landing page when the BMP project website is no longer needed.

- **Website URL**: Will link from: www.santabarbara.gov/BMP
- **Temporary Website**: See Appendix A

1.3] Online Survey
The purpose of the online survey is to build an understanding of community sentiment towards cycling in the City. The survey will be posted on the project website and will elicit responses from stakeholders to better understand preferences for the final Bicycle Master Plan through the use of visuals, and graphics. (See Appendix B for survey questions)

- **Survey URL (English)**: www.sbsurvey.org
- **Survey URL (Spanish)**: www.ciclismosb.org

Hard copies of the survey will also be provided to the City Staff and the project team to hand out at Stakeholder Roadshows and other events to ensure a comprehensive response from Santa Barbarans.

1.4] Social Media Campaign

**Facebook**
With a large number of individuals already utilizing social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter, the project campaign will benefit from the use of these established platforms.

- **SB BMP Facebook Page**. As the City of Santa Barbara does not yet have an existing Facebook page, a new page has been created for the project. The SB BMP Facebook page will allow the internal team to post information about the project progress, events, and critical avenues for community input. The Facebook page will largely be a virtual area for the posting of flyers, and updates, while also directing visitors to the official project website.
- **SB BMP Facebook URL**: https://www.facebook.com/BMPSB
- **SB BMP Facebook Weekly Updates**:
  Gearing up for the April 1, 2015 launch and throughout the outreach process, the team will update the SB BMP with weekly postings. Each month, a draft of the month’s postings will be available on the backend of the project Facebook page. The first draft of weekly updates is detailed further in Appendix C.

In addition to posting on the Santa Barbara BMP Facebook page (Task 1.2), efforts will be made to post project information on other SB related Facebook pages. (Eg. SBCC, Santa Barbara Independent, Visit Santa Barbara, SB Unified School District etc.) The Facebook page will also be linked on the project website and any collateral material that will be distributed on behalf of the project.

**Twitter**
The City of Santa Barbara already has a Twitter following of 3,724. A roll out of twitter posts will be provided to the City of Santa Barbara Communication Department to ensure regular Twitter updates. This sheet will read as an introduction page that will outline weekly Twitter posts that should be released on identified dates.

- **SB BMP Twitter Weekly Updates**:
  Gearing up for the April 1, 2015 launch and throughout the outreach process, the team will update the SB BMP with weekly postings. Each month, a draft of the month’s postings will be submitted to the SB Communications Dept. The first draft of weekly updates is detailed further in Appendix C.

1.5] Video
Videography and documentation of the outreach process will be a hallmark of the project. This tool will be used during both the information gathering phase to ground the project in reality, and then also to ensure that there are active representations of residents of all cross-sections participating in the project outreach process. The videos provide the ability to generate a project that lives beyond the pages of the final BMP.

- **Question framing video**. To be shown online on the public survey and at Neighborhood Summits.
- **Mini-film documentary**. Brief film narrating the public outreach process; highlighting viewpoints of key contributors in the community.
### STEP 2: IDENTIFY KEY STAKEHOLDERS

#### Business Groups
- Santa Barbara Downtown Organization
- Santa Barbara Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
- Santa Barbara Chamber
- Visit Santa Barbara

#### Auto Oriented Groups
- AAA Santa Barbara
- Santa Barbara Car Free
- ABCD and Affiliates

#### Cyclist Groups
- COAST
- Traffic Solutions Program Administrators: SBCAG
- Santa Barbara Bicycle Coalition
- Echelon SB
- SB Triathlon Club

#### Neighborhood Groups
- Santa Barbara Beautiful
- Santa Barbara Certified Farmers Market
- La Casa De La Raza
- SB Neighborhood Advisory Council

#### School Groups
- Center for Community Education
- Santa Barbara Unified School District
- Santa Barbara City College
- ECOalition (UCSB)

#### Environmental Groups
- Community Environmental Council
- Sustainability Project of Santa Barbara

#### Newspaper / Media / TV Outlets
- KCSB Radio
- Santa Barbara Independent
- Santa Barbara News Press
- SB Nooz Hawk
- The Mesa Paper
- Radio Brono (Spanish Station)
- KEYT

#### Government
- Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District
3.1] Social Media Blasting
As referenced in Task 1.4, announcements and project information blasts will be released online regularly. Continual interface on social media sites will help to continue to generate buzz around the project, and will allow community members to participate with the City throughout the process.

- **Weekly Facebook Blasts:** A weekly update of the project schedule and reminders of upcoming events will be added to the Facebook site from April 1 - May 29. Subsequent Facebook posts will be made to inform the public of the projects’ next steps.

- **Weekly Twitter Announcements:** A weekly update of the project progress and reminders of upcoming events will be released on the City of Santa Barbara Twitter handle from April 1 - May 29. Subsequent Twitter posts will be made to inform the public of the projects’ next steps.

For project announcements, our team will compile project flyers. This information will be disseminated by the City to ensure credibility of the announcements.

3.2] Flyering
Bilingual flyering and outreach for the event will be used to announce public workshops, online engagement, and various community avenues for participation. The project team will provide the City with digital copies for printing and dissemination throughout the City.

### OUTREACH & MEDIA CALENDAR

#### FEBRUARY

**Feb 28**
- Intercept Surveys

#### MARCH

**March 26**
- Transportation and Circulation Committee Presentation

#### APRIL

**April 1**
- Launch of project website
- Launch of online survey with question framing video
- Social media first posts

**April 2**
- Planning Commission Presentation

**April 7**
- City Council Presentation

#### MAY

**May 16**
- 10am-12pm: Eastside Summit (Spanish) @ Franklin Elementary
- 2-4pm: Westside Summit (Spanish) @ Harding Elementary

**May 18**
- 6-8pm: Uptown Summit @ Peabody Elementary

**May 19**
- 6-8pm: Downtown Summit @ SB Public Library - Faulkner Gallery

**May 20**
- 6-8pm: Mesa Summit @ Washington Elementary

#### JUNE (CONTINUED)

**Throughout**
- Ongoing collection of survey input
- TBD: Stakeholder Roadshows
- Weekly Twitter/Facebook posts

**June 15:**
- Release of key findings from survey and Neighborhood Summits

#### JUNE

**July**
- TBD:
  - Second interface with City Council

### AUGUST – OCTOBER

**Continual**
- Announcement of project process through online portals
STEP 4: COLLECT FEEDBACK

4.1] City Council Meetings
In addition to public meetings, the project team will also interface with the Santa Barbara City Council / Committees with information regarding project progress. The first interface will be held April 7th, 2015 in time for the project team to present the outreach and media strategy, and to preliminarily report on response rates. The second City Council meeting will be held shortly after the Neighborhood Summits to narrate the findings from the project outreach events.

4.2] Stakeholder Roadshow
The Stakeholder Roadshow will consist of a presentation that will be provided to Santa Barbara City Staff to circulate and present at select meetings held by key stakeholders. It is recommended that the City choose 10-15 existing community groups from the four key stakeholder groups (motorists, cyclists, business owners, and residents) to target for these presentations. The Stakeholder Roadways will ensure that community members that are not likely to utilize social media or the project website to get information regarding the project will be included in these discussions.

For this reason, the Stakeholder Roadshows should be scheduled shortly before the first of the neighborhood summits to ensure that attendees are made aware in advance, yet close enough to the summits to encourage attendance.

- **Distribution:** In addition to presenting at this meeting, the project team will generate flyers that outline the future dates of the summit, for distribution at each of the meetings.
- **Surveys:** At the roadshow, the team member present will bring copies of the project survey for attendees to fill out. These will mirror the questions that are asked on the Online Survey, and will be translated into the final tabulation of the survey results.

Most importantly, the Stakeholder Roadshow will excite residents about the project, begin to gauge the pulse of the feeling on the ground about the project goals, and help to ensure a large turnout for the follow-up neighborhood summits. Melendrez will prepare the content for the Stakeholder Roadshow, and will undergo one review and revision session with the City. The project manager at Melendrez will attend up to 3 Stakeholder Roadshows with City Staff.

4.3] Neighborhood Summits
Meléndrez and Fehr & Peers will facilitate five outreach events, which will include five two-hour neighborhood summits. The summits will incorporate creative and interactive media and technology, and allow for creative collection of responses. Videography and documentation will be available for approximately 10% of the neighborhood summits, and the footage will be used in future project materials.

The team is considering the following approach to the summits. One may be a Latino Summit; others may be coupled with additional neighborhood summits with existing CycleMAYnia events occurring throughout the City. Marrying the two efforts will increase the visibility of the Plan, and will allow the City to combine outreach efforts.

4.4] Intercept Surveys
The project team will work with City staff to determine to what extent other surveys, community questionnaires, intercept surveys, etc., may be appropriate to gather comprehensive input.

4.5] Outreach Compilation
Summit Summaries: Our team will summarize findings of each Neighborhood Summit and produce a graphic document that outlines findings.
Welcome to the Santa Barbara Bicycle Master Plan!

Check out the project video below to understand more about the project.

Interactive Map (Map of Project)
We're conducting a study to improve bike safety and potentially improve the city's bike network. Help us identify gaps in the bike network, unsafe routes, difficult intersections, locations for bike pathways, and update us on the would you like to see on the bike map at the top of the page?

Project Timeline

- Outreach and Media Strategy Presentation: March 24, 2015
- Latino Summit: May 31, 2015
Survey Questions:

Note: The survey questions will be formatted onto a Typeform online survey, and will also be graphically designed for convenient use during the Stakeholder Roadshows and Intercept Surveys

Circle your answer(s) and return/email to Peter Brown at: pbrown@santabarbaraca.gov or 630 Garden St, Santa Barbara, CA 93101

1. What is your primary mode of transportation? In the last 7 days, I took most trips by (you must select one):
   Bus, Car, Bike, Foot, Moped/motorcycle, Equal car/bike trips, Equal walk/bike trips

2. Do you identify with any of these groups? (Choose all that apply)
   I live on/near a bikeway, I have kids in a SB school, I own a business in town, I am a student, I am male, I am female, Other ______________

3. Do you think Santa Barbara is a safe place to ride a bike?
   Very, Moderately, Barely, I won’t ride in SB until the City does more, Riding is not for me

4. What most prohibits you from riding a bike in Santa Barbara? (Select one)
   Trip distance is too long, Routes are too hilly, Too dangerous: fast moving cars, I don’t like riding a bike - I prefer driving or walking, Nothing - I ride regularly/occasionally

5. Should it be a goal of the City of Santa Barbara to accommodate more people riding bikes to work and for recreational trips?
   Yes, No

6. What are your transportation priorities for Santa Barbara? (Please limit to your top three priorities)
   Safety for all road users, Comfortable walking experience, Enhanced transit service, Improve the existing bicycle network, Extended bike network - create new routes, Ease of driving, Keeping existing car parking on City streets, Education and enforcement for people who bike, walk, and drive

7. What types of bike facilities would you like to see more of in Santa Barbara? (Please limit to your top three)
   * Keyed photos
   Colored bike lanes, Protected bike lanes, Buffered bike lanes, Painted bike lanes, Sharrows, Bike boulevards on residential streets, None - the City has enough bike lanes

8. Why do you ride a bike? (Please limit your response to two choices)
   I don’t ride a bike, Save money, Do not own a vehicle, Exercise, Convenience/for fun, For the environment, Avoid traffic/parking

9. The map below shows existing bike facilities in Santa Barbara. Rank your top two priorities for the Santa Barbara Bicycle Master Plan.
   Close gaps in the bike network, Improve existing facilities (signage, colored lanes, buffers, etc.), Create new facilities, Keep bike facilities as is

10. What is the nearest intersection to where you live? (ex. Bath St. & Sola St.)

11. Please write your email address if you would like to get further information on the Bicycle Master Plan

_____________________________    &    _______________________________
What types of bike facilities would you like to see more of in Santa Barbara? (Please limit to your top three)

- Bicycle Lanes
- Off-street Bike Lanes
- Roadway Bicycle Lanes

Why do you ride a bike? (Please limit your response to two choices)

- Commute
- Exercise
- Fun
- Get to work

The map below shows existing bike facilities in Santa Barbara. Rank your top two priorities for the Santa Barbara Bicycle Master Plan.

- Roadway Bridge
- On-street bridge
- Off-street bridge
- Keep bike facilities as is
First Month Twitter Posts: March 23 - April 20

- **Week of 3/23/15**
  Lookout for flyers about the SB Bike Master Plan. For more information on the project, visit us at: santabarbararaca.gov/BMP & like us on FB!

- **Week of 3/30/15**
  The SB Bike Master Plan is underway! Determine the future of the plan by going to SantaBarbaraCA.gov/BMP or sbsurvey.org to participate!

- **Day of 4/6/15**
  Come out to the City Council meeting tomorrow afternoon @ 2pm to hear about the SB Bike Master Plan project! #SBBMP

- **Week of 4/13/15**
  If you haven’t already participated in the SB Bike Master Plan survey, visit sbsurvey.org or ciclismosb.org to give us your feedback! #SBBMP

- **Week of 4/20/15**
  Visit our project website at santabarbararaca.gov/BMP to learn more about the upcoming Bike Master Plan Neighborhood Summits in May! #SBBMP

First Month Facebook Posts: Late March 2015

Utilizing the “Scheduled Posts” feature on Facebook, the project team will prepare weekly blasts for continual posting on the Facebook site. The area highlighted below outlines the first four Facebook posts that have been created for the SB Bike Master Plan Facebook page.

---

**Scheduled Posts Feature**

**Draft Facebook Posts**

[Available for review online]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Outreach List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBBIKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Environmental Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBCAG Traffic Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB Design Studio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB Chamber of Commerce Public Info Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown SB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelago (local tech firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deckers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber Shreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynx Prop Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Waterfront Dept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBCC Biz Services Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAUSE/PUEBLO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nite Moves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California Gas Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California Edison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montecito Bank and Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Creeks Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablitts-Dry Cleaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Ventures- Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALABRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oniracom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invoca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village properties, VP realityassoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa Lane Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Venture Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ebikezzzz electric bike shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horny Toad- Clothing Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson Naylor, Mesa Arcitects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara City College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Open Streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Junior High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015

TO: Mayor and Councilmembers

FROM: Engineering Division, Public Work Department

SUBJECT: Measure A Five-Year Local Program Of Projects For Fiscal Years 2016 – 2020 Public Hearing

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council adopt, by reading of title only, A Resolution of the Council of the City of Santa Barbara Adopting the Measure A Five-Year Local Program of Projects for Fiscal Years 2016 - 2020.

DISCUSSION:

BACKGROUND

In November 2008, Santa Barbara County voters overwhelmingly approved Measure A with 79 percent support. Measure A is a transportation sales tax measure estimated to provide approximately $1 billion of local sales tax revenues for transportation projects in Santa Barbara County over the next 30 years. Measure A is funded through a continuation of the local 1/2 percent sales tax that was originally authorized by Measure D, which expired on March 31, 2010. Measure A contains a strategic spending plan that includes regional projects, as well as local programs, and projects that are funded by monthly allocations to each local agency. The Measure A ordinance requires the City to annually submit a Five-Year Local Program of Projects to the administrating agency, Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG).

Measure A replaced the (now expired) 1989 Measure D sales tax measure. Under Measure D, the City contributed funds to support the Santa Barbara Metropolitan Transit District (MTD). Under Measure A, MTD now receives Measure A funds directly; however, the City will continue to provide funding support to the Easy Lift and Electric Shuttle programs.

A key component of Measure A is the plan to relieve traffic congestion and improve safety on Highway 101 by providing $140 million in matching funds to widen the highway south of Santa Barbara from four to six lanes.
MEASURE A INVESTMENT PLAN

The Measure A Investment Plan (Plan) will provide $455 million to both the Santa Barbara North County and the South Coast for high priority transportation projects and programs to address the current and future needs of local communities. The local revenues will be supplemented by an estimated $522 million in federal and state gas taxes, as well as other sources.

The Plan provides funding for local street improvements such as pavement maintenance and synchronized traffic signals, increased senior and disabled accessibility to public transit, building safer walking and bike routes to schools, and increased opportunities for carpool and vanpool programs.

SBCAG has estimated that the City will receive approximately $3.65 million in Measure A revenues for Fiscal Year 2016. The SBCAG estimate for Measure A City revenues for Fiscal Year 2015 was approximately $3.38 million. For Fiscal Year 2016, staff is programming the Measure A funds to be $3.94 million. The programmed amount over the $3.65 million SBCAG estimate is comprised of approximately $21,000 in interest and the use of approximately $267,000 in City Measure A reserves. Under Measure A, local agencies choose how to spend their share of funds after seeking public input and annually adopting a Five-Year Program of Projects. Measure A contains funds for local street and transportation improvements (capital projects and maintenance/operations), and Alternative Transportation (pedestrian/bicycle improvements, Safe Routes to School, and transit assistance).

It is a Measure A Ordinance requirement for local agencies to spend a minimum percentage of their Local Street and Transportation Improvement funds on eligible Alternative Transportation projects. The minimum percentage for the City is 10 percent. It is calculated cumulatively and must be met by the fifth year of the Measure A Program, and it must be met every fifth year. This fiscal year 2014-2015 is the fifth program year. For this five-year period, the City exceeded this requirement and attained 41 percent of Alternative Transportation expenditures. The proposed Fiscal Year 2016 Program of Projects includes 38 percent of Alternative Transportation expenditures.

Under Measure A, local agencies can apply for Measure A grant funds for local transportation projects. In 2012, the City received approval for $435,004 of Measure A grant funds for seven City projects. In 2013, the City received approval for $763,141 for seven City projects. (See Attachment 1 for the list of City Measure A grant fund projects.) The next call for Measure A grant fund projects is scheduled to occur near the end of Fiscal Year 2016.

LOCAL PROGRAM OF PROJECTS

With Council's approval of the recommended Resolution, this report will satisfy the Measure A requirement that the local agency hold an annual public hearing on its Program of Projects prior to submittal and adoption by SBCAG.
The proposed Measure A Five-Year Local Program of Projects is separated into two categories: Local Street and Transportation Improvements, and Alternative Transportation Expenditures. The proposed Local Program of Projects for Fiscal Year 2016 is consistent with the proposed two-year Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Financial Plan budget. Further maintenance of existing public right of way infrastructure and safety are the highest priorities of the Streets Capital Improvement Program. (See Attachment 2 for the Measure A Proposed City of Santa Barbara Program of Projects by Category for Fiscal Years 2016 – 2020.)

The City must annually adopt a Resolution and submit a revised Local Program of Projects to SBCAG in accordance with the Measure A local allocation rules. The proposed City Measure A expenditure plan is reflected in the proposed City Streets Fund budget for Fiscal Year 2016.

ATTACHMENT:  
1. City Measure A Grant Fund Projects  
2. Measure A Proposed City of Santa Barbara Program of Projects by Category FY 2016 - FY 2020

PREPARED BY: John Ewasiuk, Principal Civil Engineer/sk

SUBMITTED BY: Rebecca J. Bjork, Public Works Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
City Measure A Grant Fund Projects

Measure A Fund Cycle 1 grant projects were approved on January 19, 2012 and included the following projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Total Project Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Measure A Share</th>
<th>Local Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goleta Slough Bridge Surface Repair</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CycleMAYnia/Bike Challenge/Bike to Work Day</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Transit Center Bikestation Module</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland School Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>$271,000</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Colina Sidewalk</td>
<td>$355,676</td>
<td>$111,004</td>
<td>$244,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKinley School Sidewalk</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara School Bicycle Racks</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$992,676</strong></td>
<td><strong>$435,004</strong></td>
<td><strong>$557,672</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measure A Cycle 2 grant projects were approved on March 12, 2013 and included the following projects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Total Project Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Measure A Share</th>
<th>Local Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper De La Vina Pedestrian Crossing Enhancements</td>
<td>$208,370</td>
<td>$103,870</td>
<td>$104,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North La Cumbre Road Sidewalk and Pedestrian Safety Improvements</td>
<td>$444,772</td>
<td>$266,772</td>
<td>$178,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Zone Pedestrian Refuge Islands</td>
<td>$219,645</td>
<td>$152,645</td>
<td>$67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara School Access Ramps</td>
<td>$36,645</td>
<td>$22,354</td>
<td>$14,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Bicycle Master Plan Update</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CycleMAYnia 2014, 2015, 2016</td>
<td>$58,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBCC Bicycle Facilities Improvements</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,242,932</strong></td>
<td><strong>$763,141</strong></td>
<td><strong>$479,791</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Measure A
Proposed City of Santa Barbara Program of Projects by Category FY 2016 - FY 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Project Category</th>
<th>FY 16</th>
<th>FY 17</th>
<th>FY 18</th>
<th>FY 19</th>
<th>FY 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Local Street &amp; Transportation Improvements:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvement Projects</td>
<td>$1,308</td>
<td>$986</td>
<td>$958</td>
<td>$1,030</td>
<td>$1,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Street &amp; Transportation Improvements:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance/Operations</td>
<td>$1,230</td>
<td>$1,266</td>
<td>$1,279</td>
<td>$1,305</td>
<td>$1,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,538</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,252</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,237</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,335</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,462</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Alternative Transportation Expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,398</td>
<td>$1,444</td>
<td>$1,460</td>
<td>$1,492</td>
<td>$1,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,936</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,696</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,697</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,827</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,988</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Figures in $1,000)

*The Sidewalk Maintenance and Sidewalk Access Ramp projects are Streets Capital Improvement Projects that qualify as eligible Local Alternative Transportation Projects for the City's 10% Measure A Ordinance requirement.

*See Resolution Exhibit B for Fiscal Year 2015 Measure A Project List.
RESOLUTION NO.


WHEREAS, on November 4, 2008, the voters of Santa Barbara County approved the Santa Barbara County Road Repair, Traffic Relief and Transportation Safety Measure, known as Measure A;

WHEREAS, Measure A Ordinance No. 5 provides that Santa Barbara County Local Transportation Authority shall annually approve a program of projects submitted by local jurisdictions identifying those transportation projects eligible to use Measure A funds;

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Barbara was provided with an estimate of annual Measure A local revenues for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2020; and

WHEREAS, on April 7, 2015, the City of Santa Barbara held a public hearing in accordance with the Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA THAT:

SECTION 1. The City of Santa Barbara does hereby approve the Five-Year Program of Projects and Fiscal Year 2016 Measure A Program of Projects, to be funded in part with Measure A revenues (Exhibits A and B respectively).

SECTION 2. The City of Santa Barbara certifies that it will include in its budget an amount of local discretionary funding for local streets and roads sufficient to comply with the Maintenance of Effort requirements contained in the Ordinance.

SECTION 3. The City of Santa Barbara will not use Measure A revenues to replace private developer funding that has been committed to a transportation project or would otherwise be required under current City policies.

SECTION 4. The City of Santa Barbara has complied with all other applicable provisions and requirements of the Ordinance.
### Measure A

Proposed City of Santa Barbara Program of Projects by Category FY 2016 - FY 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Project Category</th>
<th>FY 16</th>
<th>FY 17</th>
<th>FY 18</th>
<th>FY 19</th>
<th>FY 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Local Street &amp; Transportation Improvements: Capital Improvement Projects</td>
<td>$1,308</td>
<td>$890</td>
<td>$958</td>
<td>$1,030</td>
<td>$1,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Street &amp; Transportation Improvements: Maintenance/Operations</td>
<td>$1,230</td>
<td>$1,254</td>
<td>$1,279</td>
<td>$1,305</td>
<td>$1,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBTOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,538</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,145</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,237</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,335</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,462</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Alternative Transportation Expenditures</td>
<td>$1,398</td>
<td>$1,429</td>
<td>$1,460</td>
<td>$1,492</td>
<td>$1,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,936</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,573</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,697</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,827</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,988</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Figures in $1,000)

*The Sidewalk Maintenance and Sidewalk Access Ramp projects are Streets Capital Improvement Projects that qualify as eligible Local Alternative Transportation Projects for the City's 10% Measure A Ordinance requirement.*
### Project Description

**City of Santa Barbara**

**FY 2016 Measure A Program of Projects and Local, State and Federal Funding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Measure A</th>
<th>Non-Measure A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 16</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Local Street &amp; Transportation Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Capital Improvement Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Improvements/Maintenance</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Mission Creek Flood Control Channel</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Maintenance</td>
<td>$1,308,004</td>
<td>$1,083,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citywide Streetlight Repair &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Operational Upgrades</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Management</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Safety/Capacity Improvements</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signal Maintenance Program</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Maintenance, Improvement or Construction of Roadways or Bridges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Maintenance</td>
<td>$385,639</td>
<td>$1,659,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain Repair and Maintenance</td>
<td>$143,985</td>
<td>$251,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Preventive Maintenance</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Bridge Construction Monitoring</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forestry Street Tree Program</td>
<td>$1,003,244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Landscape Maintenance</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graffiti Abatement</td>
<td>$280,377</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Services</td>
<td>$700,062</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Engineering Operations</td>
<td>$688,890</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Planning</td>
<td>$924,426</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signals</td>
<td>$1,480,755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Marking and Signage</td>
<td>$1,110,130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Alternative Transportation Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Alternative Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy Lift</td>
<td>$240,552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle Bus Program</td>
<td>$615,517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Sidewalk Infill Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Sidewalk Access Ramps</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Sidewalk Repair/Maintenance (Capital)</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Sidewalk Maintenance (Operations)</td>
<td>$241,975</td>
<td>$772,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Transportation</td>
<td>$181,695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WorkTrip</td>
<td>$237,871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>$3,935,734</td>
<td>$10,199,193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015
TO: Mayor and Councilmembers
FROM: City Attorney’s Office
SUBJECT: Conference with Real Property Negotiators

RECOMMENDATION:
That Council hold a closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8 to consider the possible acquisition of real property.

Real Property: 900-1100 Las Positas Road, Santa Barbara, CA (APN 047-010-064; 14.69 acres); (APN 047-061-026; .04 acres)

City Negotiators: Paul Casey, City Administrator; Nancy Rapp, Parks and Recreation Director.

Negotiating Parties: Trust for Public Land; Peak-Las Positas Partners

Under Negotiation: Price and Terms of Payment

SCHEDULING: Duration, 30 minutes; anytime

REPORT: None anticipated

PREPARED BY: Ariel Calonne, City Attorney

SUBMITTED BY: Ariel Calonne, City Attorney

APPROVED BY: City Administrator’s Office
AGENDA DATE: April 7, 2015
TO: Mayor and Councilmembers
FROM: Administration, Housing and Human Services Division, Community Development Department
SUBJECT: Community Development And Human Services Committee Recommendations For Fiscal Year 2016 And 5-Year Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan Public Hearing

RECOMMENDATION: That Council:

A. Approve the Fiscal Year 2016 funding recommendations of the Community Development Human Services Committee (CDHSC) for use of Human Services and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds;
B. Authorize the Community Development Director to negotiate and execute grant agreements implementing the funding recommendations, subject to the review as to form by the City Attorney; and
C. Conduct a public hearing to obtain public input on the City’s 5-Year Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2020, and Annual Action Plan for Fiscal Year 2016.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The CDHSC is recommending funding for a total of 49 proposals for Fiscal Year 2016. The recommendations follow the priorities previously approved by Council. The recommendations are based on a 10% increase in City Human Service funds and a 1.8% increase in CDBG funding.

DISCUSSION:

Council makes allocations from the General Fund to support Human Service programs that provide direct services to low-income City residents. Also, the City of Santa Barbara receives federal CDBG funds through the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). By law, no more than 15% of CDBG funds may be used for public service programs, and no more than 20% may be allocated for administration of the CDBG program and Fair Housing. The remaining 65% may be used for capital construction and economic development projects, all of which must primarily benefit low- to moderate-income persons.
Human Service and CDBG funds are allocated via two major funding categories: Public/Human Service and Capital. The majority of the Public/Human Service programs recommended in this report, 42 out of 45, will be funded with City (General Fund) Human Service funds, one will be funded with a combination of Human Service and CDBG Public Service funds, and two will be wholly funded with CDBG Public Service dollars. Three construction improvement projects and one economic development program are recommended for funding with CDBG Capital dollars.

Funding Process and Recommendations

A mandatory Application Orientation/Technical Assistance workshop was held for all prospective applicants on November 6, 2014, and the grant application period opened on November 10th.

Advance notice of the application period and specifics regarding the orientation were mailed and emailed to all agencies that had stated an interest in applying or that had applied for funding in the past two years, and current grantees. Also, an advertisement was published in the Santa Barbara News Press, and the announcement and a link to the application, instructions and related materials were posted on the City of Santa Barbara’s website.

Fifty-five applications were submitted by the December 16, 2014 deadline. The total requested amount equaled a total of $1,879,832. This exceeds the available funding for Public/Human Service programs and Capital projects by 27%.

The Committee’s task is challenging since they must determine which programs will be recommended for funding, and the amount of available funding is insufficient to meet the need. Individually, committee members spent numerous hours reading and scoring each submittal. The Committee then spent four nights interviewing each applicant, followed by a deliberation meeting. In addition, the Committee conducted site visits of current grantees prior to conducting the interviews. The Committee developed its recommendations in adherence with the Funding Criteria and Priorities previously adopted by Council. A complete list of the applicants and the Committee’s recommendations is attached.

In the Public Human Services category, the CDHSC is recommending funding for 45 of 50 proposals submitted. The recommended dollar amounts are based on the applicants’ scores: full funding and moderate increases were awarded to the higher scoring proposals; those with mid-level scores were recommended for slight increases or level funding; and those with lower scores were reduced in funding or eliminated entirely. Five programs were not recommended for funding, including two that received funds last year.

In the Capital category, four of the submitted proposals are recommended for funding. These include two City Neighborhood Improvement Program construction projects; one non-profit organization facility-improvement, and one economic development program.
Detailed descriptions of the applications process, including funding criteria and priorities for both Human Service and CDBG funds, are included in the CDHSC Report on Funding Recommendations Fiscal Year 2015-2016. This report is available for public review on the City’s Human Services and CDBG web page (www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov) and in the office of the City Clerk, Main Public Library and the Community Development Department.

U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 5-Year Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan

In order for the City to receive annual Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds from HUD, a Consolidated Plan (ConPlan) must be submitted. This document identifies the City’s housing and community development needs, and details the City’s 5-year strategy and goals to address those needs using federal funds. The City is in the final year of its current 5-year ConPlan period. The next ConPlan is due to HUD by May 15, 2015, and it will cover the five-year period from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2020.

During development of the City’s new ConPlan strategy, five priority areas having the greatest need in the community were identified. The five priority areas are:

1. Homeless Assistance
2. Decent Housing
3. Public Facilities and Infrastructure
4. Economic Development
5. Planning for Housing and Community Development

The five priorities were formed based on the national objectives and outcomes mandated by HUD as described below.

- Provide decent affordable housing. The activities that typically would be found under this objective are designed to cover a wide range of housing possibilities allowed under HOME and CDBG.
- Creating a suitable living environment. In general, this objective relates to activities that are designed to benefit communities, families, or individuals by addressing issues in their living environment.
- Creating economic opportunities. This objective applies to the types of activities related to economic development, commercial revitalization, or job creation.

In addition to the ConPlan, the City must submit an Annual Action Plan (AAP), which identifies specific activities that will be undertaken to meet the priority areas stated in the five-year plan. The 2015-16 AAP is the first program year of the 5-year plan and incorporates the Fiscal Year 2016 funding recommendations described in this report.
HUD requires that at least two public hearing be held during development of the Con Plan; this is that second public hearing. The first public hearing was held September 23, 2014 before the CDHSC. Comments received during this hearing will be incorporated into the final ConPlan and AAP documents, which will be brought before Council for final adoption on May 5, 2015.

The draft ConPlan and AAP are currently available for a 45-day public review period and can be found on the City’s CDBG & Human Services web page (www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov) and in the office of the City Clerk, Main Public Library and the Community Development Department and are available for the Mayor and City Council member review in the Council reading file. Comments can be submitted to City staff at (805) 564-5461 and at estotts@santabarbaraca.gov. Comments must be received by May 5, 2015.

BUDGET/FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

The recommendations in this report are based on the combination of $705,000 in City (General Fund) Human Service funds and $803,372 in CDBG entitlement funds, plus $19,338 in prior-year unexpended CDBG funds and $80,000 in CDBG Program Income funds (20% of Program Income funds can be used for CDBG administration). The total amount available is $1,607,710.

Of the total $1,607,710, the following is available per funding category:

- Public/Human Service: $825,506
- Capital: $541,530
- Administration/Fair Housing: $240,674

At its October 21, 2014 meeting, Council approved a 10% increase to Human Service funds for Fiscal Year 2016. The amount of CDBG funds were increased by 1.8% from last year.

For a complete listing of all grant awards, the CDHSC Report on Funding Recommendations Fiscal Year 2015-2016 is currently available on the City’s CDBG & Human Services web page (www.SantaBarbaraCA.gov) and in the office of the City Clerk, Main Public Library and the Community Development Department and in the City Council reading file.
ATTACHMENT: Community Development and Human Services Committee Fiscal Year 2016 Funding Recommendations by Priority and Rating

PREPARED BY: Elizabeth Stotts, Community Development Programs Specialist/DR

SUBMITTED BY: George Buell, Community Development Director

APPROVED BY: City Administrator's Office
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Prior Year Funding</th>
<th>Avg. Score</th>
<th>Human Service</th>
<th>CDBG</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIORITY 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foodbank</td>
<td>Warehouse Operations</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>94.43</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth and Family Services CIYMCA</td>
<td>Noah's Anchorage</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>94.33</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foodbank</td>
<td>Senior Brown Bag Program</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>93.71</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth and Family Services CIYMCA</td>
<td>Transitional-age Youth Housing</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>91.83</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitarian Society (Fiscal Umbrella)</td>
<td>Freedom Warming Centers</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$15,146</td>
<td>90.71</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Solutions</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$33,019</td>
<td>90.57</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB Neighborhood Clinics</td>
<td>Dental Care for the Homeless</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>90.43</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah House Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Sarah House</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Solutions</td>
<td>DVS Second Stage</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>89.43</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition House</td>
<td>Comprehensive Homeless Services</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$43,000</td>
<td>88.25</td>
<td>$46,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Action Commission</td>
<td>Healthy Senior Lunch</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>87.29</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent's</td>
<td>Family Strengthening Program</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Pride Foundation</td>
<td>Food Pantry - HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>86.50</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willbridge of Santa Barbara, Inc.</td>
<td>Willbridge</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse</td>
<td>Project Recovery Detox Program</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>84.86</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrillo Counseling Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Safe Parking Program</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>82.86</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army</td>
<td>Hospitality House</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>82.29</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Esperanza Homeless Center</td>
<td>Homeless Center</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>80.29</td>
<td>$45,994</td>
<td></td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Parenthood</td>
<td>R. Solinas Patient Assist. Fund</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>79.71</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Action Commission</td>
<td>2-1-1 Helpline Services</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>79.43</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB County DA's Office</td>
<td>Sexual Assault Response Team</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>77.57</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Parks and Rec.</td>
<td>Youth Employment Training</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>77.43</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoples' Self-Help Housing</td>
<td>Housing the Homeless</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>77.13</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara Parks and Rec.</td>
<td>Santa Barbara Arts Alliance</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>71.14</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB Community Housing Corp</td>
<td>Faulding Case Coord./Life Skills</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>68.29</td>
<td>$9,206</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB Community Housing Corp</td>
<td>Riviera Life Skills/Trans. Coord.</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$14,500</td>
<td>66.71</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casa Serena, Inc</td>
<td>Residential Recovery Treatment for V</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>62.33</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Soup Kitchen</td>
<td>Organic Soup Kitchen</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>62.25</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIORITY 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Abuse Listening Mediation</td>
<td>Prevention, Intervention, Treatment</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>94.14</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>Big Brothers Big Sisters</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>93.67</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>Ombudsman Proposal</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td>91.71</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academy of Healing Arts - AHA!</td>
<td>Attitude, Harmony, Achievement</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>90.71</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Rape Crisis Center</td>
<td>Santa Barbara Rape Crisis Center</td>
<td>$36,800</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>90.57</td>
<td>$36,800</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>Senior Services Program</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>89.17</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid Foundation</td>
<td>Emergency Legal Services</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition House</td>
<td>Homelessness Prevention Program</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$8,500</td>
<td>87.83</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Leaders of America</td>
<td>Youth Leadership and Education</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>87.83</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>Family Resource Centers</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>87.83</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendship Adult Day Care Center, Inc.</td>
<td>Adult Day Services Program</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>$15,500</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi House Brain Injury Support Center</td>
<td>Jodi House Program Support</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>86.50</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls Incorporated of Greater Santa Barbara</td>
<td>After-School Program</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>86.43</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Living Resource Center, Inc</td>
<td>Community Living/Transition Services</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>86.14</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storyteller Children’s Center</td>
<td>Storyteller Children's Center/Master</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>86.13</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Housing Mediation Task Force</td>
<td>Rental Housing Mediation Task Force</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>85.57</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Leaders of America</td>
<td>FLA Family Leadership Camp</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>82.86</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teddy Bear Cancer Foundation</td>
<td>Assistance for Families with Pediatric</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>81.50</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys &amp; Girls Club of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Power Hour Homework</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>81.43</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrillo Counseling Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Community Counseling Center Prog.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>80.72</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Association</td>
<td>Recovery Learning Center - Fellowshi</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Dance Institute</td>
<td>In and After School Educational Danc</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>61.43</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,131,300</td>
<td>$705,000</td>
<td>$120,506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FY 2015-16 CDHSC RECOMMENDATIONS
### BY PRIORITY AND SCORING

### CAPITAL/ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Proposal Title</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Prior Year Funding</th>
<th>Avg. Score</th>
<th>CDHSC Recommend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Westside Sidewalk Access Ramps</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>88.86</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Service Agency</td>
<td>Capital Grant</td>
<td>$145,532</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>88.71</td>
<td>$145,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Economic Ventures</td>
<td>Self Employment Training</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$45,316</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Bohnett Park Playground and Renovation</td>
<td>$285,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>72.29</td>
<td>$151,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Solutions</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter Bathroom Rehab</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>70.67</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$748,532</strong></td>
<td><strong>$541,530</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>